(1) Number of pages

(2) Date of repair

(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / B.P. No.	364	Press. / Mis.
Dated	19. 1. 1877	197 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Archives I—5,806—24-2-78.

R.D.

—

Major Stuart's promotion

—

Memo: for orders

Letters from Director Revenue Settlement, of 13 & 27 Decr 76. Nos 4331/73 & 4455/75

In accordance with Sir W. Robinson's wishes Mr Carmichael communicated with Mr Puckle whose reply dated 27/12/76 No. 4455/75 is put up. Mr P. says that as the Govt of India sanctioned 6 Deputy Directors' posts & as only 3 of the vacancies in that grade have been filled up there is at least one vacancy to which Major Stuart can be appointed —

Referring, however, to the Govt of India letter of the 8th Feby 1862 No 1241. (embodied in ¶ 26 of the Madras Govt. of 14th March 1862. No 573)

vide remarks in this office Memo: dated 19/12/76

Can we now fall back on a general & unlimited sanction of a general character of 16 years ago? Is there a vacancy as per existing Budget? (2) Why has the sanction remained so long inoperative (3) Wd there be any objection to ask the Acct. General whether there is any financial objection? (4) Is this a time to increase Estab. when we are practically suspending operation of the Dep?

E/s JSL

The real question is the rate of Tent allowance wh. Major Stuart shd draw. If not promoted to occupy the appt he has filled as offg for 6 years he will be a loser while

it is to be observed
~~that~~ that Govt. while
sanctioning the large
increase to the Survey
Establishment recommended
by the Madras Govt. in
their P 26 of the
25th Novr. 1861 No. 2213
directed that "the
"whole expenditure required
"for a given year for the
"Survey may henceforth
"be shown in the Budget
"Estimates of the year
"& not departed from
"without urgent necessity
"and on special sanction"
At that time the Survey
& Settlement Departments
were treated as one
& the increased ex-
-penditure which
the Govt. of India
sanctioned included
a Dy. Direc.
~~the pay of~~
~~[illegible] (or 1200 Rs a~~
~~month) as~~
~~work Each~~
~~there we knew that~~
~~a difficulty~~

on furlo. which is a
hardship — If there is a
provision in the Budget to
meet the charge. and I conclude
there must be, I think Major
Stuart is fairly entitled to what
he claims. I cannot understand
why the Govt. have delayed
a decision on the question of
his promotion.
The fact that this department
is being employed on other
duties should not I think
influence our decision —

R.E.
3/1/77

& see [illegible] go along with

Stated by Mr Puckle
& as it appears from
that gentleman's letter
of the 13th Decr. /76 No. 4331/73
that Major Stuart's confirma-
tion in the position of Deputy
Director will not entail
any additional expenditure
at present, as he draws
the full pay*, but will
place him in a better
position when he takes
leave, (and it may be
remarked on his return)
there seems to be no difficulty
in the way of appointing
Major S. to be a Dy. Director,
though it would perhaps
be advisable to report the
appt. & the grounds on which
it has been made to the
Govt. of India.
Orders are requested

30/12/76

We must look at consequences more with increase the move-
ment be taken forth –

* The explanation of this is
given below:
Full pay of Dy. Director — 1200.

Major Stuart now draws,
Pay as Major — 640.
Do. as Asst. Director 425.
Deputation allowance
at 20 p.c. on 1200 — 240
1306
(excluding arrears of pay)
this being in excess of the
full pay of the Dy. Director
that pay is passed to
him.

~~[illegible]~~

Major Stuart's
Case

For orders

c 1-1-77 to 2-1-77

Revenue Dept

Memo for orders

Letter from Director
of Rev. Settlements 13th
Dec: 1876 N. $\frac{4331}{73}$

Major
Stuart is now acting
Deputy Director of
Revenue Settlements in
charge of the Chingleput party.

He was recommended
to be confirmed as
Deputy Director in the
Director's letter dated 26th
May 1874 N. $\frac{1127}{116}$. The
Govt. were not then pleased
to sanction the proposal,
vide

Note
He draws Rs 425 as consol[illegible]
[illegible] in addition to pay
of his rank Rs 640-14-6

The case is a somewhat
hard one so far as personal
considerations go—
But (1) there is no
pecuniary (present) disad-
vantage — & (2) the question
arises is there a vacancy in
the grade to which Major S:
aspires? If not how can the
power to advance him

vide Office Memo para 3 and the remarks of the Members, attached to the Director's letter above referred to.

The question was again raised in 1875 in the Director's report 9: June 1875 para 40, and in a subsequent D.O. Note from Mr Rundall. It was then also decided to take no action in the matter.

The question is now revived. Orders are requested.

Offr. to what practically to be that of a Supernumerary in the Class?

The Rev Sec: will kindly explain or obtain the Director's explanation before further circ.

20/12 M

368/4

Memo for letter

Major Stuart — Settle-
-ment Dept

Vide Off. Memo. No. 215
d/22d Dec 1876

/76 # 20.12.76

No. 2435
/5

Revenue Settlement Office,
Madras, 27 December 1876

From,
R. K. Puckle Esqr
Director of Revenue Settlement

To
The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department.

Sir,

In reply to your Official Memorandum of the 22d Instant No. 215, I have the honor to state that as the Government of India have already authorized first the employment of four Deputy Director's Parties, and subsequently of six in accordance with the late Mr. Newill's proposals as will be seen by a reference to the correspondence as noted in the Margin; and as only three of the said permanent posts have been filled up as yet there is at least one vacancy to which Major Stuart can be appointed.

Ext. Mn. Con. No. 96 of 25
Jany/58
Ext. Mn. Con. of 16 April/58
No. 462
Letter from Govt. of Madras
to the Govt. of India
of 23 Novr/57 No. 2214
Mn. Con. [illegible] Janu/58
No. 373
Containing the Orders of Govt.
of India

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most obedient Servant

R. K. Puckle
Director of Revenue Settt.

No. 588 Revenue Settlement Office

Pommeri

Papers for the

Revd. 1876 Depart.

No. 6111

From

The Director of R Sett

To

The Secretary to

Government

Rev Department.

Dated 27th } December/78
Recd 27th }

No. 4253
/5

Stating that there is a vacancy of Dy Dr's post which Major Stuart can be appointed

Forwarding
for the Hon'ble ...
papers in the ...

the seise of length of ... the

No.

DEMI OFFICIAL.

Accountant General's Office,
Madras, January 6th 1877.

My dear Gunther,

I have looked over the orders &c & I find that there is no objection to Major Shirreff's appt. as a permanent Asst. Director of Rev. Settlement. There seems to be nothing as far as I can see which renders the order you brought to me invalid but the number of

the score of length of Service in
the

Dy. Director Sanctioned
has never been on the
strength Govt. can
appoint thereat without
making any reports
to the Govt. of India

Yours sincerely
J.W. Lodwich

No.

DEMI OFFICIAL.

Accountant General's Office,
Madras, January 6th 1877

Dear Garstin;

If Major Stuart goes home after being confirmed he will get 50 per cent on his average salary for the last 3 years whereas if he went unconfirmed he will get 50 per cent on his pay & staff all^ce only or 495-7/3 a month. He would thus be a considerable loser.

There is no objection that

No. 588/285

Revenue Settlement Office
Camp Ponneri
[illegible] 1876

26/16

I am aware of this
being confirmed *Ahmed*
Goodrich seeks to hold the appt.
for himself

J. W. Lodwick

L No 36½

No. $\frac{588}{285}$

Revenue Settlement Office
Camp Ponneri
29th November 1876

From:

The Acting Dy Director of
Rev Settlement
Chingleput

To.

The Director of
Rev Settlement
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring the following facts to your notice with a request that, if you see no objection, this letter may be submitted to Government for their favourable consideration.

2. Mr Sullivan, when Acting Director of Rev Settlement, in his letter addressed to Government dated 28th May 1874 No. $\frac{1127}{16}$, advocated my claim to a permanent Deputy Director's Post both on the score of length of service in the

the

the Department and also because my duties, when Asst. Director, were identical with those performed by Officers, in charge of Settlement Parties, who were enjoying the rank and emoluments of a Deputy Director. He at the same time pointed out that I should long since have obtained promotion "had not the Government considered it expedient to appoint two Covenanted Civilians to the Post of Deputy Director which barred the door to the Asst. Directors, who under the terms of para 8 of G. O. dated 1st December 1860 No. 2289, had learnt to look [illegible] to the higher grade as the certain reward of their service in a subordinate position." He also recommended that the Chingleput Party should be strengthened, and

and constituted a Deputy's charge in view to accelerating operations, and he requested that these proposals might be considered when the question regarding the reorganization of the Department, then contemplated should be finally disposed of. But his recommendation was apparently overlooked when it was ultimately decided that a change in the Constitution of the Department was unnecessary. After the interval of a year your report on the results of the Settlement of a portion of the Sydapet Taluq was submitted to the Board, and in the concluding paragraph of the same you referred to Mr. Sullivan's letter and solicited "the early orders of Government" thereon, and the Board in para 19 of their Proceedings dated 4th August 1875 No. 2168 drew

drew the attention of Government to your Solicitation, but it seems to have escaped their notice as no allusion was made to the Subject when 7 months afterwards Government passed their orders.

3. Subsequently on a personal representation of the matter to the Secretary to Government in the Revenue Department, and also some of the Members of Council it was referred to Mr. Rundall then Acting Director, for his opinion, and in reply he stated that he considered the Subject had been disposed of by Mr. [illegible] in his letter to Government dated 24th October 1874 No. $\frac{2266}{35}$ in which he brought to notice the insufficiency of the budget allotment to meet the extra expenditure that would be incurred by sanctioning Mr. Sullivan's proposal. Upon which the Government, without making any Comment on the Subject, directed the letter "to be recorded," and thus the hope of my being Confirmed in the Deputy Directors Post, which in Consequence of the recommendations of Mr. Sullivan and yourself, I had been led to cherish for upwards of two years, terminated in a disappointment. Nearly a year has elapsed since then and as I am on the eve of departure to Europe on Furlough and should, if not Confirmed now, have to return to a Subordinate position, after discharging the duties of the higher grade for upwards of 6 years I venture once more to urge my claim to a permanent Deputy Directors Post.

4. The Chingleput Party must be maintained at its present numerical strength seeing there are still 4 Taluqs to be settled in the District, and it would probably be transferred to South Arcot afterwards, as the North Arcot Party consists of Telugoo men who would be useless in the preparation of Accounts &c in a Tamil Country. If it is admitted therefore that there is sufficient work to keep the Party employed for years to come, the services of a Deputy Director will be needed for the same period to conduct the Settlement, and submit the usual reports. When the Settlement Department was first constituted the Government sanctioned Mr Newill's proposal for entertaining four Deputy Directors Parties, but hitherto there have been only three permanent Deputies employed. The permanent Parties are those in the North Arcot, Cuddapah, and Coimbatore Districts. Mr Goodrich attached to the latter was relieved by Mr Clogstoun for special employment in Ganjam, and that Party, at present under Mr Spedding consisting of Telu men, was considered an extra and temporary one and will cease to exist when that District is completed. When Mr Goodrich, who, I believe, is not likely to revert to his post, is struck off from the strength of the Department Mr Clogstoun will necessarily become his successor, and be confirmed in Coimbatore,

and as the necessity for the
ontinuance of the Chingleput or
f 1st Party for an indefinite
eriod, has been stated above
beg that I may be recommended
o be placed in permanent
harge of the same. This permanent
post would bring with it no
advantage to me in a pecuniary
point of view *now*, as I should
raw the same salary as I
am doing at present: the difference
between my substantive pay
as an Assistant and that as
permanent Deputy would be
161 Rupees. But if the allowances
the 1st Asst Director, in the Settlement Department were
assimilated with the Survey
which seems only just, the
emoluments of the two
Departments, having originally
been

been fixed on the same basis, the
difference would be only Rs 36
if I were appointed as permanent
Deputy Director. I may here
mention that Government in
their order dated 15th of December 1860
No. 2415, recognized the principle
of the salaries of the Assistants
being fixed on the same basis,
and as the Government of I
in their Resolution communicated
with G. O. dated 18th of January 1873
No. 63, had sanctioned 550 Rupees
per mensem as the pay of the 1st
Assistant in the Survey, it wa
expected that the Settlemen
Department would have been
placed on the same footing,
and it was on this ground that
Mr. Banbury solicited an
increase

increase to Mr Mayor's Salary which, on being negatived, led Mr Sullivan to address Government further for a reconsideration of this decision, but the letter was merely "ordered to be recorded."

The fact of this Party being constituted a Deputy's charge would not involve any extra expenditure as regards Field or Office Establishments, and no augmentation would be necessary. I have acted in my present Capacity for many years, as I have already mentioned, and have submitted Settlement Schemes which have met with the approval of the Board and Government, and the Secretary of State in his Despatch relative to my report on the 3 Talugs of Budwail, Sidhout, and Pallumpet, was pleased to endorse the Commendation bestowed on me by the Director and the Board of Revenue for the careful manner in which the same had been prepared.

5. Under the above Circumstances I respectfully request you will be good enough to submit my case for the reconsideration of Government.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obt Servt
C. J. Stuart Major
Ag Dy Director of Rev Surv

No. 4331/73

Revenue Settlement Office,
Madras,
13th December 1876.

From,
R. K. Puckle Esquire,
Director of Revenue Settlement
Madras,

To/
The Honorable D. F. Carmichael
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department
Fort Saint George

Sir,

I have the honor to forward for the favorable consideration of Government an application from Major Stuart to be confirmed in the post of Deputy Director of Revenue Settlement in which he is now acting.

dt 20 November 76

285

2. Major Stuart has been 15 years in the Department and has acted as Deputy Director on two occasions. - he performs all the duties of the head of a party to my complete satisfaction and as a matter of fact has a mor onerous charge in carrying out

the

No. 3006 of 76

From
The Ag. Dy. Director of
Rev. Settlement
Chingleput Dist.

To Rev: S 767/76.
The Director of
Rev. Settlement
Madras

Camp Ponneri
Dated 29th November
Received 1 December 1876
Enclosures none.

No. 588/285

Requesting to submit his case [illegible] for the reconsideration of Government.

REVENUE SETTLEMENT DIRECTOR

the Chingleput Settlement than any other Deputy in the Department.

3. His party is nominally an extra party, but it cannot be dispensed with for years, and confirming Major Stuart as its head will entail no additional charge at present, as he draws the full amount of the salary, though it will place him in a better position whenever he may [illegible]

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant,

R K Puckle
Director of Revenue Settlement

Rev: 1876. Deptt.

From No. 5767.

The Director of

Rev: Settlement

To,

The Secretary to

Government

Rev. Department

Fort. St George

Dated 13th } December

Recd 15th } 1876

No. 4331
73

Encl 1

Forwarding an application from Mr Major Stuart to be confirmed in the post of Dy Dr.

No. 364

1 To the Dray Revsett dr 2d Jany

C. 20 - Decr 76 at 20 - 12 - 76