

(1) Number of pages 6
(2) Date of repair 30.6.1981
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	4175	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	25.7.1877.	197

Not to be folded or roughly handled.

Revenue Department

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 17th July 1877

Read the following

B.S.R. No 7128 OF 643

From

The Collector
of Salem

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Madras

Sir

I have the honor to enclose

Pachal a revised statement of deductions from
Kasinakempetty
Puthagoram the Perchush of the marginal millake
Mookanur
Peringoram in the Tripatore Taluk through which
the Puthupell road in that Taluk runs,
and to request that the Board of Revenue
will be good enough to obtain the sanction
of Government to the same in lieu
of

of the one sanctioned by them in their
Proceedings No 2594 dated 6th November
last communicated in Board's Proc.

No 9706 dated 10th Idem.

2. The Lands entered in the state-
ment originally prepared included
portions not taken over by the Local
Fund Board and which the owners
are unwilling to part with.

Salem } I have
Collectors Office } B. F. Longley
23rd June 1877 } Collector

Submitted for the orders of Govt
with reference to G.O. 6th November 1876
No 2594.

In his letter No 170 dated 19th July
1876 the Collector of Salem requested
Sanction for reducing the Pishchus
of 5 Mortahs in his District by Rupen
22-11-11 being the assessment of

20.84 acres of Land taken up ^{S.N.} in those
estates on account of certain Local
roads. On the Board's recommendation
the Government sanctioned the reduction
in the order quoted above. The Collector
now explains that out of the Land
referred to the actual extent taken
up was only acres 8.23 the owners
having declined to part with the
remainder, and therefore requests
Sanction for deducting the assessment
on this extent only amounting to
Rs 8-6-7 from the Pishchus of the
estates concerned. The Collector
should have explained the reason
for the error committed in the
first

first instances when the who-ter-ent-ent
 was stated to have been taken up. The
 orders of Government are requested for
 the cancellation of the sanction accorded
 in the G. O. quoted for the deduction of
 Rs 8-6-7 only from the Peishench of
 the estates as shown below

Name of Estate	Land taken up			Present Income		
	Peishench	Peishench	Peishench	Peishench	Peishench	Peishench
1 Pachal	11-11-6	11-11-6	11-11-6	11-11-6	11-11-6	11-11-6
2 Kacinakipatti	4-49	4-13-8	1782-7-5	1787-7-9		
3 Periyarum	2-6	1-9-2	1787-8-2	1788-15-0		
4 Puthaparam	11-83	1-1-9	917-3-5	916-1-8		
5 Chukkaram	11-81	11-8-6	877-3-2	876-12-8		
	8-23	8-6-7				

(True copy & Contract)

[Signature]
 Ag Secretary

To
 The Secretary to Govt R. D.
 with original Statement to be returned

[Signature]

1875

G. O. O. No. 1875 No. 2594 is accordingly cancelled; and sanction granted for the deduction of No. O. O. 7 from the list of the Trustees specified in the last para of the foregoing Proceedings.

Do. of Proceedings 25-7-75
with the

25 July 75

Expense 1875 Report

1875 3325

Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue
25th July 75
25th July 75

25th July 75

25th July 75
Submitting to the

Letter from the Board
of Revenue regarding
the Board of Revenue
from the Board of
Revenue
in the Department

With Order

6/7