(1) Number of pages 18

(2) Date of repair 21-11-1983

(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / ~~B.P. No.~~	973	Press. / Mis.
Dated	26-6-1878.	~~197~~ :

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Archives I—5,806—24-2-78.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

26th June 1878, No. 973.

Read the following papers:—

No. 319. From L. C. PROBYN, Esq., Accountant-General, Madras, to C. G. MASTER, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Fort St. George, 11th May 1878, No. 783.

I HAVE the honor to bring to your notice that Mr. H. Gompertz was granted privilege leave for eighty-four days, of which he availed himself at Madura on the forenoon of the 11th January 1878. This leave expired on the forenoon of the 5th of April 1878, but instead of Mr. Gompertz returning to duty at Madura on that date the Superintendent, Revenue Survey, reported his arrival at the Central Office, Madras, on the forenoon of the 6th April, and at Madura on the afternoon of the 10th idem. When Mr. Gompertz joined at the Central Office he had over-stayed his leave by one day, and by the time he reached Madura by six days.

2. With regard to Mr. Gompertz (on return from leave) having reported himself for duty at the Central Office, the Superintendent of Revenue Survey, in reply to my inquiry regarding the authority for his having done this, states that it is "in accordance with a standing order of the department which requires all officers passing through Madras to report themselves to the Superintendent, with a view to discussing general matters connected with their work and receiving instructions thereon. Officers on leave or rejoining are expected to devote a day to this purpose, and usually no question of pay arises. In the present instance, however, it happens that it depends on the view taken of the duty performed whether Mr. Gompertz can or cannot draw pay on the day he reported himself. I consider that he is entitled to pay, for the sphere of his duties as Deputy Superintendent of No. IV Party extends to the Central Office, and a Deputy Superintendent's position within the sphere of his duties is subject to the direction of the Superintendent, and is not a matter with which the Government have deemed it necessary to interfere."

3. Under Rule 6 (*a*), Chapter IX, of the Civil Leave Code, the Government can, of course, permit or require charge to be assumed at the Central Office in such cases, but in the absence of orders to this effect an officer must assume charge at the station to which he stands appointed within the period of his leave.

4. While soliciting, therefore, the instruction of His Grace in Council on the particular case which has led to this reference, I beg to suggest that definite orders be issued for the future guidance of the Survey Department on this matter.

REFERRED to the Superintendent of Revenue Survey for remarks.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

OOTACAMUND,
16th May 1878.

No. 320. From Lieutenant-Colonel A. DEC. SCOTT, R.E., Superintendent of Revenue Survey, to C. G. MASTER, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Kotagherry, 20th June 1878, No. 2,095-86.

REFERRING to the letter (herewith returned) of the Accountant-General, No. 783, dated 11th May 1878, communicated under your endorsement of the 16th ultimo, I have the honor to state that it is undoubtedly convenient and much to the interest of the work of the department that officers belonging to it, who pass through Madras on going on leave, or returning, should visit the Central Office where they have an opportunity of seeing the work of other officers and comparing results. It amounts to a direct saving of time and expense. As a general rule, however, it is desirable that officers holding distinct charges should rejoin their head-quarters and resume charge of cash and accounts within the period of their leave. As a matter of fact, they have (at least as far as I know), with the exception under reference, invariably done so, notwithstanding their adherence to the custom of a visit to the Central Office.

2. I do not think that it is advisable that the Government should lay down any definite rule on the subject for future guidance. It would be better, I think, that each case which the Accountant-General considers it necessary to refer should be disposed of on its merits.

3. I therefore, solicit the sanction of Government to my proceedings with reference to Mr. Gompertz.

No. 321. ORDER THEREON, 26th June 1878, No. 973.

Miscellaneous.

WHILE sanctioning Colonel Scott's proceedings in Mr. Gompertz's case, the Government consider the ordinary Civil Leave usage should hold good as a rule; special orders in particular cases being sought by the Superintendent when real necessity arises.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Accountant-General.
" the Superintendent of Revenue Survey.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Audit Department.

No. 783

312/3

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
11th May 1878

From The ACCOUNTANT GENERAL
to the GOVERNMENT of MADRAS,

To The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to your notice that Mr. M. Gonperlz was granted privilege leave for 37 days of which he availed himself at Madura on the forenoon of the 11th January 1878. This leave expired on the forenoon of the 5th of April 1878. but finding that Mr Gonperlz returning to duty at Madura on that date the Superintendent Revenue Survey reported his arrival at the Central Office Madras on the forenoon of the 6th April and at Madura on the afternoon of the 15th idem. When Mr Gonperlz joined at the Central Office he had overstayed his leave by one day and by the time he reached Madura by six days.

2. With regard to Mr Gonperlz (on return from leave) having reported himself for duty at the Central Office the Superintendent of Revenue Survey in reply to enquiry regarding the authority for his having done

Cost. of 1878. Revenue.

The ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,

Dated June 1878.

Encl.

G.O., 26th June 1878, No. 973.

JUNE.
Nos. 319—321.

Mr. Gonperlz, Deputy Superintendent, Revenue Survey.—Sanctioning Colonel Scott's proceedings in the case of —, and considering his ordinary Civil Leave wages should be paid as a rule; special orders in particular cases being sought by the Superintendent when necessary arises.

done this states that it is "in accordance
"with a standing order of the Department
"which requires all Officers passing through
"Madras to report themselves to the Superin-
"intendent with a view to discussing general
"matters connected with their work and
"receiving instructions thereon.

"Officers on leave or rejoining are ex-
"pected to devote a day to this purpose and
"usually no question of pay arises. In the
"present instance however it happens that
"it depends on the view taken of the duty per-
"formed whether Mr Comperbatz can or can-
"not draw pay on the day he reported himself.
"I consider that he is entitled to pay for the
"sphere of his duties as Deputy Superintendent
"of No IV Party extends to the Central Office
"and a Deputy Superintendents position
"within the sphere of his duties is subject to
"the direction of the Superintendent and is not
"a matter with which the Government have
"deemed it necessary to interfere."

3. Under Rule 6(a) Chapter IX of the Civil Service Code the Government can of course permit or require charge to be as-sumed at the Central Office in such cases but in the absence of orders to this effect an officer must assume charge of the station to which he stands appointed within the period of his leave.

While

4. While soliciting therefore the instruction of His Grace in Council on the particular case which has led to this reference, I beg to suggest that definite orders be issued for the future guidance of the Survey department on this matter.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your obedient servant

[illegible]
Accountant General.

[illegible]

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No. 2714

From The Superintendent of Police Survey

Dated 20 June 1878

FOR ORDERS.

Submitted that Coolsingh be told that while sanctioning his proceeding in this case the Government consider the ordinary civil remedy should be pursued

20/6/78

Rec'd 21/6/78

1878 Revenue Department
Survey
The Superintendent of Police
Alleges
To the Deputy Commissioner
Kandy

Dated 17th June 1878
Rec'd 18th "

Copy

Brings to notice that Mr Pontifex Police Magistrate Gampola and Mr Templeton Superintendent of Survey have been appointed Members of the Revenue Board and requests that such Members may be furnished with Copies of the Survey Ordinance

Referred

Returned to the Superintendent of Survey for information.

Copy sent to Govt. Agent Kandy, to Govt. Agent Colombo and Chairman Municipal Council

15th May 78.

c 25-6-78.
R 26-6-78.

Rs 2095-
2b

Attorney Surve

arule, p special
orders in Par-
ticular cases
being brought
by the Superin-
tendent when
real necessity
arises.

Gill

No 2095
86

Revenue Survey Office
Camp Kotagherry
20th June 1878

97

From

The Superintendent Revenue Survey

To

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Sir

Referring to the letter of the Accountant General No 783 dated 11th May 1878, communicated under your endorsement of the 16th ultimo, I have the honour to state that it is undoubtedly convenient and suited to the interest of the work of the Department that Officers belonging to it who pass through Madras on going on leave, or returning should visit the Central Office where they have an opportunity of seeing the work of other Officers, and comparing results. It amounts to a direct saving of time and expense. As a general rule however it is desirable that Officers holding distinct charges should rejoin their head quarters and resume charge of Cash and Accounts within the period of their leave. As a matter of fact they have (at least as far as I know), with the

* hereunto returned.

No 2095

FOR ORDERS.

c 26-6-78.
R 26-6-78

c 25-6-78.
R 26-6-78

the exception under reference, invariably done, so notwithstanding their adherence to the custom of a visit to the Central Office.

2. I do not think that it is advisable that the Government should lay down any definite rule on the subject for future guidance. It would be better I think that each case which the Accountant General considers it necessary to refer should be disposed of on its merits.

I therefore solicit the sanction of Government to my proceedings with reference to the Gourdity.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
Arthy Scott. A.M. Bl. R.E.
Superintendent Revenue Survey

Former Cases

No. of 187

" "

" "

Issue No.

Dated

Latee Cases

No. of 187

" "

" "

Revenue 1878 Despatch

From No. 2714.

The Superintendent Madras Survey

To

The Secy to Government

Dated 20th Revenue Department

Despatched 22nd June

Received 24th

General No. 2093 Enclosure No. 1

Department No. 86

~~Subject~~

~~REFERENCE.~~

No 973 - 26th June 1878

Subject

Mr Gompertz, Deputy Supdt Pondicherry:— Submits the remarks called for on the letter from the Accountant General, relating to the over-staying of Cadjeby — & requests to confirm the Supdt proceedings advising him to report himself at the Supdt Office. Will order

No 973 26/6/78

With sanction for above proceedings

Mr Gompertz's case the Supdt cancelled

Conducting Cadjeby have under stated

the period as a rule - Should other

Establishment cases similar be

Supdt Chapman's attention will

be invited

Supdt

26 June 1878

No 920

C 25-6-78
R 26-6-78