(1) Number of pages 16

(2) Date of repair 16-4-1984

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / ~~B.P. No.~~	1190	Press. / ~~Mis.~~
Dated	29-7-1878	~~197~~ .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Archives I—5,806—24-2-78.

1190
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

29th July 1878, No. 1,190.

Read the following paper :—

No. 380. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 8th July 1878, No. 1,883.

Read the following letter from H. W. BLISS, Esq., Commissioner of Salt Revenue, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 2nd July 1878, No. 507 :—

My attention has been drawn to the anomalous arrangement noticed both by the Salt Commission and by Dr. Ratton,* by which the Balacheruvu and Pentacottah salt factories, though separate divisions and some 40 miles apart, have between them only the establishment of a single division, the Superintendent whereof remains at Pentacottah where the manufacture is small while the Assistant Superintendent is in charge of Balacheruvu, one of the best stations in the Vizagapatam District.

* Salt Commission's Report, paragraph 153. Dr. Ratton's Report on the salt manufacture of the Northern Districts, page 72.

2. This arrangement is explained by the history of the two divisions which is as follows.

3. The Board having in 1865 sanctioned the abolition of Balacheruvu, its establishment was transferred to Kuppili which was at the same time reopened. It was, however, subsequently found necessary to keep Balacheruvu open also, and the Pentacottah establishment was therefore transferred there as a temporary measure pending the construction of the Pentacottah works. But neither when these works were commenced in 1868 nor when the proposal to abandon Balacheruvu was finally given up by the Board in 1871 was an extra establishment sanctioned for Balacheruvu ; but the Pentacottah establishment continued to be distributed as follows :—

Board's Pros., 7th June 1865, No. 3,050.
Do. 29th Nov. 1866, „ 8,572.
Board's Pros., 22nd July 1871, No. 3,064.

At Balacheruvu ...	1	Assistant Superintendent.
	1	Clerk.
	1	Shroff.
	8	Menials.
At Pentacottah ...	1	Superintendent.
	6	Menials.

It is so distributed to this day.

4. The Superintendent cannot of course work Pentacottah properly single handed. A Clerk from the Bimlipatam Division has therefore been lent him. Under such arrangements, I am not surprised that Pentacottah has never answered expectations as the Board complain in paragraph 3 of their Proceedings, dated 5th March 1877, No. 1,025, and it is wonderful that Balacheruvu has been doing so well. The establishments at the two divisions clearly require to be brought up to full strength, so long as they are both maintained.

5. I think, therefore, that until I am able after personal inspection to submit final proposals for sanction, the present Pentacottah establishment, most of which is

now at Balacheruvu should be kept there altogether and the following temporary establishment entertained for Pentacottah :—

1 Superintendent on	Rs. 50	per mensem.
1 Clerk	20	,,
1 Shroff	10	,,
2 Peons on Rs. 6 each	12	,,
2 Measurers on Rs. 6 each	12	,,
3 Vettyans on Rs. 5 each	15	,,
Total ...	119	

6. I submit herewith a proposition statement in the prescribed form for sanction. The charge must be met from general savings. I request sanction for a year as that will give me time to make arrangements.

7. As the manufacturing season is now nearly, or quite, at an end, the whole of this establishment may not be required at once. I will entertain only so many hands as are absolutely necessary. But some I must put on at once, *e.g.*, the Superintendent, so that both Pentacottah and Balacheruvu may be in charge of responsible persons, and a Clerk to replace the Bimlipatam Clerk who will be wanted in his own division to assist in storing, &c., the shipments we are proposing to send there.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Statement.

SUBMITTED for the sanction of Government.

2. The Board approve the proposal.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Secretary.

No. 381.

ORDER THEREON, 29th July 1878, No. 1,190.

Separate Revenue, No. 40.
Salt.

SANCTIONED as recommended.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Commissioner of Salt Revenue.
,, the Accountant-General.

Exd. C. Howarth.

REVENUE (~~FAMINE~~) DEPARTMENT.

No. 2930.

From Board of Revenue

Dated 8th July 1878.

FOR ORDERS.

1190/3

Sir, Sanction
26/7/78

Cost. of {1878} Madras.

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated July 29th.

Read.

Rec'd.

G.O., 29th July 1878, No. 1,190.

JULY.

Nos. 980-981.

Temporary Establishment for Pendecottah Salt Division, Vizagapatam District—Sanctioning as recommended the entertainment of—

1190
9

FOR ORDERS.

No. 1,883.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 8th July 1878.

Read the following letter from H. W. BLISS, Esq., Commissioner of Salt Revenue, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 2nd July 1878, No. 507 :—

MY attention has been drawn to the anomalous arrangement noticed both by the Salt Commission and by Dr. Ratton,* by which the Balacheruvu and Pentacottah salt factories, though separate divisions and some 40 miles apart, have between them only the establishment of a single division, the Superintendent whereof remains at Pentacottah where the manufacture is small while the Assistant Superintendent is in charge of Balacheruvu, one of the best stations in the Vizagapatam District.

* Salt Commission's Report, paragraph 153.
Dr. Ratton's Report on the salt manufacture of the Northern Districts, page 72.

2. This arrangement is explained by the history of the two divisions which is as follows.

3. The Board having in 1865 sanctioned the abolition of Balacheruvu, its establishment was transferred to Kuppili which was at the same time reopened. It was, however, subsequently found necessary to keep Balacheruvu open also, and the Pentacottah establishment was therefore transferred there as a temporary measure pending the construction of the Pentacottah works. But neither when these works were commenced in 1868 nor when the proposal to abandon Balacheruvu was finally given up by the Board in 1871 was an extra establishment sanctioned for Balacheruvu; but the Pentacottah establishment continued to be distributed as follows :—

Board's Pros., 7th June 1865, No. 3,050.
Do. 29th Nov. 1866, „ 8,572.
Board's Pros., 22nd July 1871, No. 3,064.

At Balacheruvu ...	1 Assistant Superintendent. 1 Clerk. 1 Shroff. 8 Menials.
At Pentacottah ...	1 Superintendent. 6 Menials.

It is so distributed to this day.

4. The Superintendent cannot of course work Pentacottah properly single handed. A Clerk from the Bimlipatam Division has therefore been lent him. Under such arrangements, I am not surprised that Pentacottah has never answered expectations as the Board complain in paragraph 3 of their Proceedings, dated 5th March 1877, No. 1,025, and it is wonderful that Balacheruvu has been doing so well. The establishments at the two divisions clearly require to be brought up to full strength, so long as they are both maintained.

5. I think, therefore, that until I am able after personal inspection to submit final proposals for sanction, the present Pentacottah establishment, most of which is now at Balacheruvu should be kept there altogether and the following temporary establishment entertained for Pentacottah :—

	Rs.	
1 Superintendent on	50	per mensem.
1 Clerk	20	„
1 Shroff	10	„
2 Peons on Rs. 6 each	12	„
2 Measurers on Rs. 6 each	12	„
3 Vettyans on Rs. 5 each	15	„
Total ...	119	

6. I submit herewith a proposition statement in the prescribed form for sanction. The charge must be met from general savings. I request sanction for a year as that will give me time to make arrangements.

7. As the manufacturing season is now nearly, or quite, at an end, the whole of this establishment may not be required at once. I will entertain only so many hands as are absolutely necessary. But some I must put on at once, *e.g.*, the Superintendent, so that both Pentacottah and Balacheruvu may be in charge of responsible persons, and a Clerk to replace the Bimlipatam Clerk who will be wanted in his own division to assist in storing, &c., the shipments we are proposing to send there.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Statement.

SUBMITTED for the sanction of Government.

2. The Board approve the proposal.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, with statement.
" Commissioner of Salt Revenue.
Copy to the Collector of Vizagapatam.

Rad. A. Grubert.

1878.

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, SEE JULY 1878, No. 1,883.

Received

Encl.

JULY.

No. 1,883.

Establishment, Temporary—required for Pentacottah Salt Division in Vizagapatam District.—Submitting to Government application from Commissioner of Salt Revenue for the entertainment of certain —.

Rev Dept

No. 1196/6

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Dated 8th Oct 1878.

Read the following:—

No. 507.

From A. W. Bliss Esq^re
Comm^r of Salt Revenue.

To J. L. Ahatton Esq^re
Asst Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Sir,

*Salt Comm^rs report para 153.
Dr Rattons report on the Salt manufacture of the Northern Districts page 12.

My attention has been drawn to the anomalous arrangement existing both by the Salt Comm^r and by Dr Ratton, by which the Balacheruvu and Pentacotta Salt Factories though separate Divisions and some 40 miles apart have between them only the Establishment of a Single Division, the Superintendent whereof remains at Pentacotta where the Manufacture is small while the Assistant Superintendent is in charge of Balacheruvu one of the best Stations in the Vizagapatam District.—

2. This arrangement is explained by the history of the two Divisions which is as follows.—

3. The Board having in 1865 sanctioned the abolition of Balacheruvu, its Establishment was transferred to Kuppili which was at the same time re-opened. It was, however, subsequently found necessary to keep Balacheruvu open also and the Pentacotta Establishment was therefore transferred there as a temporary measure pending the construction of the Pentacotta works. But neither when these works were commenced in 1868, nor

When

when the proposal to abandon Balacheruvu was finally given up by the Board in 1874, there was an extra establishment sanctioned for Balacheruvu; but the Pentacotta establishment continued to be distributed as follows:-

Board's Rv. 5 2nd July 1874 M 3064

At Balacheruvu
1. Assistant Superintendent
1 Clerk
1 Shroff
8 Menials

At Pentacotta
1 Superintendent
[illegible]

It is so distributed to this day.

4. The Superintendent cannot of course work Pentacotta properly single handed. A clerk from the Bimlipatam Division has therefore been sent him. Under such arrangements, I am not surprised that Pentacotta has never answered expectations as the Board complain in para 3 of their Proceedings 5 March 1877 No 1025, and it is wonderful that Balacheruvu has been

doing so well. The establishments at the two Divisions clearly require to be brought up to full strength, so long as they are both maintained.

5. I think, therefore, that until I am able after personal inspection to submit final proposals for sanction, the present Pentacotta establishment, most of which is now at Balacheruvu, should be kept there altogether and the following temporary establishment entertained for Pentacotta-

1	Superintendent on	Rs 50	per Mensem
1	Clerk	" 20	"
1	Shroff	" 10	"
2	Peons on Rs 6 each	" 12	"
2	Measurers on Rs 6 each	" 12	"
3	Vettyans on Rs 5 each	" 15	"
	Total	" 119	

6. I submit herewith a proposition statement in the prescribed form for sanction. The charge must be met from general savings. I request sanction for a year as that will give me time to make arrangements.

7. As the manufacturing season is now nearly, or quite, at an end, the whole of this establishment may not be required at once. I will entertain only so many hands as are absolutely necessary. But some I must put on at once, e.g. the Superintendent so that both

both Pentacotta and Balacherewa may be in charge of responsible persons, and a Clerk to replace the Bimlipatam Clerk who will be wanted in his own Division to assist in storing &c the Shipments we are proposing to send there.

Office of the Commissioner of Salt Revenue
2d July 1878

I have &c
(Signed) H. M. Bliss
Commissioner of
Salt Revenue

Submitted for the sanction of Government.

2. The Board approve the proposal.

(True Copy & Extract)

A/ Secretary

To,
The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
with Statement

1190/A

Revenue 1878 Deptt
No. 2950.

Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue

Dated 8th July 1878.
R. 11 — 6 —

Encl

81

No. 1190 29 July, 1878

Submitting for the sanction of Government, letter from Commissioner of Salt Revenue requesting sanction for the entertainment of temporary Establishment for Pentacotta Salt Division —

For orders —

The proposed arrangement appears desirable

EMC

Sanctioned as recommended.

EMC
29 July 1878

No. 1190

No. 380