

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair 21-8-79

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

3050.

Press.
Mis.

Revenue Dept.

Dated

1. 10. 1877.

197 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNOR OF MADRAS.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

1st October, No. 3,050.

Read again G.O., 7th April 1877, No. 1,361, Revenue Department.

Read also :—

No. 1.

No. 2. ORDER THEREON, 11th October 1877, No. 3,050.

Miscellaneous.

referred to in the paper read above, a
Amshom being now included in the
east boundary of the Numbalacode
is no longer essential for the
and the Nilgiris, and the
should not, if necessary, be laid
any information required from
recollection being obtained from his
spot for this purpose is probably
Council has no intention to
in connection with the survey
in the Board's Proceedings, dated

His GRACE the Governor in Council
reconsidered the question of Mr.
Hardson's employment in the matter
being necessary. The Numbalacode
district, the definition of the south-
west to the Ouchterlony Valley tract
the district boundaries of Malabar
reason why the estate boundary
Survey Department in ordinary course;
son on points within his knowledge or
writing. His actual presence on the
ry, and, in any case, the Governor in
Council for settling boundary disputes
and East Wynaad as was contemplated
October 1875, No. 2,891.

(E. E. (act.))
Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
" the Superintendent of Revenue Survey
" the Commissioner of the Nilgiris.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

MINUTE BY THE HON. SIR W. ROBINSON, K.C.S.I.

MR. RICHARDSON'S employment in the further demarcation of the so-called Richardson's line in the Nambalcode Amshom is unnecessary, and his deputation should be foregone.

2. No claim against the Ouchterlony Valley can be prosecuted, it appears, except by Regular Suit, and the statute of limitation has probably run against the claim.

3. No suit can be brought with any likelihood of success, and therefore the prosecution of the "Richardson's line" seems out of place.

14th September 1877.

W. ROBINSON.

REVENUE.
THE BOARD OF REVENUE.
Dated October 1st.
OCTOBER.
No. 12.
Nambalcode Amshom.—Observing that the
revenue set to reason why the boundary should
not be laid down by the Survey Department in the
ordinary manner, any information required in the
matter should be sent to the Survey Department, and
not to the Revenue Department, and that the
Survey Department should be empowered to lay down
the boundary in the ordinary manner, and that the
Revenue Department should not be concerned in the
matter.

C. No 4519/77

MINUTE BY THE HON. SIR W. ROBINSON, K.C.S.I.

MR. RICHARDSON'S employment in the further demarcation of the so-called Richardson's line in the Nambalcode Amshom is unnecessary, and his deputation should be foregone.

2. It has been decided that no claim against the Ouchterlony Valley can be prosecuted except by Regular Suit, and ~~further that~~ probably the statute of limitation has run against the claim.

3. No suit can be brought with any likelihood of success, and therefore the prosecution of the Richardson's line is out of place. *seems*

4. There is further the expense and necessity of seeking sanction from the Government of India for which there is no good cause.

14th September 1877.

W. ROBINSON.

G.O. No 3050, dated 1st Oct 77

Mr Richardson's
employment in the
further demarcation
of the so called Richardson
line in the Naumbalode
Airstom is unnecessary
and his deputation
should be foregone.

It has been decided
that no claim against
the Ouchterlony Valley
can be prosecuted
except by Regular Suit,
and further that probably
the Statute of limitation
has run against the

I do not think this
decision in the
order of
H. 24/9

G.O. No 3050, dated 1st Oct 77

Clarus.

No Suit can be brought with any likelihood of success and therefore the prosecution of the Richardson's will is out of place.

There is further
the Expense & necessity
of seeking sanction
from the Government
of India for which
there is no good
cause

14 H. W. W. W. W.

50. *Dem. affinis*
Polacanthus

11th Sept 1897

Ben W. Master

Your letter of the 5th inst.
regarding the Humboldt
Auriferous Boundary.

The portion of the boundary
which has to be settled
is now in consequence of
the annexation of Naples &c.

entirely within the Nilgiri
District instead of being
as formerly a portion of
the Nilgiri - Malabar boundary
& in a survey point of view
it is of less consequence than
before.

So far as the Nilgiri Survey
is concerned there is no
reason why the definition
of the boundary should not
be postponed until next

season. And then as the
Wainwright Survey will probably
be continued, Mr. W. Service
cannot be more extensively
introduced. On the other hand
I do not know what degree
of importance the Government
attach to the early settlement
of the question & the definition
of the rights of the zamindars
whose claims they contest.

If it is considered necessary
that the settlement should
be made this season or indeed
at all it is most desirable
that Mr. Richardson should
be deputed for the purpose.
The whole question turns
I believe on the result of
an examination of documents
now held in the District
Court at Calicut and

3050
7
Mr. Richardson is supposed
to have a knowledge of the
papers & a general acquaintance
with the case. which would
greatly tend towards a
rapid decision. I think
if Mr. R. can be spared I
think Mr. Richardson should
come - if not we can
wait.

Yours sincerely
A. L. S. P.

Revenue Department.

3030
Memo
Employment of Mr. Richardson
in Wynaud.

1 When the mode of
carrying out the survey and
demarcation in Wynaud was
under discussion Col. Priestley

recommended that in order to facilitate
operations a Civil Officer should
be appointed to settle all boundary
disputes in aid of the demarcation party
and to assist in the supply of labour.

2 The Board supported
this request and suggested

See separate page
14/9 - 711

The foregoing orders
for completion of the
C. S. Wynaud survey. The
termination of the
ambulance boundary of labour
is desirable if not
necessary. attach
with Survey & Survey
Otherwise a portion of

that "Mr. Herbert Richardson
"should be deputed to this duty.
"His knowledge of the country
"and other qualifications would
"render his services very valuable."

No immediate decision
on this point was passed in the
order disposing of these papers,

but in his note the Honorable
Sir N. Robinson observed that
"if we are to take up the survey
"in earnest with reference to mining
"prospects we had far better employ

Mr. Richardson and ask
"Govt of India for him as Special
"Collector. Rs. 1000 a month.
"do spent with alone expedite things."

When orders were eventually
passed to go on regularly with
the

the S. S. V. survey
with Gunnin in company
In the Mr. Richardson
services are essential
for this purpose is a
distinct question -
I certainly believe

him to be the most
competent person
for the task. Having
already been engaged
in determining great
part of the line of
being acquainted with
the whole country
but I am not sure
that the thing is better
to expense it with
in view of reputation
W. R. who cost

probably

Rs. 1000 a month.
My resolution is
that from the most
best point of view

to identify the boundary
up to the South Laksh
frontier. The State
boundary is well
known & follows an
apparent of the stream
running down the Karkor
Grant & from its junction
the stream itself to
the Grant & Laksh
frontier. If this is
so, the line will be
easy of identification
in night back hills
opinion on the subject.
He is getting an old
man. Two long up
to much hard field
work I do think
certainly would not

the survey the subject was
not alluded to but later on the
Govt having, in connection with
the Belambur Tripud controversy
desired the Board to have the
south-east boundary of Nam.
"batalacode from Aratapara to
its junction with the boundary
between Hambalacode and Ernad
"Talangs defined." The Superintendent
of Revenue Survey brought to notice
that Mr. Richardson's services
had already been sought for
general purposes and asked that
he might be deputed early next
season" (Col. Hessay wrote
November 1876) to investigate the
Hambalacode boundary. The
Board strongly supported this
recommendation

recommendation and the Government
 approved (G.O. 15th January 1877
 No. 131). On a further reference it
 was settled that Mr. Richardson
 should be invested with powers
 under Section 30 Act XXVIII of 1860
 and that his services would be
 made available in September
 next.

It appears from Major
 Selous's letter of 7th May
 1877 No. 1472 that during the working
 season of 1876/77 the Wynnad
 operations were confined to the
 completion of the survey of
 South-East Wynnad, including
 the amsons of Nambala
 code, &c. and that there
 remained

Employ him generally
 for settling boundary
 disputes in connection
 with the survey of N.
 & E. Wynnad as the
 G.O. 7th April 1877 No. 1361 O.D.

No. 18th contemplated

G.O. 1st Aug 1877 No. 2421

I see no sufficient
 cause for the
 temporary to supply
 Mr. [Name] in this duty.
 I do not see so - The survey
 including this boundary, &c.
 marked in the completion

It may be possible
 to give any information
 on the point whether
 knowledge or not.

I do not know
 that question referred to
 & Mr. [Name] ask the
 necessity of defining
 the boundary of
 the [Name] of [Name]
 in [Name] of [Name] [Name]
 influence the decision
 simple question
 G.O. 1st Aug 1877 No. 2421

B.C. 24/7

Remains therefore for next
 season's work in this division
 about 80 square miles of
 hill sketching and the [Name]
 and survey of a portion
 of the Nambalacode boundary.
 The question for decision was
 whether the survey of Wynnad
 was to be discontinued for a year
 and the Nilgiris completed
 and the Government directed
 the postponement of the Wynnad
 Survey for one season the
 Nilgiri Survey being prosecuted
 with

"with the utmost diligence, in-
cluding, of course, the small
portion of the South Coast
Myriad yet unfinished."

a b. It was with reference
to this last order that instructions
were given on 11th September 1877
at Council to ask Major Scott
how far the definition of
the Ambalacode
boundary upon which
it was in contempla-
tion to employ Mr
Richardson stands affected

by

* dated 11th September 1877.

by the altered arrangements
and whether Mr. Richardson's
services were now required.

7. His reply is put up.
The gist of it is that the question
does not press in a survey point
of view: "In short if Mr.
Richardson can be spared and
the question presses I think
Mr. Richardson should come;
"if not we can wait."

8. I gather from what
Major Scott says that the
boundary which has to be
settled is now "entirely within
the Nilgiri District instead
of being as formerly a portion
of the Nilgiri-Malabar
boundary." Therefore in ordi-
nary course it ought to be
finished this season under
G. O. 1st August 1877 N: 242

iff

if all the properties are to
be marked out.

9. The main question is
whether Mr. Richardson's
services are to be made avail-
able now: or if not now
when. In either case the
sanction of the Government
of India seems necessary for
the extra appointment. Mr.
Richardson would have to
be relieved of the locum tenens
Treasury where in addition
to his substantive pay
of Rs. 600 he draws Rs. 100
for Paper Currency and Rs. 100
for Registration. These
latter sums he would have
to give up and it would be
necessary therefore to apply
for the appointment of a
temporary

temporary Deputy Collector
and for the grant of a
personal allowance to Mr.
Richardson to make up (1)
for the extra allowances
given up and (2) for the
extra duties involved by
his deputation to Wynard

3 fair copies of 5-11-77.

N^o 1-5

G.O. No. 30508, 1st Oct 77.

Memo

Employment
of Deputy Collector
W. Richardson
in Wynad

C. C.

Miss

Revenue Department

No 3050

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 1st ~~September~~ ^{October} 1877

Read again by O. J. @
April 1877 No. 1361 Pres. Depar.

Read also

No. 1.

X X X

[Signature]

The Mambalawar Amkhoru
being now included in the
Kilgiri S. the definition of the
S. boundary of the Mambalawar
estate relatively to the Belkhoru
Valley tract is no longer essential
for the settlement of the S. boundaries
of Mambalawar & the Kilgiri & the
Govt.

His Grace the Governor
in Council has reconsidered the
question of Mr. Richardson's em-
ployment in the matter referred
to in the paper read above.
He sees no reason why the boundary
should not be
laid down by the Survey
Department in ordinary course
unless the present case
any information is required

from Mr. Richardson on ~~any~~
point within his knowledge
or recollection ~~that~~ he obtained
^{in writing} from him. His actual presence
on the spot for this purpose is
probably unnecessary. ^{and in any case}
~~the~~ the Governor in Council has
~~no~~ no intention to employ him
generally for settling boundary
disputes in connection with the
survey of North and East Wymond
as was contemplated in the Board's
Proceedings dated 16th October 1875
No. 2891.

gill

- 14 To Board of Revenue 85-10-77
- 13 Supd. Rev. Survey
- 3 Compt. Helguis

60

gill
C. 26. Sep 77 R. 1. Oct 77

3050
15

Wm. G. W. W. W.

gill

C. 26. Sep 77 R. 1. Oct 77

Revenue Department. Statement of increase to Establishment in this Department, recommended for the sanction of the Govt. of India

Exhibit from
Revenue Deptt.
Govt. of India
Office to which the
proposition refers.

Present Scale

Name of change

Proposed Scale

No. Date Designation Pay No. Designation

2	2 nd Grade 4 th Constables No. 20	40	"	3	2 nd Grade 4 th Constables at Rs.
3	3 rd Grade - 50 @ 15	45	"	4	3 rd Grade - 50 - at
6	4 th Grade - 50 @ 12	72	"	6	4 th Grade - 50 - at
24	1 st Class Constables 8	192	"	36	1 st Class Constables - at
25	2 nd Class 50 @ 7	175	"	37	2 nd Class - 50 - at
26	3 rd Class 50 @ 6 1/2	162 8	"	37	3 rd Class - 50 - at
85		686 8	"	123	

85
38. 1/2 Mon

Incremental
allowance at
for the 3rd Class
Total increase

Proposition

Permanent Temporary
Increase Decrease Increase Decrease
per per per per
month month month month

Pay Amount Amount Amount Amount Amount

60	"	20	"
60	"	15	"
72	"	"	"
288	"	96	"
259	"	84	"
240	8	78	"
per annum	979 8	"	293 "

Incremental per annum - 35/6 "

10 per head 380 "

per annum - 3896 "

Grants of
proposition

Local Govt. resources
- contribution

Orders of the
Govt. of
India

Financial
Deptt.

Department
Remarks
Orders

vide letter to the Govt. of India dated 1st October 1877 No. 3053

Favorable

[Signature]

For Saint George
1st October 1877

Law Officers Revenue Revenue Secy
and Secy of Secy