

(1) Number of pages 12  
(2) Date of repair 18.6.1971  
(3) Initials of the Referencer \_\_\_\_\_

# TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

*Revenue* DEPARTMENT

|          |           |       |
|----------|-----------|-------|
| G.O. No. | 329       | Press |
| B.P. No. |           | Mis.  |
| Dated    | 23.1.1877 | 197   |

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 715. Read the following petition from RANGASHAYI, Curnam of Odayargoodi, and four others, Chidambaram Taluq, South Arcot District, dated 15th November 1876, No. 2,417.

ABSTRACT.—Appealing against the order of the Sub-Collector, confirmed by the Collector and the Board of Revenue on appeal, dismissing the petitioner from his hereditary appointment of Curnam, which he held for the last twenty years, on the ground that he encroached upon one decimal of poramboke land, and did not bring the same to account; that he represents that he possesses 131 acres of land in the village; that he let out to two persons named Vencatachellam and Chinnappien; that they encroached upon one decimal of poramboke land adjoining his own; that the bit of land encroached upon was too small to be perceptible by the eye at the time of cultivation; that petitioner found it out only at the next legal measurement, and included the same into the account No. 16 (Pothoorunnal account), that the Revenue Inspector of the range, who was on bad terms with the petitioner, and who had a friend for petitioner's place, took advantage of this mistake and falsely represented to the Tahsildar that petitioner himself cultivated the land in question; that the Tahsildar executed a lease-bond which the lessees had for their land for cultivation for Fasli 1284.

With regard to the Sub-Collector's remark that petitioner had been warned and fined before, submits that he had not been warned and fined for cultivating poramboke land; that the remark is applicable to Annamalay, his former lessee, whom he has turned out; and as regards that authority's second remark that petitioner is utterly useless, states that he has been the Curnam of five villages for the last twenty years; that he has served under this very Tahsildar for seven years; that no defect was found in him up to this time; that if petitioner were even guilty of the encroachment, his dismissal from his hereditary post is too severe a punishment; that 100 times the assessment on the encroached bit of land has been levied from him instead of from his lessees; that the Government has restored the Village Munsif of Oodayargoodi, who was dismissed on a charge. Petitioner may be restored to his post.

No. 716. ORDER THEREON, 23rd January 1877, No. 329.

Miscellaneous.

2. The penal assessment levied will be restored.
3. Petitioner is referred to the Collector.

(True Extract)  
(Signed)

D. F. CARMICHAEL,  
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.  
„ the Accountant-General.  
„ the Petition Department.  
Exd. A. F. Goodall.

Original returned to

*Section*

N<sup>o</sup> 2432 of 1876 of 15<sup>th</sup> November

Recd 31<sup>st</sup>

From Rangachari, Curum  
of Odlayagudi & four others  
Chidambaram Taluk, South Arcot  
District - dated 15<sup>th</sup> November 1876

Appealing against the  
order of the Sub Collector confirmed  
by the Collector and the Board  
of Revenue on appeal, dismiss-  
ing the petitioner from his hereditary  
appointments of Curum, who  
he filled without any fault  
for the last 20 years, on the  
ground that he encroached

upon one decimal of Poramboke  
land, and did not bring the  
same to account, represents that  
he possesses 131 Acres of land  
in the village; that he leased it  
out

2432 Sh 329 of 1876

The Gov. Council has  
has already been sufficiently  
punished - He will be  
restored.

2. The penal assessment  
levied will be remitted

3. This is referred to the  
Collector

Justd. Secy. to Govt.  
6th Decr 76  
2d Secy. to Govt. 2/3-2/77

This man's case is an old  
favor with the V. Manuppi  
& the Manigari - in both  
of whom cases Govt. have  
ordered restoration &  
remission.

37/1

3

6

2432 Sh 329 of 1876

REVENUE  
THE BOARD OF REVENUE  
No. 715-716  
JANUARY  
G.O. 2043 January 1877, No. 331  
Recd  
Dated January 23<sup>rd</sup> 1877  
Encl

Rangachari, late Curum of Odlayagudi in  
South Arcot District - Directing the restoration  
of him to his office, and the remission of the penal  
assessment recovered from him.

outs to two persons named  
Vineetachellam & Chinnappiam  
that they unintentionally encroached  
upon one decimal of Poramboke  
land adjoining his own; that  
the bit of land encroached upon  
was too small to be perceived  
by the naked eye at the  
time of cultivation; that pete  
found it out only at the  
Adungal measurements, and  
included the same into the  
account N<sup>o</sup> 16 (Pothoornam  
accounts); that the Revenue  
Inspector of the Range, who was  
on bad terms with petitioners  
and who had a friend for pete  
place, took advantage of the  
mistake and falsely represented  
to the Tahsildar that petitioners  
himself cultivated the land  
in question; that the Tahsildar  
and the Sub Collector did not  
take

take notice of the lease bond,  
which the lessees had executed,  
to pete for their having taken up  
his land for Cultivation for 12  
1284 -

With regard to the  
Sub Collector's remark, that pete had  
been warned & fined before, submit  
that he had not been warned &  
fined for cultivating Poramboke  
land, that the remark is applicable  
to Arumalay, his former lessee  
whom he has turned out, and  
no regards that authority's  
remark that pete is utterly useless  
states that he has been the  
Curnam of 5 villages for the last  
20 years, that he has served as  
this very Tahsildar for some years  
that no defects was found in him  
up to this time; that if pete is  
even guilty of the encroachment  
his dismissal from his hereditary  
post is too severe a punishment  
that 100 times the assessment on  
the encroached bit of land has  
been levied from him instead  
of from his lessees; that Govt  
has

has restored the Village head  
of Odayargoody, who was dis-  
missed on a similar charge.

Prays, therefore, that Peto  
may be restored to his post.

Wm. R. R. R.

the original measurement  
and included the same in  
the account No 16 (Pothoonan  
may account); that the  
Revenue collector of the Range,  
who was on bad terms with  
Peto, and who had a friend for  
Peto's place, took advantage of  
this mistake and falsely re-  
ported to the Tahildar, that  
Peto himself cultivated the  
land in question; that the  
Tahildar and the Sub Collector  
did not take notice of the lease  
bond, which the lessee had  
executed to Peto for their having  
taken up his land for culti-  
vation for Rasti 1234.

With regard to the Sub-  
Collector's remarks, that Peto  
had been warned & fined  
before, submit that he  
had not been warned &  
fined

fined for cultivating  
Pothoonan land, that the  
remark is applicable to  
Annamalai, his former  
lessee, whom he has turn-  
ed out; and as regards  
that authority's 2<sup>nd</sup> remark,  
that Peto is utterly useless,  
states that he has been the  
lessee of 5 villages for  
the last 20 years; that he  
has served under this very  
Tahildar for seven years;  
that no defect was found  
in him up to this time;  
that if Peto were ever guilty  
of the encroachment, his  
dismissal from his hire  
detary post is too severe  
a punishment, that 100 times  
the assessment on the en-  
croached bit of land has  
been levied from him in-  
stead of from his lessee;  
that Govt has restored the  
Village head of Odayar-  
goody, who was dismissed  
on a similar charge.

Prays, therefore, that  
Peto may be restored to his post.

and the Board of Revenue  
on appeal, dismissing the  
plea from his hereditary ap-  
pointment of Curram, which  
he filled without any fault  
for the last 20 years, on the  
ground that he encroached  
upon one decimal of Poonam  
bake land, and did not bring  
the same to account, he pre-  
sents that he possesses 12  
acres of land in the village,  
that he leased it out to two  
persons named Venkatachel-  
lum & Chinnaipen; that  
they unintentionally encroach-  
ed upon one decimal of Poonam  
bake land, adjoining his own,  
that the bit of land encroached  
upon was too small to be per-  
ceptible by the naked eye at  
the time of cultivation; that  
he found it out only at  
the

Government of Madras.

N<sup>o</sup> 671  
1877 *Rev* DEPARTMENT.

Petition No. 2432 of 1876.

From Rengastayi

Occupation Curram of

GB-23 January 77 No 329

Village Codayargoodi & four others

Talook Chidambaram.

District South Arcot.

Dated 15<sup>th</sup> } November 1876

Received 2/54  
20 Jan 77

Enclosures. 3

ABSTRACT.

Applying against the  
order of the Sub Collector,  
confirmed by the Collector  
3 1/2 and

16-1-77