

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	3865	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	6-12-1877	197

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
POLITICAL DEPARTMENT.

6th December 1877, No. 678.

Boundary Dispute.

Read the following paper :—

No. 16. From T. C. PLOWDEN, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Foreign Department, Political, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort William, 27th November 1877, No. 2625-P.

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter, No. 592, dated 18th October 1877, I am directed to say that the Governor-General in Council sanctions the deputation of Mr. Foster, Collector of the Godavari District, as sole arbitrator, for the settlement of the dispute regarding the boundary of the Feudatory State of Bustar and the Bhadrachalam Taluq of the Godavari District in the Madras Presidency.

No. 17. ORDER THEREON, 6th December 1877, No. 678.

Communicated to the Collector, Godavari District, who will be required to make his arbitration, in the course of the ensuing season, and putting himself into communication with the Political Officer in charge of the Bustar Feudatory State. The services of a Surveyor will be necessary and may probably be obtained through the District Engineer.

(True Extract.)

J. H. H. H.
Under Chief Secretary.

To the Collector of Godavari.
" Public Works Department.
" Revenue Department.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

200

The reference
212) means
(it made) in
English dangerous!

Ms. B.5.85

No. 4860

From Revenue Board

Dated 12 Dec

1877

FOR ORDERS.

FOR ORDERS.

Extra allowance sanctioned
to Mr. Hayne for work done
in connection with
the Travancore & Cochin
Boundary Demarcation work.

is a further re-
ference to be made
to the Govt. of India
as suggested
by the Board?
When G.O. 13 Sept
1877 No 2715 was
passed it was
ascertained de-
termined that the
condition of Mr. Hayne
was not to be
made the basis
of the allowance.

No. 4,976.
PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 12th November 1877.
Read the following letter from J. B. PENNINGTON, Esq., Collector of Tinnevely,
to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 20th
October 1877, No. 722:—

In consideration of the expense and hardship of travelling in connection with the
Tinnevely-Travancore boundary demarcation work, the Government in their Order,
No. 1,837, dated 22nd December 1875, sanctioned an extra allowance to Mr. Hayne,
Deputy Conservator of Forests, viz., the difference between his ordinary travelling
allowance (Rupees 150 a month) and Rupees 10 per diem during the time spent by
him on the hills. On the strength of the said order Mr. Hayne drew this extra allow-
ance from the 5th of January to the 28th of April, the period he was engaged in the
boundary work in 1877. But the Accountant-General considers this allowance
inadmissible on the ground that the sanction of Government conveyed in their Order,
No. 1,837, held good only for the year 1875, and notwithstanding that G.O.,
No. 2,715, dated 13th September 1877, which finally decides the matter, was com-
municated to him, he declines to withdraw his objection unless it is certified that
Mr. Hayne "is employed in demarcating a boundary which is not also the boundary
of his forest range."

2. The Accountant-General's objection does not seem to me to be reasonable,
but the certificate required cannot be given because, of course, the boundary of Tinne-
vely and Travancore is also the boundary of Mr. Hayne's charge; I have the honor
to request therefore that he may be called upon to withdraw his objection.

3. I may add that Mr. Hayne was not relieved of his forest duties during the
period he was employed in the special work in 1877.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government with reference to G.O., dated 31st
August 1877, No. 2,614.

2. The Accountant-General objects to the allowance sanctioned in Mr. Hayne's
case in G.O., dated 13th September 1877, No. 2,715, on the ground that the Govern-
ment of India passed a similar allowance to Mr. Douglas subject to the condition that
it should be payable only during employment in demarcating a boundary which is
not also the boundary of the forest range. The Board consider that the officers
employed on the arduous duty of demarcating the boundary between British Territory
and the Travancore and Cochin States are entitled to consideration, and suggest that, if
necessary, a further reference should be made to the Government of India with a view
to the removal of the restriction imposed. The primary object is not the demarcation
of the boundary of the forest range, and the work on which Messrs. Hayne and Douglas
have been employed was not undertaken for that purpose and cannot be regarded as
appertaining to their regular duties. Under the Ruling of the Government of India,
Mr. Douglas is apparently entitled to no extra remuneration, and Mr. Hayne will
draw the allowance only for the period occupied in demarcating that portion of the
boundary which adjoins Zemindari land.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
Copy to the Collector of Tinnevely.
" to the Conservator of Forests.

Exd. W. H. French.

Revenue copies
No 4860
1877.
Board of Revenue.

Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

Exd. J. W. Fischer.

No. 63.

ORDER THEREON, 6th December 1877, No. 3,365.

Forest, No. 3.

The demarcation of the general boundary between British Territory and the Travancore and Cochin States is no part of a Forest Officer's duties, and when Messrs Douglas and Hayne are employed on that work the extra allowance should be paid to them.

Following is the order of the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 20th October 1877, No. 7224—

In consideration of the expense and hardship of travelling in connection with the Tinnevely-Travancore boundary demarcation work, the Government in their Order, No. 1,837, dated 22nd December 1875, sanctioned an extra allowance to Mr. Hayne, Deputy Conservator of Forests, viz., the difference between his ordinary travelling allowance (Rs. 150 a month) and Rs. 10 per diem during the time spent by him on the hills. On the strength of the said Order Mr. Hayne drew this extra allowance from the 5th of January to the 28th of April, the period he was engaged in the boundary work in 1877. But the Accountant-General considers this allowance inadmissible on the ground that the sanction of Government conveyed in their Order No. 1,837 held good only for the year 1875, and, notwithstanding that G.O. No. 2,715 dated 13th September 1877, which finally decides the matter, was communicated to him, he declines to withdraw his objection unless it is certified that Mr. Hayne "is employed in demarcating a boundary which is not also the boundary of his forest range."

2. The Accountant-General's objection does not seem to me to be reasonable, but the certificate required cannot be given because, of course, the boundary of Tinnevely and Travancore is also the boundary of Mr. Hayne's charge; I have the honor to request, therefore, that he may be called upon to withdraw his objection.

3. I may add that Mr. Hayne was not relieved of his forest duties during the period he was employed in the special work in 1877.

SENT FOR THE ORDERS OF GOVERNMENT WITH REFERENCE TO G.O., DATED 31st AUGUST 1877, NO. 2,614.

2. The Accountant-General objects to the allowance sanctioned in Mr. Hayne's case in G.O., dated 13th September 1877, No. 2,715, on the ground that the Government of India passed a similar allowance to Mr. Douglas subject to the condition that it should be payable only during employment in demarcating a boundary which is not also the boundary of the forest range. The Board consider that the officers employed on the arduous duty of demarcating the boundary between British Territory and the Travancore and Cochin States are entitled to consideration, and suggest that, if necessary, a further reference should be made to the Government of India with a view to the removal of the restriction imposed. The primary object is not the demarcation of the boundary of the forest range, and the work on which Messrs. Hayne and Douglas have been employed was not undertaken for that purpose and cannot be regarded as appertaining to their regular duties. Under the ruling of the Government of India, Mr. Douglas is apparently entitled to no extra remuneration, and Mr. Hayne will draw the allowance only for the period occupied in demarcating that portion of the boundary which adjoins zemindari land.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed)

C. A. GALTON,
Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

3565

Ms. A. 5. 239/11

Colt. of (1877) 2000000.

REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Secy.

Ac.

Ad.

Printed December 1877.

Printed.

Printed.

G. O. 1877 December 1877, No. 3305.

DECEMBER.

No. 62-03.

Handing between British Territory and the
Treasurer and British States—Quarrying, that
the determination of the general— is to put of
a Forest Officer's duties, and to maintain that when
passed, the British and the British are employed on that
work the extra allowance should be paid to them.

