

(1) Number of pages 5 Reels
(2) Date of repair 18.4.84
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1256	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated 7.8.1878		197

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Archives 1-5,886-24-2-78.

1256
8

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

7th August 1878, No. 1,256.

Read the following paper:—

No. 94. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 9th July 1878, No. 1,892.

Read the following letter from W. S. FOSTER, Esq., Collector of the Godavery District, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 24th June 1878, No. 154:—

I HAVE the honor to state that in the town of Cocanada there is an Inam of 231 acres 57 cents covering by title-deed No. 1,228 and bearing a quit-rent of Rupees 8, belonging to Mr. Goudalph, which is now in the possession of Nilam Tirupatirayudu and others. A part of this Inam, measuring 11 acres 67 cents was taken by the Municipality of Cocanada in 1870, while in the possession of the former for latrines for the use of the villagers. This was done under the Land Acquisition Act, and the settlement of compensation was made by an arbitration deciding that the proportionate quit-rent of Annas 7 on the portion acquired by the Municipality should be paid by it every year. The award of the arbitrators was upheld both by the District and High Courts in a suit preferred by Mr. Goudalph, who was dissatisfied with the decision of the arbitrators. Mr. Fraser, late Collector, had issued an order in 1870 to the Deputy Tahsildar of Cocanada that the collection of quit-rent in question should be held in abeyance pending the decision of the High Court. But even after the decision of that tribunal, the matter was lost sight of, and no orders were issued for the collection of quit-rent from the Municipality. From Fasli 1279 to 1284 the quit-rent, amounting to Rupees 2-10-0, was classed as irrecoverable and written off the accounts, while that of Fasli 1285 was recommended for remission; the sum of Rupees 1-5-0 has, however, been collected from the Municipality for Faslis 1285, 1286, and 1287.

2. The question now is whether the quit-rent should be collected from the Municipality in future, and whether, in case it should be collected, the arrears of quit-rent classed as irrecoverable and written off the accounts should now be collected.

3. I am of opinion that the quit-rent should not be collected at all from the Municipality, inasmuch as the land taken up is occupied by latrines, and it seems inadvisable to charge quit-rent on them. I think the Government should reduce the quit-rent on the Inam lost from the registers. This will certainly be some loss to the State, but Government decided that fresh grants of lands for those taken up for Local Fund works might be given by the State, and I fail to see why the same indulgence should not be given to the Municipalities. If Government assessed land is occupied by a Municipal road, it does not occur to us to continue to charge assessment on the road.

Under these circumstances, I request the Board of Revenue will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government for striking off the proportionate quit-rent from the Inam and Quit-rent Registers as the amount (7 Annas) is very trifling.

SUBMITTED for the favorable consideration of Government.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Secretary.

No. 95.

ORDER THEREON, 7th August 1878, No. 1,256.

Land Revenue, No. 6.

THE sanction sought for in paragraph 4 of the Collector's letter is accorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

1256
2

No. 1,892.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 9th July 1878.

Read the following letter from W. S. FOSTER, Esq., Collector of the Godavery District, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 24th June 1878, No. 154:—

I HAVE the honor to state that in the town of Cocanada there is an Inam of 231 acres 57 cents covering by title-deed No. 1,228 and bearing a quit-rent of Rupees 8, belonging to Mr. Goudalph, which is now in the possession of Nilam Tirupatirayoudu and others. A part of this Inam, measuring 11 acres 67 cents was taken by the Municipality of Cocanada in 1870, while in the possession of the former for latrines for the use of the villagers. This was done under the Land Acquisition Act, and the settlement of compensation was made by an arbitration deciding that the proportionate quit-rent of Annas 7 on the portion acquired by the Municipality should be paid by it every year. The award of the arbitrators was upheld both by the District and High Courts in a suit preferred by Mr. Goudalph, who was dissatisfied with the decision of the arbitrators. Mr. Fraser, late Collector, had issued an order in 1870 to the Deputy Tahsildar of Cocanada that the collection of quit-rent in question should be held in abeyance pending the decision of the High Court. But even after the decision of that tribunal, the matter was lost sight of, and no orders were issued for the collection of quit-rent from the Municipality. From Fasli 1279 to 1284 the quit-rent, amounting to Rupees 2-10-0, was classed as irrecoverable and written off the accounts, while that of Fasli 1285 was recommended for remission; the sum of Rupees 1-5-0 has, however, been collected from the Municipality for Faslis 1285, 1286, and 1287.

2. The question now is whether the quit-rent should be collected from the Municipality in future, and whether, in case it should be collected, the arrears of quit-rent classed as irrecoverable and written off the accounts should now be collected.

3. I am of opinion that the quit-rent should not be collected at all from the Municipality, inasmuch as the land taken up is occupied by latrines, and it seems inadvisable to charge quit-rent on them. I think the Government should reduce the quit-rent on the Inam lost from the registers. This will certainly be some loss to the State, but Government decided that fresh grants of lands for those taken up for Local Fund works might be given by the State, and I fail to see why the same indulgence should not be given to the Municipalities. If Government assessed land is occupied by a Municipal road, it does not occur to us to continue to charge assessment on the road.

4. Under these circumstances, I request the Board of Revenue will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government for striking off the proportionate quit-rent from the Inam and Quit-rent Registers as the amount (7 Annas) is very trifling.

SUBMITTED for the favorable consideration of Government.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

C. A. Galton
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
Collector of the Godavery District.

Ed. A. Grubert.

REVENUE

THE BOARD OF REVENUE

Bot. of 118781

AUGUST

Nos. 94-95.

G.O. 7th August 1878 No. 1236

Dated August 7th.

Received 12th.

Recd.

Ed.

From land in the town of Cocanada, Godavery District. According to the registers, the quit-rent on Inam and Quit-rent Registers, the proper quit-rent should be paid on a portion of certain lands by the Cocanada Municipality.

Oct. 31 35 1878

Revenue Department

For orders.

Board's Proceedings dated 9th July 1878 No 1892
Regarding the quitrent of Luam Paid in Cocanada.

The Collector of the Godavery District enquires whether Quitrent should be collected on a piece of Luam Paid within the Municipal limits of Cocanada, which the Municipality acquired in 1870 for a Public Latrine, and if so whether an arrear of Rupees 2-10-0 which has been written off the accounts as irrecoverable should now be collected.

The Collector thinks that the Quitrent should not be

Revenue 1878 dt
No. 3135.
1878.

BOARD OF REVENUE,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 9TH JULY 1878, No. 1,892.

End.

Received 19 July 1878

JULY.
No. 1,892.

Inam land in the Town of Cocanada in Godavery District.—Submitting to Government Collector's recommendation for striking off from Inam and Quit-rent Registers the proportionate quit-rent on the portion of certain taken up by the Cocanada Municipality in 1870 for latrines for the use of the villagers.

D. 1856. 7. Aug. 1878

With an order

14. Feb. 1878. The Collector

First from order

No. 1254
The Collector's letter in accordance
of the Collector's letter in accordance

23-8-78
23-8-78

C. N. 3135 of 1871

For orders.

Regarding the grant of
an Indian land in Coo-
nada - Godavari Dist.

Sir W. R. B.