

(1) Number of pages 10
 (2) Date of repair 11/2/72
 (3) Initials of the Referencer ...

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	666	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	13 - 2 - 1877	197

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 434. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 3rd February 1877, No. 461 :

Read the following letter from C. T. LONGLEY, Esq., Collector of Salem, to F. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Salem, 26th January 1877. Season No. 392-53.

No. 3,235, dated 20th December 1876. Proceedings, dated 1st January, 1877. I HAVE the honor to reply to Proceedings noted in the margin.

2. In the latter of these, the Board base their conjecture on the proportion of early cultivation according to the statement submitted with my letter, No. 62, dated 15th ultimo. With respect to this, I would submit that the small proportion of late cultivation, which was taken as commencing from September, is of itself sufficient evidence that all crops previously sown failed. The condition of the crops as they stood in November, since which there has been no rain, was described in my letter, dated 23rd November 1876, Season No. 4.

3. With reference to the first of the Board's Proceedings (No. 3,235) I beg to say that it is quite impossible now to get particular information regarding village-wise remissions or the extent of failure of crops in each village as no trace exists of crops which failed.

4. The crops in each taluq were examined by the Divisional Officers prior to the introduction of relief works, and subsequently again when submitting statements forwarded with my letters, Nos. 62-353 and 3-169, dated 15th ultimo and 7th instant, respectively. The figures entered in those statements as indicating the loss may therefore be taken as the standard by which remissions should be regulated, and the Board will observe that in no taluq except Ahtur was the extent of failure less than 75 per cent., and that the variations over it are so small that they may fairly be left out of account for the present purpose. I would therefore recommend that a remission of 75 per cent. on all dry lands in the marginally-noted tracts be given. The Board

Ossoor, Dharampury, Krishnagberry, Tripa-
tor, Uttengherry, Omalore Division of Salem
Taluq, Trichengode, and Paramathi Division
of Namkul Taluq.

remark that the circumstances of Ahtur are not specially unfavorable this year, but I beg to state that portions of this taluq also suffered near to Salem boundary, but not to the same extent as the rest of the district. In the eastern portions of Ahtur, Razipur, and Namkul, and in all the Kolmalay valley in fact the crops fared a little better; the loss is estimated at 30 per cent., at which rate I request the Board will authorize remission.

THE Collector's present proposal goes far beyond that originally submitted, and appears to the Board excessive.

2. They fail to understand the reasoning in paragraph 2. If the rain-fall in the early months was sufficient, as it undoubtedly was in many parts of the district being the early crop to maturity, the Board fail to see why remission should be granted at all, and they cannot accept the principle propounded by the Collector that, when the estimated loss is a certain percentage, remission of dry assessment

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
13th February 1877.*

to that extent should be given. Within certain limits, and especially considering present prices, a partial crop will enable the ryots to pay with ease the light assessment fixed upon their dry lands.

As to the Ahtur Taluq the Board observe that the Collector asks for a 30 per cent. remission over the whole taluq, but he admits that the crops are a part of it only.

(A true Copy and Extract)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Secretary.

No. 435. ORDER THEREON, 13th February 1877, No. 666.

Land Revenue, No. 34.

RECORDED. The Government observe that proposals for remission are carefully sifted by the Board and reduced to proper dimensions.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) E. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Ed. A. Raith.

Providence, R.I. Jan 27/77

Rev Dep^y, Sec^y

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 3^d February 1877

Read the
No 392
53.
From The Collector of Salem
To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Mass.

Sir,
I have the honor to reply
to Proceedings noted in the margin.
In the latter of these, the
Board base their conjecture on the pro-
-portion of early cultivation according to the
Statement submitted with my letter No. 62
dated 15th Oct. 1876. With respect to this
I would submit that the small pro-
-portion of late cultivation which was
taken as commencing from September
is of itself sufficient evidence that
all

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 3^d February 1877
No. 434-435.

FEBRUARY
No. 434-435.

G. O. 18th February 1877. No. 660.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

REVENUE.

G. O. 18th February 1877. No. 660.

all crops previously sown failed.
The condition of the crops as they stood
in November since which there has
been no rain was described in my
letter dated 23rd November 1876 Season No. 4.

3. With reference to the first
of Mr. Board's Proceedings (No. 3235) I
beg to say that it is quite impossible
now to get particular information
regarding village-wise remissions of the
extent failure of crops in each village,
as no trace exists of crops which
failed.

4. The crops in each Taluk
were examined by the Division Officer
prior to the introduction of Relief
Works and subsequently again when
submitting statements forwarded with
my letters Nos. 62³⁵ / 189 dated 15th
Ultimo and 7th Instant respectively.

600/12
The figures entered in those statements
as indicating the loss may therefore
be taken as the standard by which
remissions should be regulated and
the Board will observe that in no
Taluk except Chitpur was the extent
of failure less than 75 per cent
and that the variations over it are
so small that they may fairly
be left out of
account for the
present purpose.
I would therefore recom-
mend that a remission
of 75 per cent on all
dry lands in the Mar-
-all noted parts be given. The Board
remark that the circumstances of Chitpur
are not specially unfavourable this
year, but I beg to state that portions

portions of this Taluk also suffered
near to Salem boundary but not
to the same extent as the rest of the
District. In the eastern portions of
Ahtur, Razipur and Namkul and
in all the Kolimalay Valley in fact
the crops fared a little better; the
loss is estimated at 30 per cent at
which rate I request the Board will
allow a remission.

Salem Collecting Office, }
Salem, 26th Jan'y '77 }
Shree }
Sd/- L. J. Longley }
Collector }

The Collector's present
proposal goes far beyond that originally
submitted and appears to the Board excessive.

They fail to understand the
reasoning in para 2. If the rainfall
in the early months was sufficient
as it undoubtedly was in many parts

of

600/12
of the district to bring the early crop to
maturity the Board fail to see why
remission should be granted at all and
they cannot accept the principle proposed
by the Collector that when the estimated
loss is a certain percentage remission
of dry assessment to that extent should
be given within certain limits, and
especially considering present prices a
partial crop will enable the ryots to
pay with ease the light assessment fixed
upon their dry lands.

As to the Ahtur taluk the
Board observe that the Collector asks for a 30
per cent remission over the whole taluk
but he admits that the crops suffered in a
part of it only. (Enclosed Extract)

Sd/- H. E. Stokes
Acting Secretary.

To The Collector of Salem (Enc. Copy)

Sd/-
Acting Secretary

To The Additional Secretary to Government, Rev. Secy.

14
These Books Received 2/13-2 1/2 filled up & ready

Broome 1794 Bkkt

Vol 11/3

Do 11/4

Do of the 17th of Nov.

about 3:30

about 3:30

about 3:30

about 3:30

about 3:30

about 3:30

about 3:30

about 3:30

about 3:30

about 3:30

about 3:30

about 3:30

about 3:30

about 3:30

about 3:30

about 3:30

1134/8

Some that happened to
be found are carefully
written & the rest is not
in any manner - Dr. 1/2

13th of June 1777 -

on which the letter was
received for revision on
the lands in the several
parishes of the District.

Wm. Gordon