

(1) Number of pages 7. Reels
(2) Date of repair 3.4.89
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1224	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	3.8.1878	197

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Archives 1-5,806-24-2-78,

1224
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

3rd August 1878, No. 1,224.

Read the following paper:—

No. 32. From A. O. HUME, Esq., C.B., Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Revenue, Agriculture, and Commerce (Statistics), to C. G. MASTER, Esq., Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Simla, 11th July 1878, No. 267.

I AM directed to acknowledge receipt of your letter, No. 493, dated the 28th March last, furnishing information relative to the exports from Madras of cinchona bark during the years 1875-76 and 1876-77.

2. In the sixth paragraph of that letter the Government of Madras request that references from this department may be made to them, and not direct to subordinate departments in that Presidency. I am directed to explain that such references have for some years past been made by the Government of India direct to the officers in the different maritime provinces entrusted with the consolidation of the provincial trade and navigation returns; they have, however, been entirely confined to points of detail connected with the figures embodied in the returns, and have never related to matters of principle of which it was necessary that the Local Government should be informed. His Grace the Governor in Council is aware that great stress has been laid by the Secretary of State on the punctual and correct preparation of these statistics; and, as the practice of making such references direct appears likely to tend to the avoidance of delay and unnecessary trouble to the Government Secretariat, I am to express the hope of the Government of India that His Grace in Council may be pleased to permit its continuance. Should the Government of Madras desire it, a copy of every such reference will be sent to their address simultaneously with the despatch of the original.

3. As an illustration of the delay which would be avoided if direct communication should as hitherto be permitted, I am to observe that the statement prepared by the Board of Revenue which was enclosed in your letter bears date the 7th March last, that your letter is dated the 28th March, and that it was not received (having been forwarded in print) in this office until the 15th April; it appears probable that the statement might have been received and the necessary corrections made in the returns fully a month earlier had it been forwarded by the Board direct.

4. With reference to your fourth paragraph, I am to remark that as the Trade Return No. 12—1, in which the cinchona bark exported from Madras should be entered, is headed "An Account of the Quantities and Values of Exports of *Indian Produce and Manufactures*," it would seem superfluous to specify in it that the "Peruvian Bark" entered is "Indian grown." I am also to observe that it is believed that "Peruvian Bark" is merely a commercial name for cinchona bark wherever grown, and that neither in the returns of the trade of the United Kingdom nor elsewhere does it exclusively denote cinchona bark obtained from Peru.

No. 33.

ORDER THEREON, 3rd August 1878, No. 1,224.

Separate Revenue, No. 6.

Customs.

COMMUNICATED to the Board of
Revenue with reference to G.O., 28th
March 1878, No. 492.

2. The Government of India
will be informed that His Grace the

Governor in Council will not object to references being made direct to the Board
on points of detail connected with the preparation of the Trade and Navigation
Returns.

3. The Board will, as at present, furnish Government with copy of these
references and their replies.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. J. W. Fischer.

1224
2

122/3
For orders

From Gov. of India. }
11th July 78 No: 267. }

The Gov. of India
wish to continue
the habit of correspon-
ding direct with
the authority entrusted
with the consolidation
of the Trade & Navigation
returns as regards
those returns.

That authority is
here the Board.

The Gov. of India
instance a case
in which they
receiving the information
they wanted thro:
Government there
was a delay, a portion
of which they think
would have been

Cost of (1878) 3/10

REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Printed 8th.

1878

G.O. 3rd August 1878, No. 1221.

AUGUST

No. 32-38.

Trade and Navigation Returns.—Communi-
cating to the Board of Revenue with instructions
from the Government of India regarding—
and resolving to inform that Government that the
Governor in Council will not object to returns
being made direct to the Board on points of
detail with respect to the returns.

Saved if the
Board had replied
direct.

No doubt this
is to some extent
correct - but it must
be borne in mind
that B.C. Reports are
often despatched
& received by Government
day, seven weeks
after they are
dated.

8. If the Boards
B.C. on the last term
report are dated the
10th May but they
were not despatched
from the Boards
office until the
4th June.

It would be less
misleading if
their B.C. & the
Gov. order were
dated according
to despatch - at all

went both
might also bear
in a prominent
place the date of
despatch or issue.
The Board's P.O. 320
lays down that

"All letters should
invariably be number-
ed according to the
order of their despatch,
the date entered on
them should be the
date of their despatch."

As an instance
of the habit of the
Gov. of India of commu-
nicating direct
with the Board
as to the returns
please see copy of
B.C. letter d.d. 6th
July 78 No. 1869 sent
for the information
of Government.

Submitted that
the previous prac-
tice of direct com-
munication on

I am not sure that this was not
done by conspirators parties.
There is some young & young
is interested in it. It is quite
interesting to know a few things
about the history of the time
and the people who were
involved.

e.g. - Dated 18 July
Issued 22 "
Received

where the actual delay
has been 0.00

A. O. HUME, ESQUIRE, C.B.,

Secretary to the Government of India,
Department of Revenue,
Agriculture and Commerce,

Sirata, dated the 11th July 1878.

Statistics SIR.

ment of India direct to the officers in the different maritime provinces entrusted with the consolidation of the provincial trade and navigation returns; they have, however, been entirely confined to points of detail connected with the figures embodied in the returns, and have never related to matters of principle of which it was necessary that the Local Government should be informed. His Grace the Governor in Council is aware that great stress has been laid by the Secretary of State on the punctual and correct preparation of these statistics; and as the practice of making such references direct appears likely to tend to the avoidance of delay and unnecessary trouble to the Government Secretariat, I am to express the hope of the Government of India that His Grace in Council may be pleased to permit its continuance. Should the Government of Madras desire it, a copy of every such reference will be sent to their

address

address simultaneously with the despatch of the original -

12241
6

3 As an illustration of the delay which would be avoided if direct communication should as hitherto be permitted, I am to observe that the Statement prepared by the Board of Revenue which was enclosed in your letter bears date the 7th March last, that your letter is dated the 28th March, and that it was not received (having been forwarded in print) in this office until the 15th April; it appears probable that the Statement might have been received and the necessary corrections made in the returns fully a month earlier had it been forwarded by the Board direct.

4. With reference to your 4th paragraph, I am to remark that as the Trade Return No. 12-1, in which the Cinchona bark exported from Madras should be entered, is headed "An Account of the Quantities and values of exports of Indian

Produce

Produce and Manufactures; it would seem
superfluous to specify in it that the "Peruvian
Bark" entered is "Indian grown." I am also
to observe that it is believed that "Peruvian
Bark" is merely a commercial name for
Cinchona bark, wherever grown, and
that neither in the returns of the trade
of the United Kingdom nor elsewhere
does it exclusively denote Cinchona bark
obtained from Peru.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Robt. Russell

Secretary to the Government of India

MR

18/9

1224
7.

828-3-78 as 341-45

Revenue 1878 Deft.
No 3124.

From

The Govt of India
Cal

Dated 11th } July 1878
Recd 18 }

21, No 267.

Hand
down

No 1224

order.

Communicated to the
Board of Revenue 20th ref: 6
C.O. 28-10-78
2. The Govt of India

with be informed that
Hence the Govt in Council
will not object to references
being made direct to the
Board on points of detail
connected with the pro-
-portion of the Trade & Navigation
Returns.

3. The Board will at
at present furnish the
with copy of the references
& their replies.

See

Let
orders

3 August 1878

14. To the Board of Revenue

C 23-7-78
R 31-7-78

Remarking on letter
regarding the exports from
Madras of Cinchona bark
during 1875/76 & 1876/77 and
requesting to be permitted
to continue the practice of
making references direct
to the different Maritime
Officers entrusted with the
Consolidation of the pro-
-vincial Trade & Navigation
Returns.

With order

No 1224. 3 August 1878