

(1) Number of pages 5
(2) Date of repair 7.6.84, 8.6.84
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1318	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	16.8.1878	197

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1318
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

16th August 1878, No. 1,318.

Read the following paper:—

No. 214. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 15th June 1878, No. 1,632.

Read the following letter from W. WILSON, Esq., Acting Director of Revenue Settlement, on special duty, Irrigation Accounts, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 14th May 1878, No. 5:—

I HAVE the honor, in reply to Board's Proceedings as per margin, to enclose a statement explanatory of the differences in the acreage irrigated under and the revenue due to the Kistna Anicut for Faslis 1284 and 1285 submitted from this office and from the office of the Collector of Kistna respectively.

2. So far as the accounts for Fasli 1285 are concerned it will be observed from the account now submitted that the Collector's account of the 8th March 1877 omitted—

(1.) 2,622 acres of waste remitted.

(2.) 9,376 acres (Inam and Zemindari) which, though part of the demand for Fasli 1285, were brought to account in the following two years only.

The sum of these items is acres 18,998. The difference between the acreage returned by the Collector on the 8th March 1877 and that submitted from this office on the 6th December 1877 is acres 18,167.

The difference between this and the sum acres 18,998 of the items omitted from the Collector's account for Fasli 1285 is acres 831.

Of this extent acres 814 can be very satisfactorily accounted for.

In the Elhoré Taluq—

900 acres were included in the revenue accounts under occupation. They were lands cultivated or irrigated without leave, perambokes, &c., and were, according to the system adopted in the preparation of the irrigation accounts, shown under Miscellaneous. Of the total extent 888 acres were Sori and 12 Inam.

86 acres were altogether omitted from the revenue accounts.

The difference between these two items is acres 814, which by the omission of fractions appears in the statement as acres 813.

3. For the remaining difference of acres 18 between the two accounts for Fasli 1285, I am unable to give an explanation, but I have to observe that acres 37 irrigated in Fasli 1285 in the Guntur Taluq were entirely omitted from the Collector's account, while the Tahsildar of Bander, in replying to this office call for explanation, has stated that the ayaat, not merely the occupation, had in some instances been entered in the revenue returns.

4. As to the differences in the accounts submitted from this office for Fasli 1284, I have to observe that those submitted with letter No. 2, dated 28th March 1876, were drawn up very hastily in answer to an urgent call from Government from such materials as were available in the Collector's office.

They did not pretend to be strictly accurate; if they had had that attribute further inquiry would have been superfluous; in the matter of revenue especially they could not possibly be strictly accurate, because the method of calculation adopted shows that land revenue proper was credited to the amient which had no claim to it.

Figures submitted with this office No. 10, dated 23rd February 1878, are

SUBMITTED to Government in continuation of Board's Proceedings, dated 6th February 1878, No. 318, and 7th March 1878, Miscellaneous No. 2,297, respectively.

2. The Board consider that in all accounts showing the revenue or profit due to irrigation the area actually charged for water should be shown distinct from the area occupied. This will be borne in mind by the Board in prescribing the general form to be adopted in all districts.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed)

C. A. GALTON,
Acting Secretary.

No. 215.

ORDER THEREON, 16th August 1878, No. 1,318.

Irrigation No. 4.

COMMUNICATED to the Public Works
Department in continuation of G.O.,
12th March 1878, No. 386.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Public Works Department, with copy of Statement.
„ the Board of Revenue.

Ed. F. R. Bantleman.

1318
2

No. 1,632.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 15th June 1878.

Read the following letter from W. WILSON, Esq., Acting Director of Revenue Settlement, on special duty, Irrigation Accounts, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 14th May 1878, No. 5:—

I HAVE the honor, in reply to Board's Proceedings as per margin, to enclose a statement explanatory of the differences in the acreage irrigated under and the revenue due to the Kistna Anicut for Fasli 1284 and 1285 submitted from this office and from the office of the Collector of Kistna respectively.

2. So far as the accounts for Fasli 1285 are concerned it will be observed from the account now submitted that the Collector's account of the 8th March 1877 omitted—

- (1.) 9,622 acres of waste remitted.
- (2.) 9,376 acres (Inam and Zemindari) which, though part of the demand for Fasli 1285, were brought to account in the following two years only.

The sum of these items is acres 18,998. The difference between the acreage returned by the Collector on the 8th March 1877 and that submitted from this office on the 6th December 1877 is acres 18,167.

The difference between this and the sum acres 18,998 of the items omitted from the Collector's account for Fasli 1285 is acres 831.

Of this extent acres 814 can be very satisfactorily accounted for.

In the Ellore Taluq—

900 acres were included in the revenue accounts under occupation. They were lands cultivated or irrigated without leave, porambokes, &c., and were, according to the system adopted in the preparation of the irrigation accounts, shown under Miscellaneous. Of the total extent 888 acres were Seri and 12 Inam.

The difference between these two items is acres 814, which by the omission of fractions appears in the statement as acres 813.

3. For the remaining difference of acres 18 between the two accounts for Fasli 1285, I am unable to give an explanation, but I have to observe that acres 37 irrigated in Fasli 1285 in the Guntur Taluq were entirely omitted from the Collector's account, while the Tahsildar of Bander, in replying to this office call for explanation, has stated that the ayacut, not merely the occupation, had in some instances been entered in the revenue returns.

4. As to the differences in the accounts submitted from this office for Fasli 1284, I have to observe that those submitted with letter, No. 2, dated 28th March 1876, were drawn up very hastily in answer to an urgent call from Government from such materials as were available in the Collector's office.

They did not pretend to be strictly accurate; if they had had that attribute further inquiry would have been superfluous; in the matter of revenue especially they could not possibly be strictly accurate, because the method of calculation adopted shows that much land revenue proper was credited to the anicut which had no claim to it.

The figures submitted with this office No. 10, dated 23rd February 1878, are correct.

SUBMITTED to Government in continuation of Board's Proceedings, dated 6th February 1878, No. 318, and 7th March 1878, Miscellaneous No. 2,297, respectively.

2. The Board consider that in all accounts showing the revenue or profit due to irrigation the area actually charged for water should be shown distinct from the area occupied. This will be borne in mind by the Board in prescribing the general form to be adopted in all districts.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Director of Revenue Settlement.

" " Collector of Kistna District.

Exd. W. J. Lewis.

Irrigation Accounts
Department
Madras
14th May 1878

Ch 10/10/18 16 Aug 1872

Enclosure in a letter
to the Board of Revenue
dated 14th May 1878
N.S.

July 1878 No 3879/78

Statement explaining

the differences

in the area irrigated

under the Krishna

Canal for 1878

By G. B. Wright Esq. L. 124