(1) Number of pages 34

(2) Date of repair 11-4-1984

(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. ~~B.P. No.~~ 1175 Press. / Mis.

Dated 26-7-1878 ~~197~~ .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Archives I—5,806—24-2-78.

1175/1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

26th July 1878, No. 1,175.

Read the following papers :—

No. 346. From Lieutenant-Colonel R. H. BEDDOME, Conservator of Forests, to C. G. MASTER, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Ootacamund, 29th April 1878, No. 169.

I HAVE the honor to forward the correspondence as per margin for the consideration of Government.

1. Letter from the Deputy Conservator of South Canara to the Conservator of Forests, No. 108, dated 16th February 1878.
2. Letter from the Conservator of Forests to the Deputy Conservator of South Canara, No. 1,454, dated 25th February 1878.
3. Letter from the Deputy Conservator of South Canara to the Conservator of Forests, No. 201, dated 30th March 1878.

2. Agreeably to G.O., No. 1,206, of the 23rd March 1877, Mr. Cherry was detailed for inspection duty in South Arcot. Whilst thus employed he was entitled to draw his fixed travelling allowance of Rs. 150 per mensem *plus* the usual mileage rates when travelling by rail. He did not carry out any of the inspection duty, but reported sick directly he reached the confines of the South Arcot District and proceeded to Madras. He now submits a travelling bill for Rs. 608, which he thinks he is entitled to draw in addition to his fixed travelling allowance.

FORWARDED to Government through the Accountant-General, Fort St. George.

OOTACAMUND,
29th April 1878.

(Signed) R. H. BEDDOME, Lieut.-Colonel,
Conservator of Forests.

No. 347. From L. C. PROBYN, Esq., Accountant-General, to C. G. MASTER, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Fort St. George, 15th May 1878, No. 1,047.

WITH reference to Conservator of Forest's letter to your address, No. 169, dated the 29th ultimo, forwarded herewith, I have the honor to offer the following remarks upon Mr. J. W. Cherry's claim to travelling allowance submitted therewith.

2. Mr. Cherry is in receipt of Rs. 150 a month fixed travelling allowance and can under Government of India Notification, No. 3,022, dated the 22nd September 1876, be allowed for those days during which he travelled by steamer and rail the allowances he could have claimed had he not been in receipt of the fixed travelling allowance.

3. Colonel Beddome is in error when he says that he and his Assistants draw the fixed travelling allowance *plus* mileage.

4. The Conservator of Forests states that Mr. Cherry "reported sick directly he reached the confines of the South Arcot District and proceeded to Madras," so that from that date he should have been considered as relieved from special duty and on the privilege leave granted to him by Government. From the bill he now submits it is evident that he reported sick at Singarpetta, which is on the confines of South Arcot, on the 30th April 1877.

he

5. From Singarpetta Mr. Cherry is not entitled to claim any travelling allowance from Government except for his own return journey and on behalf of his two peons until they could return to head-quarters at Mangalore.

6. I accordingly submit a memorandum of the claim which can be admitted as a charge against Government in connection with Mr. Cherry's deputation to South Arcot, less his fixed travelling allowance from 1st to 27th May 1877, Rs. 130-10-4, which he forfeits for reasons given below.

7. I would, however, ask for the instructions of Government as to the date from which Mr. Cherry should be considered as having availed himself of his privilege leave. He reported having done so on 27th May 1877, while from the Conservator's letter and Mr. Cherry's bill it is clear that he did no duty after 30th April. His privilege leave should therefore, unless there are circumstances of which I am ignorant, have taken effect from 1st May; but, if this be so, he must be considered as having been absent without leave from 1st to 27th August and his full pay for that period will be forfeited. In these circumstances, too, it would further be necessary that he should be reappointed retrospectively by Government to the post which he forfeited, and Government will require to make at the same time the declaration specified in Section 47-B of the Civil Pension Code.

8. I must add that even according to Mr. Cherry's view his three months' privilege leave expired on 27th August 1877 while he resumed charge of his own appointment (not being allowed to take up the special duty again) on 17th September 1877, so that for twenty additional days he was absent from duty, during fifteen of which he says he was waiting for orders. He also states that the days on account of which his fixed travelling allowance could be stopped is only nine, but this is erroneous, for as he is allowed mileage from 30th April he cannot draw fixed travelling allowance for that day.

9. The grant of his furlough for two years on private affairs which has been granted would also, in these circumstances, be held in abeyance until Government are pleased to reinstate him.

REFERRED to the Conservator of Forests, who will explain why Mr. Cherry considered himself entitled to take privilege leave from the 27th May 1877 when he had reported sick on the 30th of April and been absent from duty from that date.

2. He will also state the cause of delay in communicating to Mr. Cherry the orders conveyed in G.O., 22nd August 1877, No. 2,554.

OOTACAMUND,
8th June 1878.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

No. 348. From Lieutenant-Colonel R. H. BEDDOME, Conservator of Forests, to C. G. MASTER, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Ootacamund, 12th June 1878, No. 452.

WITH reference to your docket on the papers herewith returned I have the honor to inform you that in Mr. Cherry's absence I cannot inform you for certain on what principles he calculated his privilege leave, but I suppose that he only calculated it from the date of its sanction.

2. On reaching the South Arcot District and falling sick he proceeded to Madras on his own responsibility and reported to me (demi-official) from that place on the 4th of May that he had run down to Madras in hopes that a few days' rest would enable him to proceed again to South Arcot *via* the South India line of railway, but finding that he was no better he was compelled to apply for three months' privilege leave to which he was entitled. Upon this I requested him to apply officially submitting at the same time a medical certificate and the usual declaration, and on his doing so I applied to Government for his leave (*vide* my letter, No. 120, of the 14th May, with which I submitted the medical certificate); so I conclude that he considered himself

Leave published in the Gazette of the 22nd May 1877, page 332.

on duty until he obtained this leave, and I presume that he was correct in thinking so.

3. In my letter, No. 124, of the 21st July 1877, I pointed out to Government that I did not consider a Deputy Conservator necessary in South Canara as all operations were at a stand-still and that I could employ Mr. Cherry more profitably on inspection or demarcation duty. On the 15th of August Mr. Cherry reported (demi-official) that his leave expired on the 27th idem, and as I expected orders on my letter to Government before that time I requested him to remain at Coimbatore or Ootacamund on his return until I heard the wishes of Government. He remained, however, at Oossoor, where he was spending his leave. On September 6th, the date of my receipt of the G.O., No. 2,554, dated 22nd August, I telegraphed to Mr. Cherry to rejoin his division at once.

No. 349. ORDER THEREON, 26th July 1878, No. 1,175.

Forests, No. 18.

THE Conservator will call on Mr. Cherry to submit a revised travelling allowance bill in accordance with the Accountant-General's memorandum. The matter should have been referred direct to the Accountant-General and not have been submitted to Government.

2. The Accountant-General will be informed that Mr. Cherry is to be considered to have been on duty for so much of the period of his absence from South Canara as was in excess of the three months' privilege leave granted to him.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Conservator of Forests.
,, the Accountant-General.
,, the Board of Revenue.

Exd. A. S. Rodgers.

Govt. of (1878) Madras

REVENUE.

To

THE CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated July 26th.

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 26th July 1878, No. 1,175.

[illegible]

Nos. 346—349.

Mr. Cherry. — Directing the Conservator of Forests to call on — to submit a revised travelling allowance bill in accordance with the Accountant-General's memorandum, and informing the Accountant-General that Mr. Cherry is to be considered to have been on duty for such of the period of his absence from South Canara as was in excess of the three months' privilege leave granted to him.

Vide cur. 3519/78.

1

Office of Conservator of Forests,

Ootacamund, 29th April 1878.

1175/3

No. 169

No 346

From

Lieut. Col. R. H. Beddome,
Conservator of Forests.

To

C. G. Master Esquire
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Depart.
Ootacamund.

[illegible]

Sir,

I have the honor to forward the correspondence as per margin for the consideration of Government.

1. Letters from the Depy. Conr. of South Canara to the Conservator of Forests, No 108 dated 16th February /78.
2. Letter from the Conservator of Forests to the Depy. Conr. of South Canara No 1454 dated 25th February 1878.
3. Letter from the Depy. Conr. of S. Canara to the Conr. of Forests, No 201 dated 30th March 1878.

2. Agreeably to G.O. No 1206 of the 23rd March 1877, Mr. Cherry was detailed for Inspection duty in South Arcot, whilst thus employed he was entitled to draw his fixed travelling allowance of Rs: 150 per mensem, plus the usual mileage rates when travelling by Rail, he did not carry out any of the Inspection duty but reported sick directly he

he reached the confines of the South Arcot District and proceeded to Madras, he now submits a travelling Bill for Rs: 608, which he thinks he is entitled to draw in addition to his fixed travelling allowance.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servt.
R H Beddome Lt. Col.
Conservator of Forests.

No:

Forwarded to Government though the Accountant General, Fort Saint George.

Ootacamund
29th April '78

R H Beddome Lt. Col.
Consr. of Forests.

1175/4

No. 452

Office of Conservator of Forests,
Ootacamund, 12th June 1878.

1175
5

No 348

From,
Lieut Col. R. H. Beddome,
Conservator of Forests.

To,
C. G. Master Esquire,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Ootacamund.

Sir,

With reference to your docket on the papers herewith returned I have the honor to inform you that in Mr. Cherry's absence I cannot inform you for certain on what principles he calculated his privilege leave but I suppose that he only calculated it from the date of its sanction.

2. On reaching the South Arcot District and falling sick he proceeded to Madras on his own responsibility and reported to me (D.O.) from that place on the 4th of May that he had run down to Madras in hopes that a few days rest would enable him to proceed again to South Arcot via the South Indian Line of Railway, but finding that he was no better he was compelled to

apply

---

80 23-3-77 20-756-57
27-12-76 - 637-80

Revenue 1878. Dept

REVENUE FORESTS.

No. 2121

From
THE CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS.
To The Secretary to Government R.D.
S.O. No. 1175 . 26 July 1878
Dated 29th
Despatched
Received 17th May April 1878
No. 169. 81

4 Enclosures 2

SUBJECT

Forwarding for consideration of Government the within mentioned correspondence regarding Mr Cherry's travelling allowance while he was detailed for inspection duty in South Arcot.

C 21-6-78. R 8-7-78.
R 28-6-78 R 15-7-78.
R 18-7-78 R 25-7-78
No 348

apply for 3 months privilege leave to which he was entitled, upon this I requested him to apply Officially submitting at the same time a Medical Certificate and the usual declaration and on his doing so I applied to Government for his leave (Vide my letter No: 120 of the 14th May with which I submitted the Medical Certificate) so I conclude that he considered himself on duty until he obtained this leave, and I presume that he was correct in thinking so.

Leave published in the Gazette of the 22d May 77 page 332.

?

3. In my letter No: 124 of the 21st July 1877 I pointed out to Government that I did not consider a Deputy Conservator necessary in South Canara, as all operations were at a stand still and that I could employ Mr: Cherry more profitably on Inspection or Demarcation duty. On the 15th of August Mr: Cherry reported (D.O.) that his leave expired on the 27th idem, and as I expected orders on my letter to Government before that time I requested him to remain at Coimbatore or Ootacamund on his return until I heard the wishes of Government, he remained however at Oossoor, where he was spending his leave, on September 6th, the date of my receipt of the Government Order No: 2554 dated 22d August I telegraphed to Mr: Cherry to rejoin his Division at once.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedt. Servt.
R H Beddome Lt. Col.
Conservator of Forests.

1175/7

Memo of Travelling Allowance admissible to Mr Cherry

Passage money for self 2 Servants & 2 Peons from Mangalore to Beypore at Contract rate	50	"	"
Hire of a boat for embarking at Mangalore do do for disembarking at Beypore			
Railway fare for self from Beypore to Samulpetty being 255 miles at 3 As per mile	47	13	"
do for 2 Peons from do to do 3rd class at 4 Rs each	8	"	"
Mileage from Samulpetty to Singarapetta 12 miles at 8 Annas per mile	6	"	"
do from Singarapetta to Samulpetty	6	"	"
Railway fare for 2 Peons from Samulpetty to Beypore 3rd class @ 4 Rs each	8	"	"
Mileage from Samulpetty to Beypore by rail being 255 miles @ 3 As per mile	47	13	"
do from Beypore to Calicut by land being 7 miles at 8 As per mile	3	8	"
Passage money for self 2 Servants & 2 Peons from Calicut to Mangalore	50	"	"
Hire of 1 boat for embarking at Calicut do do for disembarking at Mangalore			
Batta to 2 Peons for 7 days from 24th to 30th April 1877 and for same time on return journey 1 anna each	1	12	"
Half Fixed Travelling Allowance for days on account of which table money is deducted			

Deduct — Total

Fixed Travelling Allowace at 150 Rs per month from 24th to 30th April	35	"	"
do do ——— for 5 days return journey as per para 10. of letter No. 201 dated 30th March 1878	25	"	"
Table money on board the Steamers for days @ 2 Rs per diem			

Deduct Fixed Travelling Allowance from 1st to 27th May at Rs 150 per month	130	10	4
	"	"	"

Pd 22-8-77 Rs 328-29
- 22-5-77 - 290 [illegible]

Revenue/1878-79 Deposit
Revenue Forests

From No. 2544
The Conservator of Forests
To the Secretary to Govt.
Revenue Depart.
Ootacamund
G.O. No. 1175 26 July 1878
Dated 12th } June 1878
Recd. 13th }

No. 452
(Enclosures two)

Subject

Returns with remarks certain papers connected with Mr. Cherry's travelling allowance while he was detailed on Inspection duty in South Arcot &c.

No. 348.
21-6-78. RC 8-7-78
R 28-6-78 R 11-7-78
RC 13-7-78 R 25-7-78

1175/8 2

Audit Department.

No. 1047

No 347

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
15th May 1878.

From The ACCOUNTANT GENERAL
to the GOVERNMENT of MADRAS,

To The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Sir,

With reference to Conservator of Forests letter to your address No 69 dated the 29th ultimo forwarded herewith, I have the honor to offer the following remarks upon Mr J. W. Cherry's claim to travelling allowance submitted therewith.

2. Mr Cherry is in receipt of Rs 15 a month fixed travelling allowance and ca under Government of India Notification No 3022 dated the 22d September 1876 be allowed for those days during which he travelled by Steamer and Rail, the allowances he could have claimed had he not been in receipt of the fixed travelling allowance.

3. Colonel Beddome is in error when he says that he and his Assistants draw t Fixed Travelling Allowance plus mileage.

4. The Conservator of Forests states that Mr Cherry "reported sick directly he "reached the confines of the South Arcot "District and proceeded to Madras" so that

from

from that date he should have been considered as relieved from Special duty, and on the Privilege Leave granted to him by Government. From the Bill he now submits it is evident that he reported sick at Singarpetta which is on the confines of South Arcot on the 30th April 1877.

5. From Singarpetta Mr. Cherry is not entitled to claim any travelling allowance from Government except for his own return journey and on behalf of his two peons, until they could return to Head Quarters at Mangalore.

6. I accordingly submit a memo of the claim which can be admitted as a charge against Government in connection ith Mr. Cherry's deputation to South Arcot, ess his fixed travelling allowance from 1st to 27th May 1877 Rs. 130-10-4 which he forfeits for reasons given below.

7. I would however ask for the instructions of Government as to the date from which Mr. Cherry should be considered having availed himself of his Privilege ve. He reported having done so on 27th ay 1877, while from the Conservator's letter nd Mr. Cherry's bill, it is clear that he did no duty after 30th April. His Privilege Leave should therefore unless there are circumstances of which I am ignorant

have

have taken effect from 1st May; But if this be so he must be considered as having been absent without leave from 1st to 27th August and his full pay for that period will be forfeited. In these circumstances too, it would further be necessary that he should be reappointed retrospectively by Government to the post which he forfeited, and Government will require to make at the same time the declaration specified in Section 47 b of the Civil Pension Code.

8. I must add that even according to Mr. Cherry's view his 3 months privilege leave expired on 27th August 1877 while he resumed charge of his own appointment (not being allowed to take up the special duty again) on 17th September 1877. So that for 20 additional days he was absent from duty, during 15 of which he says he was waiting for orders. He also states that the days on account of which his fixed travelling allowance could be stopped is only 9. but this is erroneous for as he is allowed mileage from 30th April he cannot draw Fixed Travelling allowance for that day.

9. The Grant of his Furlo' for 2 years on Private affairs which has been granted

would

would also in these circumstances be held in abeyance until Government are pleased to reinstate him.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your obedient servant

[illegible]
Accountant General.

Compd [illegible]

Note.

If Mr. Cherry is to be considered to have been on sick leave or furlough in India from the 1st May to the 27th August (when he considered his leave to have ceased) he will lose half his salary for nearly four months i.e. 250 Rs × 4 = Rs 1000. Moreover as he will not have performed "three years continuous service" he cannot be granted more than a one year furlough. (See § 14 Civil Leave Code.) He has now been

I am willing to adopt any course that is open to us saving the officer as much as possible from the course ... of his ignorance. Look accept the [illegible] proposal if no other means present themselves.

10/7 [illegible]

I [illegible] orig. proposal.

No leave can be included in continuous service except, in the case of a U. C. S. officer, privilege leave. § 4. c, Civil Leave Code.

Referred to the Conservator of Forests who will explain why Mr. Cherry considered himself entitled to take privilege leave from the 27th May 1877 when he had reported sick on the 30th of April and been absent from duty from that date.

He will also state the cause of delay in communicating to Mr. Cherry the orders conveyed in G.O. 22 Augt. 1877 No 2554.

Ootacamund,
June 1878.

[illegible]
Secretary to Government

Revenue 1878 Dept
1878 No 2127
From The Accountant Genl Madras
To The Secy to Government Revenue Dept
Dated 15 May 1878
Recd 17 "
Recorded
No 1047
S.O. 17th July 1878

Offering remarks as to how Mr. J. M. Cherry's claim to travelling allowance with reference to Conservator of Forests letter No [illegible] should be dealt with

Referred

granted two years furlough on medical certificate.

Moreover if nearly four months sick leave are deducted from his service he will only have about 1-11 months to his credit (his active service being about 8 yrs & 11 months)

If Mr. Cherry is considered to have been absent from 1-27 Augt. without leave he will only lose Rs 500 (nearly) provided Govt. reappoint him in which case they can say his past services are not to be forfeited. It will be inconvenient but not irregular to do this. Mr. Cherry

+ in a sealed cover.

He is not a young officer who [illegible] of the rules

It does no doubt bear hardly on the officer — but it [illegible] the fact + [illegible] As such must stand I think — BC 13/7

may have some explanation to make & the Acct.-Genl. letter might be sent to him thro: the India + office with a view to hearing what he has to say ?

Lel

Submitted that the instructions to treat his absence as "sick leave" will bear so hardly on Mr. Cherry — who acted as he did in ignorance of the fact that he was breaking the rules — that some more lenient course may seem preferable on reconsideration.

EAM

Note on
Mr Cherry's
leave case

CR-7-78.
RN-7-78.
RC15-7-78.
R25-7-78

For orders

From Conservator of Forests 29th April 78. No 169.
From Acct Genl: 16th May 78. No 1047.
From 12th June 78 No 452.

In April/77 Mr Cherry Deputy Conservr. of Forests South Canara went from his District to South Arcot on Special duty. On arriving at the confines of the latter District he fell sick but instead of proceeding to District head Quarters for treatment he went to Madras.

Thence on the 4th May (having arrived in Madras on the 30th April) he wrote D.O. to Col Beddome stating what he

I can not find the form of declaration Sect 47 b. of the leave code alluded to in the Acct Genl. letter

Practically Mr C was absent from duty for the 30th April & the 27th Augt = 3 mos & 27 days — Three mos of this out can be treated of Priv. leave, & the period between the 27 Aug. & 17th left can be booked as joining time. This I conceive the Acct Genl. has had done & intimating that he had hoped a few days would set him right but that as he found himself still ill he was compelled to apply for 3 months privilege leave.

He was told to do this officially & on the 11th May asked for 3 months leave "from the date of availing myself thereof"

He supported his application by a medical certificate which with his letter was dated Madras.

The leave was granted on the 22 idem.

On the 21st July Col Beddome asked if Mr Cherry should return to Canara at the expiration of his leave. G.O. 22nd Aug. 77 said he should - Col Beddome says he received this on the 6th Sept. & communicated by telegraph with Mr. Cherry on that date.

Mr. Cherry had in the mean time on the 15th Aug. asked Col Beddome for orders ~~[illegible]~~ as his leave he said expired on the 27th & had been told to await orders.

Mr. Cherry appears to have reached his headquarters in Canara on the 17th September/77

In February this year he wrote to Col Beddome

A175
13

~~admitted~~ [illegible] the war

The easiest plan w'd be to convert the whole as sick leave - he might lose pay but the other serious contingencies & forfeitures w'd not arise. I [illegible] & see how other-wise it can be arranged

I conceive that he has gone & [illegible] on sick [illegible]!

He must clearly save his service & pension for he has been acting under a misapprehension

26/6 [illegible]

These have clearly been a series of misconceptions by a sick man. I w'd rule that Mr. Cherry shall be

22 May

considered to have been on duty for the period of his absence from Canara

[illegible] time for orders

in excess of the 3 months
Privilege leave granted
to him: & that when he
applied for orders on 15 Aug.
he should have been [illegible]
duty & that [illegible] of his
leave from 1st Sept. be
[illegible] have been [illegible]
as privilege [illegible]
[illegible]

submitting a bill for travelling allowance to & from S. Canara.

A difference of opinion arose on the details & in April Col Baldone at Mr. Cherry's request sent the case to Government.

It was referred to the Acct. Genl. who points out where both parties were wrong & submits a revised bill.+

This seems correct but the Acct. Genl. points out that Mr. Cherry did no duty after the 30th April but yet counted his privilege leave as commencing on the 27th May whereas it should have had effect from the 1st May.

+ Note

It is very different to Mr. Cherry's but the latter entered expenses to Madras - road charges for his horses when marching - & various other wholly inadmissible items.

See §47. Rule 2 Civil Pension Code page 23.

This being the case from the 1st to 27 Augt. he was absent without leave & forfeits his full pay - Having been absent more than a week his past services are forfeited unless Govt. reappoint him & say the whole or part shall qualify for pension.

Moreover unless his past services count he is not entitled to the furlough which has been granted to him & on which he has already gone to Europe.

It is difficult to understand how Mr. Cherry came to consider himself entitled to take leave from any date he pleased. The Gazette with his leave appeared on the 22nd & he apparently chose the 27th as the date of its commencement quite arbitrarily & he presumedly tarried at Madras until he took it.

Lee

Note
In the Gazette he is simply granted "three months privilege leave." –

I agree with Mr W. Robinson that he should be treated as on such leave

Re 23/6

1175/15

Forests

[illegible]

No 2126

C 21-6-78. RC 8-7-78.
R 28-6-78. R 15-7-78.
RC 18-7-78
R 25-7-78

6

Revenue Department.

175/16

No 1175

Proceedings of the Madras Government.
Under date the 26 July 1878

Read the following papers:-

Letter from Conservator of Forests d/29 Apl/78 No 169.

Letter from Accountant General d/15 May/78 No 1047.

Letter from Conservator of Forests d/12 June/78 No 452.

(Mr Cherry's travelling allowance while he was detailed on Inspection duty in S. Arcot Dt.)

—

WR 19/7

E.H. 24/7

The Conservator will call on Mr Cherry to submit a revised travelling allowance bill in in accordance with the Accountant General's Memorandum. The matter should have been referred direct to the Accountant General and not have been submitted to Govt.

2. The Acctt Genl will be informed that Mr. Cherry is to be considered to have been on duty for so much of the period of his absence from S. Canara as was in excess of the three months privilege leave granted to him

E.C.C.

21 To the Conservator of Forests [illegible]
6 " the Accountant General 5
14 " the Board of Revenue 5

60/4

1175
17

90

Mr [illegible]
Case

case

[illegible]

Read at Mr [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
1917

318-7-78
325-7-78