

(1) Number of pages 14
(2) Date of repair 11-5-1984
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1167	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	25-7-1878	197

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1167

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

25th July 1878, No. 1,167.

Read the following paper :—

No. 331. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 3rd July 1878, No. 1,837.

Read the following papers :—

From H. St. A. GOODRICH, Esq., Acting Collector of Vizagapatam, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 17th June 1878, No. 802.

THE estate of Siripuram in this district was registered in the name of Inuganti Vengayamma Garu, the widow of the late Inuganti Sitaramasawmi Bahadur Garu, under orders of the Government, No. 1,747, of the 21st November 1876. Thereupon the alleged adopted son of the late proprietor Sitaramasawmi Bahadur Garu instituted a Civil Suit No. 8 of 1876 against myself and Vengayamma Garu in the District Court, Vizagapatam, and obtained a decree in his favor.

2. An appeal against the said decision was preferred to the High Court by the said Vengayamma Garu. Pending the appeal the proprietrix requests that the estate be taken under the Court's management lest her interests should seriously suffer by the estate going into the hands of Chinna Sitaramasawmi (the natural father of her husband's alleged adopted son) under the Court's decision.

3. Translation of her application to me on the subject is herein enclosed.

4. I think it advisable to accept charge of the estate pending the decision of the appeal. If the appeal fails the estate falls to a minor; if it succeeds, to a woman. In either case the Court may have to assume the management.

5. In anticipation of the Court's sanction I have directed the Deputy Tahsildar of Palcondah to assume charge of the estate, and will submit proposals for establishment, maintenance, &c., on intimation of the Court's sanction, which I solicit you will early obtain, being received.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

Letter addressed by Inuganti Vengayamma Garu, Proprietrix of Siripuram.

THE estate of Siripuram has up to this time been in my management. Inuganti Chinna Sitaramasawmi Garu is now thinking of taking possession of the estate and manage it himself. The decision of the Civil Court has been appealed against by me in the High Court of Madras, and the appeal is pending. In the event of the management of the estate being taken up by Chinna Sitaramasawmi Garu, considerable loss will be sustained. I request that pending the decision of the Appellate Court the estate may, for its benefit, be taken under the management of the Court of Wards; and that Nistala Subbanna be reappointed Manager. This man was formerly appointed in this capacity, and the appointment communicated to the Board of Revenue. He is fully familiar with the customs of the estate and knows well to transact the business of it.

3rd June 1878.

(Signed) SRI RAJA INUGANTI
VENGAYAMMA GARU.

(True Translation.)

(Signed) H. St. A. GOODRICH,
Acting Collector.

Telegram from INUGANTI CHINNA SITARAMASAWMI, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chicacole, 24th June 1878.

Am guardian and manager of minor Sree Rajah Inuganti Rajagopal Rayani Garu of Siripuram Estate, Palcondah Taluq, Vizagapatam District. Contrary to the will of late proprietor of Siripuram, Vizagapatam, Collector having made over possession

of that estate to late proprietor's wife, a decree of District Civil Court obtained last year against defendant's late proprietor's wife, and Collector was satisfied by making over possession of that estate to me. I am now transacting accordingly under condition of that will as guardian, second defendant, Collector, having made an unjust application and expecting Board's sanction now ousted my possession of that estate. Pending appeal by post the Board to be good enough to put Collector's attempt ousting my possession in abeyance by telegraph.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

The adoption of a son by the deceased proprietor of the Siripuram Estate having been recognized by the District Court of Vizagapatam and an appeal having been preferred against this decision by the widow, she has requested the Collector, on behalf of the Court of Wards, to assume the management of the estate, pending the decision on appeal.

2. Remarking that if the appeal fails the estate falls to a minor, and if it succeeds to a woman, so that in any case the Court may have to assume the management, Mr. Goodrich is of opinion that it is advisable to take charge of the estate at once, and reports that he has directed the Deputy Tahsildar of Palcondah to assume charge of it in anticipation of the sanction of the Court of Wards.

3. In her application to the Collector the widow declares that the estate has been up to date in her management. In a telegram addressed to the Court Chinna Sitaramisawmi, the natural father of the adopted son, states that he has had the management since the decree of the Civil Court now under appeal. The Collector does not state in whose management the estate actually was when he directed the Deputy Tahsildar to assume charge.

4. The Court would have preferred to have had fuller information, but as possession has been assumed, and as, on the whole, it will probably be best for all parties that the estate should be taken under the management of the Court of Wards, they resolve to submit the papers for the information of Government with the request that sanction may be accorded to the estate being taken under management. From the papers recorded with the Court's Proceedings, dated 16th November 1876, No. 2,848, it appears that the collections probably amount to Rupees 17,500 and the peishush to Rupees 10,618-8-0, leaving a balance of Rupees 6,881-8-0. Looking to the provisions of Section 2, Regulation V of 1804, and the absence of any plea of emergency, Mr. Goodrich was not justified in directing the Deputy Tahsildar to assume charge in anticipation of sanction.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) J. STURROCK,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

No. 332.

ORDER THEREON, 25th July 1878, No. 1,167.

Miscellaneous.

THE Government think the Collector's interference was not well-judged, and they desire further explanation as to the person who was managing the estate at the time, and as to the cogency of the reasons for assuming it in anticipation of sanction.

2. Pending this information and the disposal of the appeal now before the High Court, the estate may continue under management.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Ed. F. R. Bantleman.

1167
2

Original Estate in the Vizagapatam District.
Pending the information called for and the dis-
posal of the appeal now before the High Court
pending the — to continue under the manage-
ment of the Court of Wards.



JULY
Nos. 331, 332.

G.O. 28th July 1878, No. 1, 161.

Dated July 28th.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

REVENUE.

Govt. of 1878: 331, 332.

Vide no. 334/78.

have signed. D. L. 1167
T. J. 1167
G. 1167

No. 1,837.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 3rd July 1878.

Read the following papers:—

From H. ST. A. GOODRICH, Esq., Acting Collector of Vizagapatam, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 17th June 1878, No. 802.

THE estate of Siripuram in this district was registered in the name of Inuganti Vengayamma Garu, the widow of the late Inuganti Sitaramasawmi Bahadur Garu, under orders of the Government, No. 1,747, of the 21st November 1876. Thereupon the alleged adopted son of the late proprietor Sitaramasawmi Bahadur Garu instituted a Civil Suit No. 8 of 1876 against myself and Vengayamma Garu in the District Court, Vizagapatam, and obtained a decree in his favor.

2. An appeal against the said decision was preferred to the High Court by the said Vengayamma Garu. Pending the appeal the proprietrix requests that the estate be taken under the Court's management lest her interests should seriously suffer by the estate going into the hands of Chinna Sitaramasawmi (the natural father of her husband's alleged adopted son) under the Court's decision.

3. Translation of her application to me on the subject is herein enclosed.

4. I think it advisable to accept charge of the estate pending the decision of the appeal. If the appeal fails the estate falls to a minor; if it succeeds, to a woman. In either case the Court may have to assume the management.

5. In anticipation of the Court's sanction I have directed the Deputy Tahsildar of Palcondah to assume charge of the estate, and will submit proposals for establishment, maintenance, &c., on intimation of the Court's sanction, which I solicit you will early obtain, being received.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

Letter addressed by Inuganti Vengayamma Garu, Proprietrix of Siripuram.

THE estate of Siripuram has up to this time been in my management. Inuganti Chinna Sitaramasawmi Garu is now thinking of taking possession of the estate and manage it himself. The decision of the Civil Court has been appealed against by me in the High Court of Madras, and the appeal is pending. In the event of the management of the estate being taken up by Chinna Sitaramasawmi Garu, considerable loss will be sustained. I request that pending the decision of the Appellate Court the estate may, for its benefit, be taken under the management of the Court of Wards; and that Nistala Subbanna be reappointed Manager. This man was formerly appointed in this capacity, and the appointment communicated to the Board of Revenue. He is fully familiar with the customs of the estate and knows well to transact the business of it.

(Signed) SRI RAJA INUGANTI
VENGAYAMMA GARU.

3rd June 1878.

(True Translation.)

(Signed) H. ST. A. GOODRICH,
Acting Collector.

Telegram from INUGANTI CHINNA SITARAMASAWMI, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chicacole, 24th June 1878.

Am guardian and manager of minor Sree Rajah Inuganti Rajagopal Rayani Garu of Siripuram Estate, Palcondah Taluq, Vizagapatam District. Contrary to the will of late proprietor of Siripuram, Vizagapatam, Collector having made over possession

of that estate to late proprietor's wife, a decree of District Civil Court obtained last year against defendant's late proprietor's wife, and Collector was satisfied by making over possession of that estate to me. I am now transacting accordingly under condition of that will as guardian, second defendant, Collector, having made an unjust application and expecting Board's sanction now ousted my possession of that estate. Pending appeal by post the Board to be good enough to put Collector's attempt ousting my possession in abeyance by telegraph.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

The adoption of a son by the deceased proprietor of the Siripuram Estate having been recognized by the District Court of Vizagapatam and an appeal having been preferred against this decision by the widow, she has requested the Collector, on behalf of the Court of Wards, to assume the management of the estate, pending the decision on appeal.

2. Remarking that if the appeal fails the estate falls to a minor, and if it succeeds to a woman, so that in any case the Court may have to assume the management, Mr. Goodrich is of opinion that it is advisable to take charge of the estate at once, and reports that he has directed the Deputy Tahsildar of Palcondah to assume charge of it in anticipation of the sanction of the Court of Wards.

3. In her application to the Collector the widow declares that the estate has been up to date in her management. In a telegram addressed to the Court Chinna Sitaramasawmi, the natural father of the adopted son, states that he has had the management since the decree of the Civil Court now under appeal. The Collector does not state in whose management the estate actually was when he directed the Deputy Tahsildar to assume charge.

4. The Court would have preferred to have had fuller information, but as possession has been assumed, and as, on the whole, it will probably be best for all parties that the estate should be taken under the management of the Court of Wards, they resolve to submit the papers for the information of Government with the request that sanction may be accorded to the estate being taken under management. From the papers recorded with the Court's Proceedings, dated 16th November 1876, No. 2,848, it appears that the collections probably amount to Rupees 17,500 and the peishch to Rupees 10,618-8-0, leaving a balance of Rupees 6,881-8-0. Looking to the provisions of Section 2, Regulation V of 1804, and the absence of any plea of emergency, Mr. Goodrich was not justified in directing the Deputy Tahsildar to assume charge in anticipation of sanction.

(True Copies and Extract.)

J. H. M. M. M.
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
Collector of Vizagapatam.

Exd. A. Grubert.

BOARD OF BEHRENS,
MADRAS.

1878.

PROCEEDINGS, 3rd JULY 1878, No. 1,837.

20-7-1878

Encl.

JULY.
No. 1,837.

Siripuram Estate — in Vizagapatam District.
Requesting sanction of Government for taking
the — under management of Court of Wards.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No. 3018.

From

Board of Revenue

Dated

3rd July

1878.

FOR ORDERS.

This case seems a case for intervention. There is an adopted son with his father in management — as decided by the Court of first instance — but I have apparently found in favor of the disputed will. However the Collector has from the post held that in case the case has been this manner by Mr. Goodrich from first instance. But I have no doubt that in the interests of all concerned it is advisable that the Collector should take charge.

21-11-78 20-7-78
21-11-78 20-7-78

21-11-78 20-7-78

Revenue Dept
No 3018

For orders
21-11-78

The inheritance
went in that way
it will be necessary
for Mr. C. of W. to as-
sume management
and he (Mr. C. of W.)
has apparently taken
charge in anticipation.
It may be well to have
to support his action
pending the decision
in appeal - I will
prevent confusion
arising more or less
out of his support.

of the Estate. But
on behalf of the
or of the alleged
trust etc.

has it the intention
Lushington's intention
in the first instance
has proposed as he

The
idms
advised

278

no
new
manager?

1167
5

The Widows claim
17/17 M

I think they (the
widows) have mismanaged the
matter & that the
assumption of
management by
the Palmon family
firstly will judge
but I would not
give it up ^{part} ~~part~~

any further order

without

further full

explanation as

to the person who

was managing at

the time - & the

agency of the person

for assuming it

in anticipation of

sanction

1917

C16-7-78 RC20-7-78
H19-7-78 RC22-7-78

Do not
FOR ORDERS.

1167
Rev. Sept.

Do 1167
Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 25 July 1875.

Read the following
Proceedings of the Board of
Revenue, dated 3rd July 1878 & 1887.

The Government
think the Collector's inter-
ference was not well-judged
and they desire further ex-
planation as to the person who
was managing the estate at
the time and as to the agency
of the person for assuming
it in anticipation of sanction.

Pending this informa-
tion and the disposal of the
appeal now — before
the

1917

the High Court the Estate
may continue under manage-
ment.

Yours

Mr. Justice (Dear Sir) (Enclosed send)

24

3

20/11/67

G.O.

Sriapuran Estate
to be managed by
Caret of War.

Sir W. Robinson
B. 1871

Do not see

C 20-7-78
R 22-7-78

the High Court the Estate
may continue under manage-
ment.

Y^{rs} W^m

14. Toke (Dear 20g) (Dennis) (Dennis)

25

3

1167
7

1167