(1) Number of pages 6

(2) Date of repair 7-8-1984

(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / ~~B.P. No.~~	1607	Press. / Mis.
Dated	3-10-1878	~~197~~ .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Archives I—5,806—24-2-78.

1607

REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
OOTACAMUND,
3rd October 1878.

No. 1,607.

No. 29.

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

Miscellaneous.

Procs. of Govt., 3rd October 1878, No. 1,606.

SIR,—I am directed by His Grace the Governor in Council to forward the accompanying copy of the Proceedings of this Government placing the services of Mr. W. R. Robertson, Superintendent of Government Farms, at the disposal of the Bombay Government to advise on the proposed organization of Agricultural Education in that Presidency.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Chief Secretary.

Exd. W. H. French.

Govt. of India. Home
REVENUE.
To
THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.
Dated October 3rd.
Printed 7th.
Read.
Encl.
Letter, 3rd October 1878, No. 1,607.
OCTOBER.
No. 29.
Forwarding, for Government Consideration, the Reports of Mr. W. R. Robertson, Superintendent of the Government Farms, and directing the Bombay Government to submit proposals connected with Agricultural Education in that Presidency.

1607/1

Minute.

My minute of the 27th May 1878 is a careful and official review of the famine Census returns in course of submission to the Govt. of India with the Proceedings of this Govt; and must therefore be brought on record with the papers to which it relates for submission therewith to Superior authority, under the Law and rules of procedure in Council

I believe the ... [illegible]

In the course of that review, I shall incidentally make remarks on the papers put in circulation by His Grace the President with the Census returns such as the unreliable Extracts of Births and Deaths for some years in Jammie District now transmitted as I understand to the Govt. of India and also Sir M. Kennedy's official review of the same returns - intended, as I hold, very properly, to form an Enclosure to the despatch to the Govt. of India.

His Grace the Governor has, I understand, been pleased

1607
2

pleased to withdraw this latter official paper - a matter quite within His Graces Competence as respects his Personal Assistants proceedings - but this in no way affects my minute or the prescribed Course of procedure in respect to it. The Laws and rules of Council Procedure forbid its Exclusion from Submission, with the Census returns to which it relates, to Superior authority -

If my Honble Colleagues fail to see their way to allow ordinary procedure to take its course, I propose to telegraph tomorrow to His Excellency the Viceroy and Governor General

I believe I am

somewhat in the terms
of the annexed draft.

I am constrained
to adopt this unusual
course from the experience
of a similar analogous
traverse of procedure,
as it appears to me,
in regard to the despatch
of this Govt. of 24th Nov.r
1876 - the appeal against
which procedure has
for 18 months been
kept back from
superior adjudication,
and I shall hope to
justify the course,
which I propose to
adopt, if required
to do so by superior
authority.

I regret that I am
unable

1607
3

unable to accept
His Grace the President's
proposal to withdraw
my minute from it
proper place with the
despatch to the Govt.
of India, submitting
with observations the
Census returns together
with certain registers
of Births and Deaths
and to refer it to the
Sanitary Commissioner
for Ena's report -

Nor can I acquiesce
in the modification of
procedure proposed
by Mr Hudleston, who,
writing from Bremen,
has

I believe the -

has apparently no complete recollection of my minute. I have discussed the Census returns & recorded my views by official minute & these I wish to accompany the papers to which it relates -

I shall be glad to have D. Cornish's further report - which I submit should have preceded the transmission of these returns, which had already been long in the hands of the Members of Government when formally put in circulation - but I do not desire to delay the submission of my minute & the Govt: of India pending

1607/4

that reference and decline to join in the proposed telegram (vide P.S of Govt Secretariat's note) to the Government of India

J. B. Robertson
30 June 1878.

1607/5

From
Sir Wm Robinson - K.C.S.I.
Senior member in Council
Ooty

To
His Excellency
the Viceroy and
Governor General
Simla.

My minute of review of the famine
Census returns has not been allowed
to accompany this Government's despatch
of the 1st Instant, from which I have
recorded my dissent - kindly call
for it, before dealing with the despatch.
Has been
This fact the President duly advised.

2 1607/6

Minute

The Rule for the Conduct of Official business which is in Course of Communication by a Council in India to superior authority is as follows:-

"In transacting the "business of your "Department, it is an "Order, that you Enter "with the utmost "perspicuity & Brevity "upon Consultations, "or Minutes of Council, "all your Proceedings "Whatsoever, and all "Dissents, if such "should at any time

be

I believe the

"be made by any
"member of your
"Board, together with the
"all letters received
"and sent in the course
"of your correspondence"

Rule xxxvii. Hon^ble Court's
Despatch dy 13 April 1774

and I hold that when
Communications are
made to superior authority
they are entitled to have
the whole of such proceedings
placed before them.

The course followed
in submitting the Examine
last Census returns
and other papers connected
with them discussion
before this Govt. together
with the Covering Despatch
dy 1st Instant has, I believe,
traversed this rule in
as much as no formal
proceedings

1607
7

proceedings in Council
have been recorded, and
my minute of revision
and of dissent from
the Despatch in question
has not been transmitted
to superior
authority. I simply
desire that the rule
and prescribed practice
of Procedure be followed
and the mistake rectified
first without delay;
at all Events as respects
my minute of
dissent dy 27th May 1878
and I trust that this
will now be done.

I am constrained
respectfully to decline
the proposal of His
Grace

J Wilson Hee - co

grace the President-
which, as I understand
it, is to inform the
Govt: of India by
letter that I have
prepared a Minute for
on the subject matter
of the despatch in
question, which will
not be brought on
official record or
forwarded to them
until a report you-
now first called for-
from the Sanitary
Commissioners can be
submitted. The antici-
-pated Report had no
existence when my
minute

1607/18

Minute was written
and may have none
for some time become.
Ex post-facto matter
of this description has
no connection with the
Subject under Considera-
-tion and will be dealt
with by me in its proper
time and place by
further Minute, should
the necessity arise. In
the meantime I know
of no rule or precedent
for the suggested Course
and should not willingly
accede to what appears
to me unusual. I have
no personal wishes
in the matter whatever.
It is purely for

official character
and I simply seek
observance by the Govt.
of which I am a member
of the prescribed rule
and practice of
business.

As I understand
His Grace the President's
note, he will hesitate
to permit Rule and
ordinary Procedure
to take this course. I
should however be
grateful to be distinctly
informed on this point,
because I am scarcely
desirous the reluctance
with which I shall beg

His

1607
9

His Excellency the Viceroy
by telegram to call
for that minute, in
respectful variance
with His Grace's views,
But the question has
already been practically
before His Grace for
many months in my
appeal to the Govt. of
India against what
appears to me an
analogous traverse
of rule & procedure:
and I am unwilling
to run the risk of
such delays as has been
permitted to characterize
that matter in respect

I believe Hon. -

to one of the previous
nature of that before
us.

5/8 D Robertson

The cover
containing this was
brought to Govt House about
Church time yesterday &
being marked "in circulation"
& not marked urgent was
not opened by me until
morning this 10th
The reply W.J. Swith
to deal with in due
course when received

Revenue Department.

No. 1607/10

From Secretary to Govt

Dated 5th 187[illegible].

FOR ORDERS.

The question is one
purely of Law and Practice
There is no doubt
Suggestion to refer to
Any Attorney —
I do not see how a
Minute can properly
be brought on Record
before the
documents to which it
refers have been brought
before Council —
I believe this — is

1607
11

Suggested by Mr Hreddleston

first note after my first would be a second one — that if Sir W. H. wishes his minute to go — in answer of the report on Jersey — my colleagues concur — I see no objection to a copy being sent to V.R. with the letter suggested and the additional remarks

I think it is in no way an expression of the opinion of the Govt. on the subject to send this minute as long as the Govt. adopt the letter that the Secretary of State for V.D. minutes with which the Records should be laid before Parliament. Should Lord Granville wish to see the Queen's minute before it is sent I concur

In the case of Wm. Bell
L.R.R. 1870. Bell
Granville is willing rather
than a century old
right should be established
opinion some hesitation
is I think satisfied by

the Secretary of State's
letter sending the letter
to the Q.S.
Lord Granville
thought that
Prince. on the subject
Parliament
Q.V.
8.0.71

I think by a copy of the
Secretary of State's
Lord L.R.R. quoted.

Sir W. Robinson's second Minute.

FOR ORDERS.

1607
12

Minute

I waited till
midday of to-day
for some notice of
my minute of the
5th Instant, and then
despatched the following
telegram to His Excellency
the Viceroy:-

"My official minute of
"revised of Famine test
"Census returns for
"this Presidency & of
"dissent from despatch
"of 1st June forwarding
"same to Government
"of India, has not been
"submitted as required.
"I respectfully beg it
"may be called for by
"Government of India. North
"Colleagues advised of this
"Telegram"

Sir W. Robinson's Se

The despatch of the 1st Instant probably reached Simla today.

Late in the afternoon I found these further notes on my table. I have still to submit that the minute of a member of Govt. cannot be withdrawn from the proceedings of Govt. to which it relates and therefore to repeat respectfully that the minute which is the subject of this discussion may be forwarded to the Govt. of India to complete proceedings of the 1st Instant, without delay.

With reference to Sir Bruce the President

1607

1607
13

remark in Para 2 of his note, I beg respectfully to observe that the Census returns and other papers (amongst them a note by General Sir Michael Kennedy) also proposed to be submitted with this Govt. despatch, which were in course of submission to the Govt. of India together with the Draft covering Despatch were officially before me, when my minute of review of those papers and of dissent from the Despatch was recorded. All these proceedings of Govt – Sir M. Kennedy's note, which His Grace the

forwarded to

Kew

Sir W.

President has withdra
th Exception to have gone
forward; but with the
the minute recorded
by me as a Member
of Govt: on the discussion
then before Council - in
circulation in the first
instance and finally
in the Council Chamber
on Friday the 31st May,
I respectfully submit
that no documents
could be more deliberately
brought before Council,
no proceedings could
be more complete,
than were these -
important papers,
by his free the President's
Draft, Mr. Huddleston's
full

1607

1607
14

I descent in Member
full and thoughtful
note and my minute.
Those proceedings have
been formally disposed
of by Submission to the
Govt. of India; but
without the completeness
required. They simply
need to be supplemented
as Submitted by me.
As regards the
proposal to relegate
the minute of a Member
of Council on a then
current discussion
before Council, to some
future proceedings to be held
on a report of some kind
which has still to be
called for, while the
important papers go
forward to which the
then

Sir W.

President has withdra

then full discussi
in Council (for al
members took a thor
share, by recond, in thes
discussion) and the
Minute of a Member
relate - and on which
the Govt: of India
naturally look for the
deliberate views of
Govt: - I respectfully
demur to the propose
arrangement because
I know neither rule,
precedent nor reason
for it.

No letter covering
my Minute is, as it
seems to me, necessary
in order to explain to
the Govt: of India that
the Minute of a revising

1607

1607
15

and dissentient Member
of Govt: is an individual
opinion - I am perfectly
satisfied by the simple
Completion of the Pro-
ceedings by submission
of any or all minutes
according to rule, altho'
in isolation as regards
personal views.
However if my Honble:
Colleagues desire it,
I can offer no objection
and I shall be glad
to consider the draft
letter when circulated.
All that I desire is
that the formal Pro-
ceedings of this Govt:
be laid before Superior
authority in their
completion

Sir W.

completeness the
is presented by rules
and practice - that is -
with the minutes of
Members of Govt, if
such have been
recorded - for I would
not myself consent
for one moment to the
omission from proceedings
of the minute of any
Member of Govt., however
widely I might personally
differ from his views.

I do not understand
my Honble: Colleague
Mr. Huddleston's proposal
to inform the Govt. of
India when he intends
to consider the Census
returns already formally
submitted by this Govt. & the Governments of Bombay

1607

1607
16

they seemed bound to
have discussed with admirable
ability in his note recorded
on this discussion. I think
that it is a matter for
regret that his review
has not been signed
as a minute for the
information of the Govt.
of India

I have already recorded
the sincere reluctance
- indeed grief - with which
I have asked His Excellency
the Viceroy direct both
for my minute and order
to complete the proceedings
of this Govt. as submitted
on the 7th Instant. But
the fact is that this is
not the first time I have
had to question procedure
when deference of

1607

19

returning late I only read
this this morning —

I think the cause mentioned
by Mr Madison as to the
Census is correct — but
I read in the N. Amer
his dispatch from the despatch
covering the Census report to the
Department of State no
communication of any
objection to a letter being sent
stating that fact — and that he
has prepared a memorial
upon the subject and on
which will be transmitted as soon
as the papers can be returned
from the Secretary of State
upon the Census returns

I think the Telegraph
is wrong in stating that
in the Census returned to
Congress the 3/5 clause
was the Constitutional
basis of the Representation
of the States

I think the letter from Mr Short
last letter to the President

an enclosure to the letter
of this date dispatched
by yesterday's post —
proposing in the event
of his wishes not being
acceded to to
inform the Viceroy
by telegraph of the fact
of his wishes being
not acceded to but
not transmitted

3/6/20

Letters as I understand
but it is time to
to St. Croix and
in the last Census
in any opinion will be
the proper time for bringing
forward the U.S. Minister
at the Court of Sweden
St. at Philadelphia
the Court of St. Petersburgh
as. Secretary.
especially.

Lie U.S. Minister to
St. according to
to Francis it a
proper step, if possibly
Ireland the U.S. a
& Ministers for
of the Govt. in Europe
the result obtained
last Census.

6.28

Brought regularly on
the proceedings in with the
opinion of Govt. thereon

Rec. 4/6.

Cir. to Auditors

Shewn by Section
2 the adoption of the
course suggested by
H.E. the C.G.S.

4/6

FOR ORDERS.

1607
20

Mr. Secretary Erskine
Sir A. Reports his
telegram. to Govt
only wait for the G.S.
action on it.

Stirling affirms
and the former
Enquiries Govt. agree
Rec. 4/6 says
in his last Minute
Govt. to the Auditors
Letters that no
Loss by any Errors

1607

1607

consider the discussion
on Stamp Act. or
the matter in a position
for discussion of the
Question. I await
Mr. Connis Enquiries.
the idea of obtaining
Mr. Higinbotham's
opinion during
the Christmas Recess
& the Law Officers
to be asked by Cabinet
to concur.

[illegible]
10.6.98

Sir W. Robinson's 3rd Memorial

6/8 June 1878

With Hon. Members Letter

Minute No 4

1607
22

Shall be urged most respectfully that the request submitted in my minute dated 8 Instant be at once complied with Viz that these minutes and papers together with those connected with the analogous transverse of rule and procedure, as it seemed to me, which occurred in November 1876 may be forwarded at once

to the Government of
India: my formal
appeal against the
latter matter to the
Government of India
has I venture to submit
been kept back from
Superior decision —
beyond all reason
~~of~~ precedent.

The Govt: of India
are Entitled, I hold,
to know with all despatch
what has led to my
telegraphing direct
to the Viceroy in the
matter of the Munshi
which was the subject

160/23

of that Communication.
They are, I hold, entitled
to be fully informed
why records, which the
ordinary course of
business prescribes
should be laid before
them by this Government,
do not accompany the
despatches to which
they relate, & occurred
in 1876 and more than
once since - The Govt:
of India are Entitled
to be made aware of
and to pronounce on, —
matters which affect
the Entirety of proceedings
and discussions in the

local Council as placed
before that Controlling
authority; and I think
that this information
should be submitted
with all despatch.

And finally
I respectfully submit
that a Members of
Council cannot reasonably
be denied the arbitrament
of the Govt: of India
on an important matter
of procedure as respects
business in course
of being placed before
them. I have been
seeking this for a year
to

1607
24

to 18 months without
success.

As respects my
minute of the 27th May
1878. on the Subject of
Famine Census returns
the rule requires that
it be sent forward with
the despatch to which it
relates and I would
wish to see it conformed
to.

J.P. B. Robertson

Local Commissioner

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No. 1607/25

From A joint Secretary to Govt. Rohtas

Dated June 12th 1878.

FOR ORDERS.

The matter must await the disposal of Land Revenue Appeal No. 1/76 when the present appeal will be duly completed on its receipt by record of Govt. in due course thereof.

FOR ORDERS.

Rec'd June 16 1607
Read Hist Soc Coll
Calc: 1-13
Ck. 12/6/20

245

Received from the Govt House on 29/12/80.

1607
27

My dear Lord Salisbury

I give over to a Clerk part of the famine Census papers of Kent I enclose a Copy.

It seems from Mr D. Crombie's paper on the Pathology of famine that the old & disused Parts of these papers contains a Note of Disorder.

In order that we have may be lost I have sent-

Revenue Department

1607
29

Note

I received these papers on Friday, 5th Instant incomplete — as will be shown by my D. O Note to the Secretary Jamaica Department — as it seems done.

Practically my Nimble Colleagues are in possession of my views on the results of the trial famine Census and do think that the Members of Govt. have remain

---

As the papers in Circulation & Messrs Nicholson & Co Bridael & Co send my further minute Shall read

Jno. P. Grace

Pls Secy & Despatch

7/10

1607/30

I have received printed copies of both reports from D. Cornish and shall prepare my further observations on the causes of incredulity & the real mortality from famine and its effects on administration on the causes of death & on the relief of child life, on the "test" (as they are called) of relief; and on the Village & camp relief and of the points which they suggest and I will circulate them with the least possible delay.

In the meantime I think that D. Cornish's very remarkable reports on

deeply to regret that the trial Canals operations were not placed ab initio in the scientific and skilled hands, which this Govt. have at its Command as well as that delay that has occurred in laying these most important Reports dated 20th June & 8th July respectively before them, I pass the papers on at once to my Hon'ble Colleague Mr. Middleton, who has reserved his disposal for this occasion.

on the pathology of famine - which I conceive has been already received in the famine Depart.t should be at once brought on record and circulated for consideration. It places, as it seems to me, before us the all-important fact that when famine has established itself in a country, large and increasing sections of the population affected pass into a condition of decline & atrophy as evidenced by abnormal mortality & loss of reproductive powers and

1607/31

and it seems to me that papers of this kind cannot be dealt with as impartially & scientific men will require, or the lives of the administration of relief be adequately judged of except in the light of this fact. The matter cannot be laid, I hold, before the Govt. of India and Secretary of State in a complete form without the accompaniment which I here suggest.

W. W. Robertson

Copy.

1607/32

My dear Garstin.

I have received the bundle containing Dr Cornish's reports.

On the Order paper (J 12/7) Sir Michael Kennedy has put a foot note.

"See Memo on Separate paper "by Sir Michael Kennedy J 18/7/78"

This paper is not in the bundle (Sir M. Kennedy's J 11: May is with the papers), as I have received it would

Would you kindly ask His Grace whether he has any objection to place this paper in circulation, as Lieutenant Sir Michael has had my Minute and it seems to me that in the interest of thorough discussion, it would be an advantage if the Members of Council were in possession of all the light that can be thrown on this important discussion. The paper would be returned

to this Grace with the notes of Members which he has called for.

5/10/78

Jas Sinclair
Sd/ W. Robinson

16.07
33

1607
34

Note.

I received these papers on Friday 5th Instant incomplete - as will be shown by my D.O. note* to the Secretary famine Department - as it seems to me.

Practically my Honble. Colleagues are in possession of my views on the results of the trial famine Census and I think that the Members of Govt. have reason deeply to regret that the trial Census operations were not placed ab initio

* Copy put up.

in the Scientific and
Skilled hands which
this Govt. have at
Command as well as that
delay that has occurred
in laying these most
important Reports dated
20 June and 8 July
respectively before
them. I pass the papers
on at once to my Hon'ble
Colleague Mr Hudleston
who has reserved his
disposal of them for
this occasion

I have received
printed Copies of both
reports from Dr Cornish
and shall prepare
my further observations
on the causes of increa-
sed mortality as to the real

1607/35

mortality from
famine into effects
or administration, or
the causes of death &c; or
the relief of child life;
or the "tests" (as they are
called) of relief, or the
value of camp relief
Most points dealt with above
and I will circulate
this with the least
possible delay.

In the meantime
I think that Dr Cornish's
very remarkable report
on the pathology of
famine - which I
Cochrane has been
abreast of received in the famine
Department - should
be at once brought on
record & circulated
for

for consideration: the
places, as it seems to
me, before us the
all important fact
that when famine has
Established itself in
a country, large and
increasing sections
of the population affected
pass into a condition
of decline & atrophy
as Evidenced by abnormal
mortality and loss of
reproductive power
and it seems true
that papers of this kind
cannot be dealt with
in impartial & scientific
men with require, or the
laws of the administration
of relief be adequate

Judged

1607
36

judged of by reception
the light of this fact.
The matter cannot be
laid, I hold, before the
Govt. of India and
Secretary of State in
a complete form without
the accompaniment
which I here suggest.

W. W. Hunter

Rec'd 6 Oct 1875

R D

Revenue department.

No. 1607

1607/2

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Bombay.

Sir,

I am directed by His
Grace the Governor in Council
to forward the accompanying
copy of the Proceedings of
this Government placing
the services of Mr. W. R. Robertson
Superintendent of Govt.
Farms at the disposal of
the Bombay Government
to advise on the proposed
organization of Agricultural
Education in that Presidency.

I have the
&c.

3 October 1875.

Copy of Govt. No. 3 Oct. 1875 No. 1606.

To Gentry Bombay

Mr Robertson