(1) Number of pages 2 Sheets

(2) Date of repair 16.8.84

(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1407	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.

Dated 31.8.1878 197 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Archives I—5,806—24-2-78.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1402/1

31st August 1878, No. 1,407.

Read the following paper:—

No. 396. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 9th August 1878, No. 2,217.

[illegible] to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Vellore, 12th July 1878, No. 196:—

ADVERTING to the Board's Proceedings, dated 21st February last, No. 459, I have the honor to state that the Head Assistant Collector has, in his letter of the 6th instant, No. 239, reported that there is at present no arrear of revenue due for Faslis 1285 and 1286 from the Jaghire villages of Samaleuppam and Shunavaram in the Wallahjah Taluk.

2. Rupees 721-13-10 are due from these villages for Fasli 1287 as noted in the margin, and the Head Assistant Collector is of opinion that there are no grounds to remit this amount as requested in the letter of the Secretary to His Highness the Prince of Arcot to the Government Agent, Chepauk, embodied in the Proceedings under reply. Fasli 1287 was not so bad a year as to justify remission being granted in the present case. A good supply of water was received and cultivation was carried on in the villages in question, and I fully concur in the Head Assistant Collector's opinion that there is no real necessity to remit the above-mentioned amount.

	Rs.	A.	P.
Samaleuppam	310	3	9
Shunavaram	411	10	1
Total ...	721	13	10

3. I request the instructions of the Board as regards the request contained in paragraph 4 of the letter of the Secretary to His Highness the Prince of Arcot above referred to.

THE Board concur with the Collector in thinking that there are not sufficient grounds for granting remission of the demand on the Jaghire in question.

2. With reference to paragraph 3, the Board presume that the villages are those referred to in G.O., dated 25th October 1872, No. 1,485. Although the title-deeds are in the name of the manager of the mosques for the time being, he cannot properly be styled Jaghiredar. He should be addressed as his Highness the Prince of Arcot's Agent.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Secretary.

No. 397. ORDER THEREON, 31st August 1878, No. 1,407.

Land Revenue, No. 21.

THE Government concur with the Board of Revenue.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Govt. of [1878] Madras.

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated August 31st.

Printed September 5th.

Read.

G.O., 31st August 1878, No. 1,407.

AUGUST.

Nos. 396-397.

Effects held in Jaghire by His Highness the Prince of Arcot in North Arcot District.—Correspondence with the Board of Revenue thereon; forwarding for sanction proceedings for granting remission of demand for Fasli 1287 on the ... &c.

No. 2,217.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 9th August 1878.

Read the following letter from W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Vellore, 12th July 1878, No. 196:—

ADVERTING to the Board's Proceedings, dated 21st February last, No. 459, I have the honor to state that the Head Assistant Collector has, in his letter of the 6th instant, No. 239, reported that there is at present no arrear of revenue due for Faslis 1285 and 1286 from the Jaghire villages of Samalcuppam and Shunavaram in the Wallahjah Taluk.

2. Rupees 721-13-10 are due from these villages for Fasli 1287 as noted in the margin, and the Head Assistant Collector is of opinion that there are no grounds to remit this amount as requested in the letter of the Secretary to His Highness the Prince of Arcot to the Government Agent, Chepauk, embodied in the Proceedings under reply. Fasli 1287 was not so bad a year as to justify remission being granted in the present case. A good supply of water was received and cultivation was carried on in the villages in question, and I fully concur in the Head Assistant Collector's opinion that there is no real necessity to remit the above-mentioned amount.

	Rs.	A.	P.
Samalcuppam	310	3	9
Shunavaram	411	10	1
Total ...	721	13	10

3. I request the instructions of the Board as regards the request contained in paragraph 4 of the letter of the Secretary to His Highness the Prince of Arcot above referred to.

THE Board concur with the Collector in thinking that there are not sufficient grounds for granting remission of the demand on the Jaghire in question.

2. With reference to paragraph 3, the Board presume that the villages are those referred to in G.O., dated 25th October 1872, No. 1,485. Although the title-deeds are in the name of the manager of the mosques for the time being, he cannot properly be styled Jaghiredar. He should be addressed as his Highness the Prince of Arcot's Agent.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
" Collector of North Arcot.

Rad. W. J. Lewis.

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVT.,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated August 9th.

Printed 15th.

Recd. 23

PROCEEDINGS, 9TH AUGUST 1878, No. 2,217.

Dep. 2/8/78

AUGUST.

No. 2,217.

Villages—held as Jaghire by His Highness the Prince of Arcot in North Arcot District.—Concurring with Collector in thinking that there are not sufficient grounds for granting remission of the demand for Fasli 1287 on the —.

No 1407. 01 August 1878

Write an order,

No 1407

Communicate concur with Rev Dept

C29-8-78
R30-8-78.