

(1) Number of pages 44
 (2) Date of repair 18. 9. 28
 (3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 3078. Press.
 B.P. No. Mis.

Revenue Dept.

Dated 4 - 10 - 1877 197.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

4th October 1877 078.

Read the following paper:—

No. 57. Proceedings of the Board
No. 3,612.

Read

MISCELLANEOUS

Proceedings of the Board of

Read the following letter from W. S.
Fund Boards, Godavery District,
the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada,

In reply to paragraph 3 of the Board's
No. 627, I have the honor to state that the
Circle have at their meeting held on the 2
10,000 this year and an equal sum for next
to unite the Cocanada and Coringa Canals
by a lock into the Cholangi river.

The report called for from the Collector
be expedited.

(A true Copy

To the President of the Local Fund Boards, Godavery

Read also the following letter from W. S.
Godavery District, to H. E. Stokes,
Revenue, dated Cocanada, 18th May

With reference to the Proceedings of
15th February 1877, on the subject of the
and Coringa Canals and the Penuguduru
Cholangi river, I have the honor to inform
very useful one. It is impossible to say by
increased.

2. I do not think that the part of the
Coringa Canal would have much effect on
to the Cocanada Canal would allow the
get on to the canals, to go at once on to the

3. The Cholangi river is shallow; the
river and the Cocanada river. Boats are
going out of the Cholangi river laden. It
were saved the trouble of going over these
any trouble; it is highly probable more boats

4. The following shows the number
last five years in round numbers:—

Fasli 1281	...	...	...	174,000
" 1282	...	...	...	197,000
" 1283	...	...	...	132,000
" 1284	...	...	...	137,000
" 1285	...	...	...	105,000

DRAS.

TMENT.

Revenue, dated 3rd August 1877,

6,648.

e, dated 8th May 1877.

TER, Esq., President of the Local
E. STOKES, Esq., Secretary to
28th April 1877, No. 32.

ceedings, dated 15th February last,
Local Fund Board of the Cocanada
stant, agreed to contribute Rupees
on account of the Junction Canal
the Penuguduru salt-pans with them

the subject is awaited and should

Extract.)

igned)

H. E. STOKES,
Secretary.

District.

FOSTER, Esq., Collector of the
S, Esq., Secretary to the Board of
1877, No. 141.

Board of Revenue, No. 627, dated
Junction Canal to unite the Cocanada
pans with them by a lock into the
you that I think the work will be a
how much the salt revenue would be

work from the Cholangi river to the
e salt; but the canal from the river
which now have to go out to sea to
without trouble.

are bars at the entrances of that
n detained some days when they are
uld be a great benefit to them if they
rs and could reach the canals without
ould come for salt.

maunds carried by boats during the

Up to April 1286 about 107 boats do not carry nearly so much as they used to do. The number of maunds sold altogether was nearly as follows:

Fasli 1281	...
" 1282	...
" 1283	...
" 1284	...
" 1285	...

The great fall in Fasli 1286

5. From the above we see that the quantity sold a smaller proportion than in the case; the per-centage of that taken away by boats to the total sales is as follows:

Fasli 1281	...
" 1282	...
" 1283	...
" 1284	...
" 1285	...

6. I cannot help thinking that the difficulty of carrying salt by boat will admit.

7. Every year will make the difficulty unless something is done to improve the Cocanada Canal is the best way of avoiding this difficulty.

SUBMITTED for the information of the Revenue Department, dated 11th November 1877.

2. The Collector considers the proposed Junction Canal to unite the Cocanada and Coringa Canals an very useful work, but states that the revenue would be increased. He expresses an opinion that unless some scheme is adopted many years before it becomes impossible to remove salt from these pans by boats by means of the Cholangi river.

3. From the letter recorded in the Public Works Department, it appears that the total estimate for works is Rupees 1,89,450, of which the portion charged to Local Funds amounts to Rs. 97,330, and from paragraph 5 of the

Works	...
Establishment, at 25 per cent	...
Tools and Plant, at 8 per cent	...
Total	...

† Works	...
Establishment	...
Tools and Plant	...
Total	...

October 1877, No. 3,078.

have been carried. This shows that the quantity sold a smaller proportion than in the case; the per-centage of that taken away by boats to the total sales is as follows:

...	271,000
...	309,000
...	245,000
...	255,000
...	238,000

the opening of the Mogaltur salt-pans. the quantity sold a smaller proportion than in the case; the per-centage of that taken away by boats to the total sales is as follows:

...	64.2
...	63.7
...	53.8
...	53.7
...	44.1

is to some extent due to the increasing difficulty of carrying salt by boat will admit.

will not be many years before boats will be unable to go in and out of it. The Cocanada Canal is the best way of avoiding this difficulty.

Government with reference to G.O., Revenue No. 2,663.

The proposed Junction Canal to unite the Cocanada and Coringa Canals an very useful work, but states that the revenue would be increased. He expresses an opinion that unless some scheme is adopted many years before it becomes impossible to remove salt from these pans by boats by means of the Cholangi river.

G.O., dated 22nd January 1877, No. 200, that the total estimate for works is Rupees 1,89,450, of which the portion charged to Local Funds amounts to Rs. 97,330, and from paragraph 5 of the Engineer's Note recorded with G.O., dated 17th October 1876, No. 2,776, it would seem that provision must also be made for tools and plant at 8 per cent, as well as the usual provision for establishment at 25 per cent, bringing up the full amount to be provided to Rupees 1,29,445. In the letter, dated 28th April 1877, No. 32, the President states that the Local Fund Board are willing to contribute Rupees 10,000 in 1877-78 and an equal amount in 1878-79. The Board presume that it is intended to provide Rupees 10,000 for works, and that the usual charge for establishment, &c., will be provided in addition, in which case the amount to be obtained from Special Funds will be Rupees 1,02,845.† The available balance to the credit of the Canal and Feiry Fund of the

Godavery District is only Rupees 74,170 regarding the remaining Rupees 28,675 consent to contribute a larger amount than

(True Copies)

No. 58.

ORDER THEREON, 4th Oct

Irrigation, No. 3.

(True

To the Board of Revenue.
the Public Works Department.

Exd. F. R. Bantlemaa.

Up to April 1886 about 107,000 maunds have been carried. This shows that boats do not carry nearly so much as they used to do. The number of maunds sold altogether was nearly as follows:—

Fasli 1281	271,000
" 1282	309,000
" 1283	245,000
" 1284	255,000
" 1285	238,000

The great fall in Fasli 1283 is due to the opening of the Mogaltur salt-pans.

5. From the above we see that out of the quantity sold a smaller proportion is carried away by boats than was formerly the case; the percentage of that taken away by boats to the total sales are as follows:—

Fasli 1281	64.2
" 1282	63.7
" 1283	53.8
" 1284	63.7
" 1285	44.1

6. I cannot help thinking that this is to some extent due to the increasing difficulty of carrying salt by boat from Penuguduru; it is not entirely due to it I will admit.

7. Every year will make the Cholangi river more difficult to navigate, and unless something is done to improve it it will not be many years before boats will be unable to go in and out of it. I think that a canal leading from the salt-pans to the Cocanada Canal is the best means of avoiding this difficulty.

Submitted for the information of Government with reference to G.O., Revenue Department, dated 11th November 1876, No. 2,663.

2. The Collector considers that the proposed Junction Canal to unite the Cocanada and Coringa Canals and the Penuguduru salt-pans with them will be a very useful work, but states that it is impossible to say by how much the salt revenue would be increased. He points out, however, how much communication with the Penuguduru salt-pans will be facilitated, and concludes by expressing an opinion that, unless some scheme of the kind be carried out, it will not be very many years before it becomes impossible to remove salt from these pans by boats by means of the Cholangi river.

3. From the letter recorded with G.O., dated 22nd January 1877, No. 200, Public Works Department, it appears that the total estimate for works is Rupees 1,89,450, of which the portion chargeable to Local Funds amounts to Rs. 97,330, and from paragraph

Works	Rs. 97,330
Establishment at 25 per cent.	24,330
Tools and Plant at 8 per cent.	7,785
Total	1,29,445

Works	Rs. 10,000
Establishment	2,500
Tools and Plant	800
	13,300
	2
	26,600
	1,29,445
Total	1,02,845

5 of the Chief Engineer's note recorded with G.O., dated 17th October 1876, No. 2,776, it would seem that provision must also be made for tools and plant at 8 per cent. as well as the usual provision for establishment at 25 per cent., bringing up the full amount to be provided to Rupees 1,29,445.* In his letter, dated 28th April 1877, No. 32, the President states that the Local Fund Board are willing to contribute Rupees 10,000 in 1877-78 and an equal amount in 1878-79. The Board presume that it is intended to provide Rupees 10,000 for works, and that the usual charge for establishment, &c., will be provided in addition, in which case the amount to be obtained from Special Funds will be Rupees 1,02,845.† The available balance to the credit of the Canal and

Ferry Fund of the Godavery District is only Rupees 74,170. The Collector will submit his proposals regarding the remaining Rupees 28,675. Perhaps the Local Fund Board may consent to contribute a larger amount than that specified above.

(True Copies and Extract.)

Shunoh
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
" Collector of the Godavery District.

Exd. C. Howarth.

28046
1070
10675

Revenue Department

Memo for orders

Proceedings Board of Revenue
 Subj. Letters from Collr of
 Godavery regarding the usefulness of
 the Junction Canal to unite the Locanada
 and Loringu Canals and the Penugudem
 salt pans with them by a lock into the
 Cholangi River and proposed contri-
 bution from Local Funds for the same.

(N.E.S. August 1877 No. 3612)

In these Proceedings
 the Board take it for granted
 that the available balance to
 credit of Canals and Ferry Funds
 in the Godavery District
 (Rs 74,170) may be utilized
 in the construction of the pro-
 posed Junction Canal to
 unite Locanada and Loringu
 Canals

Revenue Department

1877.

Board of Revenue,
 MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 3RD AUGUST 1877, No. 3,612.

Order 22/1877

Encl.

Received

23/8/77

AUGUST.
 No. 3,612.

Junction Canal to unite the Locanada and
 Coringa Canals and the Penugudem Salt-pans
 with them by a lock into the Cholangi River.—
 Submitting to Government letters from Col-
 lector of Godavery regarding the usefulness of
 the — and proposed contribution from Local
 Funds for the same, and requesting further
 information in connection therewith.

Recorded
 4-10-77
 11/10/78
 21/5/1878
 18/3/1878
 21/5/1878

Canals and the Peninsular
Salt pans.

2. No positive orders
to that effect appear however
to have been issued.

3. The idea of making
this canal originated in 1869
with Captain Ryves who thought
it the best way of giving a
perfect communication to the
Salt Platforms. The details of
the scheme are contained in the
note by the Chief Engineer

G.O. 24th July 1869 No. 2159 A.D.

for Irrigation recorded in G.O.
9th May 1870 No. 1215 M.D. Part 2.
This order shews that what
was wanted to accommodate
the salt traffic was a
canal from Peninsular to
the Canal Canal at a
cost

cost of Rs. 60,000 odd, but
as money was not available
the consideration of this project
had to be postponed, as well
as the extension from Peninsular
to the Loringa Canal,
as a Local Fund Work.

4. In 1873 a smaller
project for improving the Peninsular
Salt Pan arrange-
ments was suggested and
the previous scheme ordered
to be reconsidered. The execution,
if sanctioned to be "undertaken,
jointly from Local and Im-
perial Funds in such pro-
portions as may be thought
to represent the respective

G.O. 14th May 1873 No. 1338 P.D.

Director

Co "benefits" that would accrue
to "from it."

2 5 The proposal to use
to H. Canal and Ferry Funds on
to this project took its rise

3 from Government having asked,
this in reviewing the Budget esti-
mates for Special Local

it 17
whether the large
balance under this head

the (1875/76) "cannot be utilized

no "for some canal connected

to "with the general scheme of

9 "canals." The Collector and

J. Board of Revenue at once

named this canal but

the agreed in thinking that

can the "Imperial Funds should

contribute

L.O. 9th Decr 1875 No. 1781 A.O.



"Contribute in fair proportion."
"when the benefit of improved
"communication with the salt
"farms is considered and that
"from extension of irrigation
"is ascertained."

6. Discussion followed
as to whether there would be to
any Canal and Ferry Fund
surplus money. It was thought
at first that all would be
required for ferries. It has
however been subsequently
decided that the construction
of ferry boats for crossing
canals must be a charge
on Irrigation. The contri-
bution from Agriculture

L.O. 29th March 1876 No. 801 A.O.

L.O. 11th May 1877 No. 1694 A.O.

Imperial Funds

Co. funds towards the construction of the proposed junction

2. Canal was estimated as not likely to exceed Rs. 12,000 that sum being a rough estimate of the cost of distribution without a canal.

3. Then there was the question of what contribution if any could be made towards the construction of the Canal from Imperial Funds under Salt.

4. The Government in the Public Works Dept. 17th Oct. 1876 Rs. 2776

5. Department finally approved the

6. 22nd Jan. 1877 Rs. 200

7. will

Imperial (Salt)	78,260
Irrigation	13,860
Local Funds	97,330
Total	1,89,450

The estimate for this scheme. The works alone, exclusive of establishment, tools and other charges are to cost Rs. 189,450

chargeable as per margin.

The entire estimate amounts to Rs. 277,330, and the cost of the part in which Salt-revenue is more particularly interested Rs. 120,050. It was pointed out that to cover the bare interest on the latter sum an increase of revenue by salt sales of Rs. 5,000 annually

will

will be necessary. Local

7. Funds would be expected

2 to find Rs. 137,180 as

1 their share viz. for the

3 construction of the canal

from the Cholangi River

to the Loringa Canal.

8. It has not been

possible to obtain any posi-

tive information as to "the

probable effects on the salt

revenue of that part of the

system which will bring the

salt pans into direct com-

munication with the Co-

canada Canal. The Board

pointed out in September 1876

that

that the special value of the
canal to the salt traffic

Pro: recorded in G.O. 27th March 1876, probably cannot well be esti-

mated with any approach to

accuracy and demonstrated

by figures" and in his letter

of 18 May 1877 recorded in the

Proceedings under review the

Collector is not able to do

more than allege general

advantages in support of the

canal as a salt commu-

nication. It is clear that

the work is a desirable

one if money can be spared.

Neither he nor the Board

however notice the

put by the Public Works Dept.

* para 2. G.O. 29 March 76 J. 801 C.D.

as to "what contribution
if any will be made
towards the construction
of the Canal from
Imperial Funds under
"Salt." The fact is that
there are no such
separate funds and
any charge of the
kind must be an
Imperial charge &
provided for in the
Public Works Budget.
9 It appears from
the

the present Proceedings
of the Board that the
Local Fund Board
have promised Rs. 20,000
as a contribution. The
Revenue Board assume
that they will add the
usual provision for
establishment &c. and so
will provide Rs. 26,600.
I do not feel sure
that the Local Fund
Board meant this -
The Revenue Board
make

make out that the
total share chargeable
to Local Funds will
be Rs 1,29,445 (the
Public Works Dept^{ts}
estimated it at Rs
1,37,180 vide para 7
supra) and after deducting
the contribution promised
by the Local Fund
Board shew that Special
Funds (Canal & Ferry)
will have to find
Rs 1,02,845 a much
larger.

larger sum than stands
to credit at present; they
therefore ask the Collector
to submit proposals
for the difference.
10. It appears from
para 4 Board's Proceedings
15 February 1877 No 627
that an allotment of
Rs 40,000 had been
provided in the Budget
of 1876-77 from Canal
& Ferry Funds for a
section of this Canal.

A reference to the papers
recorded in G.O. 25 Jan'y 76
N^o 104 R.D. reviewing
the receipts & charges
of special Local Funds
for 1876-77 shows that
the entry was made in
anticipation that orders
could be passed. No
decision has come to
and in the current
year's budget it does
not appear that any
particular provision
has

G.O. 5 Feb'y 77. N^o 533 R.D.

has been made.

11. The question to be settled
apparently is whether the

Government are prepared
to contribute a sum
of (say) a lakh of

Ruppes from Canal &

Ferry Funds for the

completion of this
junction Canal.

C/LL

The contribution from
Special Canal funds is
however limited by the
amt of the St. Louis
available from this
source. I admit

say account may not
be given as is available
& the present available
amount is deficient
for immediate require^{ts}

As near the St. of Lane
express the point & the
cost. Two tides is
enough. These three
is an only objection
for information

Handwritten text on a small rectangular piece of paper pasted onto the left page. The text is written in cursive and appears to be a list or description of items, possibly related to the 'Junction Canal' mentioned in the first line.

Junction Canal to
the Ocean and the
Canals of the Panama
Isthmus with the
Lake into the Chocoma
River in January 18

Handwritten text on a small rectangular piece of paper pasted onto the bottom left corner of the left page. The text is written in cursive and appears to be a date or a reference number.

Nesby
C. 27. 5. 17. 18. 19. 17