

(1) Number of pages 10
 (2) Date of repair 22.4.1981
 (3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

REVENUE. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	34 77 REV.	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	17.7.1877	197 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled



No 3242

Revenue Department

1/397

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Dated 11 July 1877.

Read the following

No 230

From

A. Wedderburn Esquire

2

Collector of Coimbatore

H.E. Stokes Esquire

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Sir

Madras.

B.D. Feb. 12
No. 7437

I have the honor to forward two estimates for raising the depressed portions of, and strengthening, the bank of the Calingarayan Channel, each amounting to less than Rs 2000, but both aggregating Rs 3930. As both these estimates are for portions of the same work

Collected and returned to the office of the collector

work, I submit them for the sanction of the Board from famine funds, with a copy of the District Engineer's letter in which he records his approval of the work.

2. I take this opportunity of enquiring whether when separate estimates are prepared for different portions of a single work at different dates, each not exceeding Rs 2000, they should invariably be submitted for the sanction of the Board. For instance, after a work is examined and estimate made for its repair and, being within Rs 2000, sanctioned by the Collector, another portion of the same work

3/277
work may be found to be needing repair, which and the former estimate may aggregate more than Rs 2000. Are both the estimates then to be submitted to the Board for sanction, stopping any work already commenced on the former? In a case like this, the Board remarked (vide para 3 of Proceedings No 1783 of 19 April 1877 and para 2 of no 2056 of 3rd May 1877) that "2 estimates for separate portions of the same work ought not to be recognised and that the "estimates should be submitted to the Board" for their sanction. But when I recovered them from the working

working localities and submitted
them to the Board, they
remarked that the estimates
did not require their sanction
vide Revenue Board's Pro-
ceedings No 2499 of 31st May 1877.
Under these circumstances
it is not clear which is the
correct course to adopt.

Combatore (his office)
30 June 1877

I have the
honour to
acknowledge
for Collector

No 10951

From

The Acting District Engineer
Combatore

To

The Collector
Combatore

Sir

With reference to your

No 1

Enclosures Nos 140 and 141 dated 21st June/77.
2 Estimates
for petty repairs
to Halingaroyan
Channel No 1990
of 1940
1. Sub-Superintendent
bearing estimates
and are for raising depressed
portions of the bank to the
height of the adjacent banks
and for widening such
portions of the bank as
are too narrow and weak.
Wherever the bank is raised
it is to be brought up to a
height of at least $1\frac{1}{2}$ feet
above full supply level
with a width of about 9
feet at top having an inner
slope of 1 to 1 and an outer
slope of $1\frac{1}{2}$ to 1. New revetments
have also been estimated
for

for such parts of banks
as are acted upon by a
strong current.

District Engineer's Office }
Coimbatore }
26 June 1857 }
J. A. A. Wood Captain R.E.
Ag. Dist. Sup. Coimbatore
True copy,

J. A. Wastmole
for Master

The estimates are sanctioned

2. When the total cost of a
work exceeds Rs 2000, the
estimate should be submitted
for sanction but separate
estimates for different
portions of a work prepared
at different dates each
not exceeding Rs 2000 are
within the competence of the
Collector to deal with. In
stating

stating that two estimates for
different portions of the same
work ought not to be recognized
the Board intended to convey
that the estimate should provide
at once for all that is requisite.
The estimates now submitted
are for different sections
of the same channel, which
may be viewed as separate
works.

True copy & Extract,

J. C. A. Galton
Ag. Secretary

To
The Collector of Coimbatore
True copy,

C. Galton
Ag. Secretary

To
The Asst. Secretary to Govt.
Revenue Department

Comd. & M. S.
C. A.

3977

Nov. 1877 Sept.
790 5762

Indicate District

Gr. W. 397

March 1877

61
13777

17 July 1877

Proceedings of the
Board of Education
Taken 11 July 1877
Room 12
Held at 10:15 July 1877

Wing. 2 Teachers
for raising the
Standard of
of 8 through morning
the hour of the
California and
in California
District —