

(1) Number of pages 5

(2) Date of repair 18.5.71

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

304.

Press
Mis:

Dated

23.1.1877

197

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
23rd January 1877.

No. 666. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 23rd December 1876, No. 8,273:—

Read the following letter from J. H. GARSTIN, Esq., Collector of South Arcot, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cuddalore, 22nd November 1876, No. 546.

In G.O. of the 3rd October 1876, No. 2,667, Public Works Department,* an

estimate for a new Cutcherry for the Collector of South Arcot was sanctioned.

When the plan was sent to me by the District Engineer for my countersignature, I pointed out that I did not think one of the two doors at the ends of each of the private rooms upstairs was required; that the door in the wall dividing the private room from the Court might be done away with; and that a bench, a witness box, and a dock were required.

2. The District Engineer, in forwarding the plan and estimate to Government, remarked that he had provided for the bench, dock, and witness box, but that the door behind the bench should not be built up as I supposed, and that the door at the end of each private room furthest from the staircase which I asked might be omitted was "necessary." In the next sentence, however, he says, "if not required they can be kept closed." The two sentences are not consistent with each other, but as I am the officer who is intended to occupy one of the private rooms, and as the building is to be the Collector's Cutcherry, I certainly think my wish in this matter should have some weight, and I do not think that a door at each end of the private room is at all necessary, and would rather be without one furthest from the staircase. I may further observe that in the plan (which I

forward for reference†) the door which the District Engineer says is necessary is depicted in the front elevation as a window, and I have, therefore, the honor to request that, unless the appearance of the building is likely to be spoilt by their non-existence, the door in each of those rooms furthest from the staircase may be ordered to be omitted and the space occupied by it built up. As to the door leading on to the bench, I have no objection to it, though I do not think it necessary.

† Requested to be returned.

ENCLOSURE No. 1 — Copy of Government Order.

ENCLOSURE No. 2. — Plan.

SUBMITTED FOR the orders of Government.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Secretary,

No. 667. ORDER THEREON, 23rd January 1877, No. 304.

Miscellaneous.

THE Government Architect having returned from England his attention will be drawn to G.O., 7th March 1874,

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
23rd January 1877.*

No. 322, and he will be directed to take advantage of being in South Arcot, to visit Cuddalore and to give his opinion on the question of a new Cutcherry for the Collector at Manjakupam after inspecting the existing office. Copies of the correspondence on the subject will be forwarded to Mr. Chisholm with the plan for the proposed Cutcherry.

(True Extract)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Public Works Department.

Exd. A. Gruber.

304/2

No. 3,273.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 23rd December 1876.

Read the following letter from J. H. GARSTIN, Esq., Collector of South Arcot, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cuddalore, 22nd November 1876, No. 546:—

In G.O. of the 3rd October 1876, No. 2,667, Public Works Department*, an estimate for a new Cutcherry for the Collector of South Arcot was sanctioned. When the plan was sent to me by the District Engineer for my countersignature, I pointed out that I did not think one of the two doors at the ends of each of the private rooms upstairs was required; that the door in the wall dividing the private room from the Court might be done away with; and that a bench, a witness box, and a dock were required.

2. The District Engineer, in forwarding the plan and estimate to Government, remarked that he had provided for the bench, dock, and witness box, but that the door behind the bench should not be built up as I proposed, and that the door at the end of each private room furthest from the staircase which I asked might be omitted was "necessary." In the next sentence, however, he says "if not required they can be kept closed." The two sentences are not consistent with each other, but as I am the officer who is intended to occupy one of the private rooms, and as the building is to be the Collector's Cutcherry, I certainly think my wishes in the matter should have some weight, and I do not think that a door at each end of the private rooms is at all necessary, and would rather be without the one furthest from the staircase. I may further observe that in the plan (which I forward for reference†) the door which the District Engineer says is necessary is depicted in the front elevation as a window, and I have, therefore, the honor to request that, unless the appearance of the building is likely to be spoilt by their non-existence, the door in each of those rooms furthest from the staircase may be ordered to be omitted and the space occupied by it built up. As to the door leading on to the bench, I have no objection to it, though I do not think it necessary.

Requested to be returned.

Enclosure No. 1.—Copy of Government Order.

Enclosure No. 2.—Plan.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, with Plan to be returned.

Copy to the Collector of South Arcot, with copy of G.O., 3rd October 1876, No. 2,667, returned.

Ed. W. J. Lewis

Govt. of 1877

REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Ac., Sec.,

Dated January, 23rd.

G.O., 23rd January 1877, No. 304.

JANUARY.

Nos. 666-667.

New Cutcherry for Collector of South Arcot.—Directing the Government Architect to visit Cuddalore and to give his opinion on the question of a— at Manjakupam after inspecting the existing office.

P. 23 - 10-26-1876

1876: 1877 Dec
1876.

BOARD OF REVENUE,
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 23RD DEC. 1876, No. 3,273.

Dec 23 1876

Encl.
G. H. P.
1876

Received 4-1-1877

DECEMBER.

No. 3,273.

Order, New - for Collector of South Arcot.
Submitting to Government letter from Col-
lector suggesting that the door at the end of
old room furthest from staircase may be omit-
ted in plan for -

With order.

9023 January 17 1877

The Govt Architect having received
from England his statement will be drawn
to 4.0.7. 1876. No. 322 and he will be asked
to take advantage of being in South Arcot, to visit
and certify for the state of the building at
the existing the existing office. Being in connection
in the subject will be forwarded to his children
with the plan for the proposed building.
25th January 1877

25th Jan. 1877