

(1) Number of pages 10
(2) Date of repair 22.6.1971
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

R. revenue

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

312

Press
Mis.

Dated

23.1.1877

197 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
23rd January 1877.*

No. 682. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 5th January 1877, No. 36 :—

Read the following letter from W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Nagavedu, 29th November 1876, No. 552.

I HAVE the honor to submit the following case for the orders of the Board of Revenue.

2. In the village of Bontapally Pakala Payakat, in the Chendraghiri Taluq, a small tank, called Kristnama Nayanivoddu, breached in September 1874. The Tahsildar considering the small extent of ayacut (cawnies 5-3-12, assessed at Rupees 46-6-6) under it, directed Kristnama Naidu, the Monigar of the village, who holds putta for the above land, to execute the necessary repairs by *Kudimaramut*.

3. After the above repairs were finished, the tank again breached during the heavy rains which immediately afterwards fell in the month of October. Kristnama Naidu then claimed the payment of Rupees 88-3-0 due to him, being the amount of expenditure said to have been incurred by him for the repairs above referred to.

* On the 23rd April 1875, No. 90. I therefore issued an order* to the Tahsildar to the effect that the tank will not be restored by Government, the ayacut under it being trifling and it being an unremunerative work; that the amount claimed by Kristnama Naidu will not be paid; and that, if he pleased, he may do the necessary repairs to the tank at his own expense. After the above order was issued, and communicated to the said Kristnama Naidu, it appears (from the Tahsildar's report, dated 24th March last, No. 58) that he, of his own accord, executed complete repairs to the tank in question at a cost of Rupees 528-9-6. He now claims either the repayment of the total amount of expenditure incurred by him on the tank in question, viz., Rupees 617-1-6 (88-3-0 + 528-9-6), or the transfer of the tank itself to him under the Ruined Tank Rules.

5. It appears from the marginally-noted correspondence that the probable amount of expenditure in the repair of the tank in question would be Rupees 477, and that it is a rain-fed tank, not interfering with any Government or private source of irrigation.

1. Letter from the Wallajah Range Officer to the Collector, No. 550, dated 25th August 1876.
2. Letter from the District Engineer to the Collector, No. 2401, dated 26th October 1876.

6. Under the strict letter of the Ruined Tank Rules the tank in question cannot be made over to the applicant, for no portion of the ayacut is unoccupied, and the tank was not in a ruined condition for upwards of five years.

7. As, however, the ayacut under the tank is insignificant and the puttadar thereof expended a large sum of money in restoring it, I consider it but fair, in view of all the circumstances of the case, to recommend, as a special case, that the tank may be made over to the applicant.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
23rd January 1877.*

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. The Board support the Collector's proposal.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed)

H. E. STOKES,

Acting Secretary.

No. 683.

ORDER THEREON, 23rd January 1877, No. 312.

Irrigation, No. 6.

UNDER the circumstances detailed
in the Collector's letter, the Government
sanction the transfer of the Kristnama

Nayanivoddu tank to Kristnama Naidu, the Monegar of Bontapally Pakala Payakat,
as a special case.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

D. F. CARMICHAEL,

Secretary to Government

To the Board of Revenue.
" the Public Works Department.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Dated January, 23rd.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 23rd January 1877, No. 312.

JANUARY.

Nos. 682-683.

Kristnama Nayanivoddu ruined Tank in North Arcot.—Sanctioning the transfer of the — to one Kristnama Naidu as a special case.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 5th January 1877.

Read the following letter from W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Nagavodu, 29th November 1876, No. 552.

I HAVE the honor to submit the following case for the orders of the Board of Revenue.

2. In the village of Bontapally Pakala Payakat in the Chendraghiri taluq a small tank, called Kristnama Nayanivoddu, breached in September 1874. The Tahsildar considering the small extent of ayacut (Cawries 5-3-12, assessed at Rupees 46-5-6) under it, directed Kristnama Naidu, the Monigar of the village, who holds putta for the above land, to execute the necessary repairs by Kudimaramu.

3. After the above repairs were finished, the tank again breached during the heavy rains which immediately afterwards fell in the month of October. Kristnama Naidu then claimed the payment of Rupees 88-8-0 due to him, being the amount of expenditure said to have been incurred by him for the repairs above referred to. I

therefore issued an order* to the Tahsildar to the effect that the tank will not be restored by Government, the ayacut under it being triding, and it being an unremunerative work; that the amount claimed by Kristnama Naidu will not be paid; and that, if he pleased, he may do the necessary repairs to the tank at his own expense.

4. After the above order was duly communicated to the said Kristnama Naidu, it appears (from the Tahsildar's report, dated 24th March last, No. 53) that he of his own accord executed complete repairs to the tank in question at a cost of Rupees 528-9-6. He now claims either the repayment of the amount of expenditure incurred by him on the tank in question, viz., Rupees 617-1-6 (88-8-0 + 528-9-6), or the transfer of the tank itself to him under the Ruined Tank Rules.

5. It appears from the marginally-noted correspondence that the probable amount of expenditure in the repair of the tank in question would be Rupees 477, and that it is a rain-fed tank, not interfering with any Government or private source of irrigation.

6. Under the strict letter of the Ruined Tank Rules the tank in question cannot be made over to the applicant, for no portion of the ayacut is unoccupied, and the tank was not in a ruined condition for upwards of five years.

7. As, however, the ayacut under the tank is insignificant and the Puttadar thereof expended a large sum of money in restoring it, I consider it but fair, in view of all the circumstances of the case, to recommend as a special case that the tank may be made over to the applicant.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. The Board support the Collector's proposal.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Acting Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
Copy to the Collector of North Arcot.

Exd. W. J. Lewis.

