

(1) Number of pages 3 Sheets.

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue.

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. B.P. No:	6132	Press. Mis.
Dated	16. 10. 1877	197 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

88
Archives I-10,006-19-4-79.

No. 4,478.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 25th September 1877.

Read the following letter from G. D. LEMAN, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 11th September 1877, No. 1,945 :—

With reference to Proceedings, No. 3,710, August 9th, 1877, I have the honor to reply that I must withdraw my opinion that the posts of the Master Attendant and Superintendent, Sea Customs, can be conveniently amalgamated in the person of the Master Attendant now that the Supreme Government have determined to take him under their control.

2. The subordination to one Government as Master Attendant to another as Superintendent of Sea Customs would lead to much confusion.

3. The proposal to amalgamate the two offices was started because the Master Attendant's pay was so small.

4. It may be presumed that the Supreme Government will remedy that defect ; no advantage was expected by the amalgamation of the offices.

SUBMITTED to Government in continuation of Board's Proceedings, dated 9th August 1877, No. 3,710.

(A true Copy as Extracted.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
Copy to the Collector of the Kistna District.

Exd. A. Grubert

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following ~~letter~~ Proceedings

of the Board of Revenue (thru' Revenue Dept.)
dated 25th September 1877, N^o 4478.

Read letter from the Collector of
Kistna District to the Secretary to the Board
of Revenue, dated 11th September 1877, N^o 1945.

With reference to Proceedings N^o 3710
August 9th, 1877, I have the honor to reply that
I must withdraw my opinion that the posts
of the Master Attendant and Superintendent
of Sea Customs can be conveniently amalgamated
in the person of the Master Attendant, now
that the Supreme Government have determined
to take him under their control.

2. The subordination to one Government as
Master Attendant, to another as Superintendent
of Sea Customs, would lead to much confusion.

3. The proposal to amalgamate the two
offices was started because the Master At-
tendant's pay was so small.

4. It may be presumed that the Supreme
Government will remedy that defect, no
advantage was expected by the amalgamation
of

1877.
Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 25TH SEPT. 1877, No. 4478.

Received

Encl.

SEPTEMBER.
No. 4478.

Post of Master Attendant and Superintendent
of Sea Customs.—Submitting to Government
letter from collector of Kistna stating that
he must withdraw his opinion that the — can
be conveniently amalgamated in the person of
the Master Attendant now that the Supreme
Government have determined to take him under
their control.

of the office.

Submitted to Government in continuation
of Board's Proceedings, dated 9th August 1877.
N^o 3710.

(True Copy & Extract.)

(Signed) J. Hurrook

Asst. Secy. Secretary

Order thereon 10 October 1877, N^o 530.

It is observed that the Master
Attendant, Madras in his letter to the
G.O. dated 22nd September 1877, N^o 526, has
reported that "as it is only at the minor
ports that the amalgamation of the appoint-
ments of Master Attendant and Superintendent
of Sea Customs is to be introduced no inter-
ference by the Supreme Government is likely
to take place".

2. This accords with information subse-
quently received from the Government of India.

(True Extract.)

J. Hurrook

Under Secretary.

The Revenue Department.

Revenue Dept
Bolt of 11877 Mhdry.

2/9/43/9
No. of J. L. Avenue Dept

Dated 10
Recd. 10 } Oct. 1877, No. 550

Nos.

16110 Recd. as from all
information on letter from all
of which on the subject of
amalgamation of the ports
of the States Admndant &
Superintendent of the Customs

2/9/43/9

2/9/43/9

16 Oct 77

(1) Number of pages 3 Sheets.

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue.

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. B.P. No:	6132	Press. Mis.
Dated	16. 10. 1877	197 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

88
Archives I-10,006-19-4-79.

No. 4,478.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 25th September 1877.

Read the following letter from G. D. LEMAN, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 11th September 1877, No. 1,945 :—

With reference to Proceedings, No. 3,710, August 9th, 1877, I have the honor to reply that I must withdraw my opinion that the posts of the Master Attendant and Superintendent, Sea Customs, can be conveniently amalgamated in the person of the Master Attendant now that the Supreme Government have determined to take him under their control.

2. The subordination to one Government as Master Attendant to another as Superintendent of Sea Customs would lead to much confusion.

3. The proposal to amalgamate the two offices was started because the Master Attendant's pay was so small.

4. It may be presumed that the Supreme Government will remedy that defect ; no advantage was expected by the amalgamation of the offices.

SUBMITTED to Government in continuation of Board's Proceedings, dated 9th August 1877, No. 3,710.

(A true Copy as Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
Copy to the Collector of the Kistna District.

Exd. A. Grubert

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following ~~letter~~ Proceedings

of the Board of Revenue (thru' Revenue Dept.)
dated 25th September 1877, N^o 4478.

Read letter from the Collector of
Kistna District to the Secretary to the Board
of Revenue, dated 11th September 1877, N^o 1945.

With reference to Proceedings N^o 3710
August 9th 1877, I have the honor to reply that
I must withdraw my opinion that the posts
of the Master Attendant and Superintendent
of Sea Customs can be conveniently amalgamated
in the person of the Master Attendant, now
that the Supreme Government have determined
to take him under their control.

2. The subordination to one Government as
Master Attendant, to another as Superintendent
of Sea Customs, would lead to much confusion.

3. The proposal to amalgamate the two
offices was started because the Master At-
tendant's pay was so small.

4. It may be presumed that the Supreme
Government will remedy that defect, no
advantage was expected by the amalgamation
of

1877.
Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 25TH SEPT. 1877, No. 4478.

Received

Encl.

SEPTEMBER.
No. 4478.

Post of Master Attendant and Superintendent
of Sea Customs.—Submitting to Government
letter from collector of Kistna stating that
he must withdraw his opinion that the — can
be conveniently amalgamated in the person of
the Master Attendant now that the Supreme
Government have determined to take him under
their control.

of the office.

Submitted to Government in continuation
of Board's Proceedings, dated 9th August 1877.
N^o 3710.

(True Copy & Extract.)

(Signed) J. Hurrook

Asst. Secy. Secretary

Order thereon 10 October 1877, N^o 530.

It is observed that the Master
Attendant, Madras in his letter to the
G.O. dated 22nd September 1877, N^o 526, has
reported that "as it is only at the minor
ports that the amalgamation of the appoint-
ments of Master Attendant and Superintendent
of Sea Customs is to be introduced no inter-
ference by the Supreme Government is likely
to take place".

2. This accords with information subse-
quently received from the Government of India.

(True Extract.)

J. Hurrook

Under Secretary.

The Revenue Department.

Revenue Dept
Bolt of 11877 Mndry.

2/9/43/9
No. of J. L. Avenue Dept

Dated 10
Recd. 10 } Oct. 1877, No. 550

Nos.

16110 Recd. as from all
information on letter from all
of which on the subject of
amalgamation of the ports
of the Master Admndant &
Superintendent of the Customs

1877
10/10/77
10/10/77