Sect. I (i)-I—10,006—7-10-70.

(1) Number of pages 12

(2) Date of repair 18.3.1972

(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / ~~B.P. No.~~	747	Press / ~~Mis.~~
Dated 19.2.1877		~~197~~ .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Memo for orders

Board's Proceedings 6th Feb.

1877

In Ex. 8 Jany 1877 W.S.S. it was ordered that the establishment proposed by the Collr. Guadeval should do duty on office hours on holidays & that all goods brought to the Custom House for the extra hours should be reduced & that the merchants benefitted be made to pay for the extra expenditure as usual.

The ordinary rule is that when merchants desire that goods at the Custom House at unusual hours, the establishment necessary for the work shall be in attendance that fees at the rates prescribed should be paid to them by them in the first instance

Collr is not of opinion that no fee is called for - and also to Just appeal proposed on Establishment fees

I see no reason for any further order but I do not object to Collr proposal if agreed in Secy

6/2/77

assistance receivd from the merchants concerned.

The Collector, in view to saving fr. the petitioners, proposed that consignments of goods only shd be passed ab[ove] hours & that in Govt. shd bear the extra fees necessary to obviate detachment from famine funds.

This proposal was modified in the Govt. order above referred to. to the following effect.

1. That the fees be reduced
2. That the merchants benefited should continue to pay the extra charges
3. That the concession shd be extended to all descriptions of goods.

The Collector now says.

1) He does not propose to reduce the rates of fees, but does not object to any reasonable for not carrying out the orders of Govt.
2. It is not practicable to carry out the order in reference to all descriptions of goods, as it being necessary to pass the

duty free goods only during office hours. 74/

3. He does not understand that portion of the order which says "Govt would reduce the usual fees for extra time" taking a smaller amount would keep the rule in duty as proposed.

Does sanction to the following order may be passed.

The Collector has assigned no reasons for not proposing to carry out the orders of Govt. regarding reduction of fees. The Govt. see no difficulty in effecting such reduction.

They do not object to the duty free goods being excepted from the concession ordered.

The Board have rightly interpreted the order of Govt.? 9/11.

The Collector reports the cost of fees collected & distributed during the month of January - Rs 2772

Rs 2772

Regarding suppressing Sundry duty & extra hours.

No. 1102/77

No. 747
19-2-77

Revenue Dept.

747
29

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under Date the 7th Feb. 1877.

Read Board Proceedings dated 7th Feb. 1877 submitting a letter from the Coll. of Sea Customs Madras stating that he does not propose any reduction of fees for work done during extra hours.

The Govt. observe that the Coll. is of opinion that no reduction of fees is now called for; although his original proposition was to abolish the fees altogether.

Under the circumstances, the Govt. see no occasion for further orders on the subject. They have no objection to

Note
The collector probably objects to the reduction of fees payable by the merchants, because the remuneration to be paid to the clerks will be correspondingly reduced. According to his original proposal, the fees were to be abolished (temporarily on repairs alone) and the clerks paid by Govt.

C 10-2-77 R 17/2/77.

to the dutiable goods being passed only during the ordinary Office hours. Excepting Sundays.

The Collector will report the amount of fees collected and distributed during the month of Jan.y last.

2 The Collr of Madras 27-2-77
14 The Bd of Revenue 40
3 The Accts Genl 19-2-77.

dR 19-2-77.

Proceedings of the Madras Govern[illegible]nt, Revenue Department,
19th February 1877.

No. 617. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 5th February 1877, No. 477 :—

Read the following letter from J. HUNTER, [illegible]R, Esq., Collector of Sea Customs, to H. E. S[illegible]KES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 23rd January 1877, No. 163.

With reference to Board's Proceedings, dated 19th January 1877, Miscellaneous No. 70[illegible], communicating G.O., dated 8th January 1877, No. 53, I have the honor to report that I do not propose any reduction of fees.

2. Considering that the expeditious clearing of *grain* landed from vessels was imperatively necessary under present circumstances, I proposed that the fees for extra work might be paid by Government, so as to make the extra hours available to the traders.

3. Government observe that if they pay the fees the extra hours should be extended to the passing of all description of goods. This cannot be done, as it will involve passing of dutiable goods also, which, for many reasons, cannot be passed out of office hours. The plan proposed by Government cannot therefore be carried out.

4. I do not exactly understand the proposal made in the last sentence of the G.O., that Government would reduce the usual fees for extra time, and taking a smaller amount would keep the men on duty as proposed.

5. With reference to the latter portion of the Board's Proceedings under reply, I have to state that the fees payable to the establishment employed out of hours are debited in equal proportion to the several merchants requiring their services, and that the expense to each individual is thereby reduced.

Submitted to Government with reference to G.O., dated 8th January 1877, No. 53.

2. The Board understood the concluding sentence of the order to convey an intimation that the Government were in favor of a reduction of the fees proposed by the Collector and sanctioned in the order in question, but are not sure that their interpretation was correct. It will be seen that the proposal to pass all description of goods during the extended hours is impracticable.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

No. 618. Order thereon, 19th February 1877, No. 747.

Separate Revenue, No. 36.
Customs.

The Government observe that the Collector is of opinion that no reduction of fees is now called for, although his original proposition was to abolish the fees altogether.

Proceedings of the Madr *overnment, Revenue Department,*
19th *ruary* 1877.

2. Under the circumstances, Government see no necessity for further orders on the subject. They have objection to the dutiable goods being passed only during the ordinary office hou nd working days.

3. The Collector will report amount of fees collected and distributed during the month of January last.

(True Extract)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Collector of Madras.
,, the Board of Revenue.
" the Accountant.

Exd. A. F. Goodall.

Govt. of Madras G.O., 19th February 1878, No. 747.

REVENUE.

The COLLECTOR OF MADRAS,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated February, 1878.

Rec'd.

Retention of fees for work done during extra hours in Sea Customs Collector's Office.—Observing that there is no necessity for further orders on the subject of —, and that there is no objection to charitable grods being passed only during ordinary office hours, &c., requesting the Collector to report the amount of fees collected and distributed during January 1877.

FEBRUARY.

Nos. 617-618.