

(1) Number of pages 18
 (2) Date of repair 26. 9. 29
 (3) Initials of the Referencer /

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 3080 Press.
 B.P. No. Mis.

Revenue Dept.

Dated 4-10-1877 197

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

4th October 1877, No. 3,080.

Read the following paper:—

No. 61. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 17th September 1877, Miscellaneous No. 12,813.

Read the following letter from R. DAVIDSON, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 7th August 1877, No. 722:—

With reference to the objection statement on the travelling allowance bills of this district for February 1877, herewith returned, disallowing my travelling allowance for eleven days in January last for trips made within 5 or 10 miles of Kurnool, I have the honor to offer the following explanation, and request the Board to be good enough to remove the retrenchment.

2. I took charge of the district on the 27th December 1876 and found some 60,000 relief coolies pretending to work on various roads within a few miles of the town of Kurnool, which was then a hotbed of disease in consequence.

3. I made it one of my first duties personally to inspect the works and the weeding and transferring of the labor gangs elsewhere, and in the short time the town was cleared of them with most beneficial results.

4. To accomplish this end I had to spend much of my time each day on the roads, along which there were no milestones; and as soon as I had completed my work on one road, I rode across country to another, so that on some days I may have ridden 10, 12, or 15 miles, but all within a radius of 5 or 6 miles of Kurnool.

5. At this distance of time it is not in my power to furnish exact particulars of each day's travelling, but the Board may rest assured that if I had not considered I was fairly entitled to each day's travelling allowance, I would not have sent in a bill for the same.

6. I may as well take this opportunity of mentioning that, owing to the weakness of the cattle, the dearness of fodder, &c., and the batta which I have to pay my servants, I am out of pocket when I make a prolonged tour through the district.

7. The difference of 1 Rupee between a Collector's travelling allowance (Rupees 7) and a Sub-Collector's (Rupees 6) is quite out of proportion to the expenses they relatively incur of necessity when travelling.

THE Board observe with much regret that, under the Resolution of the Government of India recorded in G.O., dated 11th November 1875, No. 2,178, Financial, they have no power to allow the present claim. The papers will, however, be referred to Government for any orders they may think fit to pass upon them.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. D. MACLEAN,
Additional Sub-Secretary.

No. 62.

ORDER THEREON, 4th October 1877, No. 3,080.

Miscellaneous.

The Government of India Order distinguishes between *halting* within 5 miles from head-quarters and *travelling* not further than 5 miles from head-quarters, and the principle laid down is that "appreciable extra expense" should be entailed to the officer by his day's work.

2. The principle of the Government of India Order apparently is that for inspections within a radius of 5 miles from head-quarters, which distance admits of an officer riding out to his work and back to his head-quarters with ease in the day, no travelling allowance can be claimed, and deviation from this definition will open a door for abuse.

3. The Governor in Council is of opinion that Mr. Davidson may submit a bill for any amount within the retrenched charge to which the above distinction will not apply in bar, without being obliged to furnish details.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue,
,, the Accountant-General.

C. G. MASTER

request the Board to be good

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

No. 4083

Revenue Board

Dated 17 Sept

187

FOR ORDERS.

Submitted that
It is improbable
that the Govt of
India would make
any exceptions
to their rule of
They will have to
be asked
if it is
not a
revenue
matter

Oct 11 1877

REVENUE

THE BOARD OF REVENUE

Recd October 11th

Legal

Encl

G.O. 4th October 1877, No. 3,080

OCTOBER

Nos. 61-62

Reference of the Hon'ble Member of
Mr. Davidson, Collector of Amroha, India,
with reference to the application for the
removal of the —, that Mr. Davidson, and
several others, submit a bill for any amount
within the revenue charge, without being
obliged to furnish details.

1
The Chief of the Station

I am confident that
Mr. Davidson is not
at home. He is in the
rule. I am quite
certain that a Mr. Dist.
of time has been made
to separate exactly the days
work within the 5 mile
radius from that of
lay beyond it.

~~Work to be done~~

that the J.C. distinguishes
between sitting within
5 miles from H.Q.
to travelling to further

3080 / How 5 miles from H.Q.
+ that the principle
laid down is that
"Applicable to the
H.Q. is entitled to the
Officers by his days
work."

Therefore the
principle of the J.C. is that
for inspection
within a radius of 5
miles from H.Q. the
distance admits of an
officer riding out to his
work back to his H.Q.
with ease. In the day, the
J.C. cannot be
claimed for a time.

from the 1st of January to the 31st of December 1877
 give a receipt for the same
 to the W. D. that he
 may submit a bill
 for any amt. to this
 the attached charge
 to the above distinction
 bill. to supply in part
 without being obliged
 to provide details
 L. H.

4083
 FOR ORDERS.

Retained of 11 days
 Travelling allowance
 Mr. Davidson of Kew
 Kew.

Handwritten signature

Revenue Dept.
 Memo 12813

Proceedings of the Board
 of Revenue

Dated 17th September 1877
 Read the following no. 722
 From

The A. Collector of
 Kew
 To The Secretary to the
 Board of Revenue
 Sir

With reference to the
 objection statement on the
 Travelling allowance bills
 of this District for Feb 1877,
 herewith returned, dis-
 allowing my travelling
 allowance for 11 days in
 January last for trips made
 within 5 or 10 miles of Kew
 I have the honor to offer the
 following explanation, and
 request the Board to be good

enough to remove the retrench-
ment.

2. I took charge of the District
on the 27th December 1876 and
found some 60,000 Baling Coolies
pretending to work on various
roads within a few miles
of the town of Kurnool which
was then a hot-bed of dis-
ease in consequence.

3. I made it one of my first
duties personally to inspect
the works and superintend
the unloading & transferring
of the labor gangs elsewhere
and in the course of a
short time the town was
cleared of them, with most
beneficial results.

4. To accomplish this end,
I

I had to spend much of
my time each day, ~~See~~ the
roads, along which there
were no mile stones, and as
soon as I had completed my
work on one road, I rode
across country to another,
so that on some days I
may have ridden 10, 12 or
15 miles but all within
a radius of 5 or 6 miles of
Kurnool.

5. At this distance of time
it is not in my power to fur-
nish exact particulars of
each day's travelling, but
the Board may rest assured
that if I had not considered
I was fairly entitled to
each day's travelling allow-
ance, I would not have
sent in a bill for the same.

6. I may as well take this opportunity of mentioning that owing to the weakness of the cattle, the dearth of fodder & and the batta which I have to pay my servants, I am out of pocket when I make a prolonged tour through the District.

7. The difference of one Rupee between a collector's travelling allowance Rs 71 and a Sub Collector's (Rs 6) is quite out of proportion to the expenses they relatively incur of necessity when travelling.

I have &
Muzool
Collector's Office } (B. R. Davidson
7th August 1877) A. S. Collector

The Board observe with
much

much regret that under the Resolution of the Govt of India recorded in G.O. of 11th November 1875 no 2178 Financial, they have no power to allow the present claims. The papers will however be referred to Government for any orders they may think fit to pass upon them.

(True copy & Extract)

A. S. Muzool

Asst. Sub Secretary

To The Secretary to Govt R. & D
with a Bill & Objection
statement to be returned.

38/8 AD

No 3080.

Proceedings of the Madras Govt.
Under date the 4 October 1877

Read Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue dated 17 Sept 77
Mrs. D. 12813 submitting letter
from Collector of Thiruvallur re:
requesting to remove the retrench-
ment of 11 days' travelling
allowance

1. The Govt. of India order
distinguishes between halting
within 5 miles from Head
Quarters & travelling not
further than 5 miles from
Head Quarters & the principle
laid down is that "appre-
ciable extra expense" should
be entailed to the officer

by

Revenue 1877 D. 12813

Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue

Dated 17th
September 1877

No 4-10-77 No 3080.

Submitting to Govt.
for order with regard
to the Collector of Thir-
uvallur's request
concerning the
travelling allowance of 11
days' travelling
allowance.

Circular 1877/10/15
D. 12813 R. 10. 1/77

by his day's work.

2. The principle of the Government of India Order apparently is that for inspections within a radius of 5 miles from Head Quarters which distance admits of an officer riding out to his work & back to his Head Quarters with ease in the day, no travelling allowance can be claimed and deviation from this definition will open a door for abuse.

3. The Governor in Council

Council is of opinion that Mr. Davidson may submit a bill for any amount within the retrenched charge to which the above distinction will not apply in bar, without being obliged to furnish details.

J. M.

14 To H. of Revenue 12-10-11
6 " Asst. General

58
4

C. I. C. Arch. Reel