

(1) Number of pages 3
 (2) Date of repair _____
 (3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	3232	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	10-11-1877	197

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

10th November 1877, No. 3,232.

Read the following paper :—

No. 108. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 5th November 1877, No. 4,925.

Read the following letter from C. T. LONGLEY, Esq., Collector of Salem, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sankerdoo, 19th October 1877, No. 399.

I HAVE the honor to enclose an original letter, No. 1, dated 14th instant, received from Mr. J. C. PRITCHARD, Barrister-at-law, who under the sanction of Government conveyed in G.O., No. 1,012, dated 14th March last, conducted the defence in Original Suit No. 8 of 1876 on the file of the District Court of Salem (Foulkes *versus* Collector of Salem); and to request that the sanction of Government to the payment of the fee of Rupees 1,000 named by Mr. Pritchard be obtained.

2. The suit was an important one the hearing lasted three days, and Mr. Pritchard was occupied on the Hills for five days in the examination of witnesses by Commission. He took a great deal of trouble in the case and conducted it to a successful termination. The fee named by him is moderate; Mr. Handley's fee would have been 50 pagodas, or Rupees 75 a day = 1,400 Rupees.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From J. C. PRITCHARD, Esq., Barrister-at-law, Salem, to C. T. LONGLEY, Esq., Collector of Salem, dated Salem, 14th October 1877.

I HAVE the honor to bring to your notice that my fee for conducting the defence in Original Suit No. 8 of 1876 on the file of the District Court of Salem is Rupees One thousand.

SUBMITTED to Government with reference to the orders noted in the margin, with the recommendation that payment of Rupees (1,000) one thousand be sanctioned.

2. The Collector should submit copy of the decree and judgment.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
for Secretary.

No. 109. ORDER THEREON, 10th November 1877, No. 3,232.

Miscellaneous.

SANCTIONED Rupees one thousand.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
.. the Accountant-General.

Ed. F. R. Bantleman.

No. 4925

dated 5th November 1877.

Read the following

No 599

From

7

4

named by Mr. Woodward. he
obtained.

2. The suit was an important
one, the hearing lasted 3 days
& Mr. Pritchard was occupied
on the hills for 5 days in the
examination of witnesses by
Commission. He took a great
deal of trouble in the case
& conducted it to a successful
termination. The fee named
by him is moderate; Mr. Handley's
fee would have been 50 pagodas
or Rs 175 a day = 1400^{Rs}.

Salem Collector's Office } I have on
Camp Sankaridrug } (to) C. J. Longley
19th October 1897 } Collector

Enclosure

Salem
14th October 1897

No. 1
From C. Pritchard Esqr
Barrister-at-law
Salem
To C. J. Longley Esqr.
Collector of Salem

the defence in Original Suit No. 8 of
1876 on the file of the District Court
of Salem is Rupees one thousand

I have the
(to) C. Pritchard
Barrister-at-law

Submitted to Government with reference
to the orders noted in the margin
with the recommendation that
payment of Rs (1000) One thousand
be sanctioned.

2. The collector should submit copy
of the decree & judgment.
(True copies to be sent)

Collector
for Secretary

To The Secretary to Govt.

CP 4079.

Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue

August 5th November 1897
Dec- 6th do do

Recommending the payment
of Rs 100 as per to Mr.

Mr. J. C. M. for conducting

the office in connection with

the office of the

Commissioner of the

Revenue

collected of Rs 100

Mr. J. C. M. for conducting
the office in connection with
the office of the
Commissioner of the
Revenue

CP 4079.