

(1) Number of pages _____
(2) Date of repair _____
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	2889	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	24 th Sept	1877
		197

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

24th September 1877. No. 2,829.

Read the following paper:—

No. 580. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th August 1877,
No. 3,737.

Read the following letter from H. LeFANU, Esq., Acting Head Assistant Collector, to C. T. LONGLEY, Esq., Collector of Salem, dated Tripattur, 28th July 1877, No. 1,071:—

1. I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your Official Memorandum, No. 1,402-2,175, dated 26th instant, in which you state that the rates quoted in my letter, No. 1,029, dated 23rd July 1877, do not refer to Government Officers of the Madras Presidency.

2. I have already pointed out the words of the order stating that the rates therein quoted refer to "and Military and Government Civil Officers *whether deputed from other Provinces or not*," which clearly shows that Madras Civilians were intended to have the advantage of these rates, and enclose a copy of the order marking the passage referred to.

3. It is unreasonable to suppose that more favorable rates of tonnage have been provided for officers transferred from other provinces than those allowed to Madras Civilians. In the matter of pay, the former receive extra allowances to compensate them for the transfer, but as regards tonnage we all stand on the same footing according to length of service, and the terms of the order evidently show that this was intended to be the case. The fundae work has cost me in horse flesh, &c., a direct expenditure of upwards of Rupees 1,000, and it is but reasonable that some allowance should be made for wear and tear of the same if not for actual outlay. You are aware that I keep three horses, which would be quite unnecessary for a Head Assistant Collector in ordinary years.

4. If you still adhere to the opinion already expressed, I have the honor to request that you will forward the correspondence for the orders of the Board of Revenue. The question is not of great financial importance to Government, as, if I am not mistaken, there are at present only two Acting Head Assistants over 10 years' service, viz., Mr. Adkins of 13 and myself of 12 years' service.

Forwaken to the Board of Revenue for the favorable consideration and orders of Government. Mr. LeFanu claimed extra tonnage at Rupees 6 per diem under Rule No. 1 of the Assistant-General's Circular (copy enclosed), to which allowance I objected, as the rates specified in paragraph 1 were not applicable to Civil Officers of the Madras Presidency, but to the officers mentioned in G.O.s, Nos. 943 and 1,580, dated 6th March and 27th April 1877. This letter is in reply to the objection.

SALAM,
3rd August 1877.

(Signed) C. T. LONGLEY,
Collector.

Enclosure No. 1.—Copy of Assistant-General's Circular.

Sanctioned for the orders of Government.

2. The Accountant-General's Circular is not strictly correct, as the orders of Government quoted in support of the rule apply only to all Military Officers whether deputed from other Provinces or not and to Commissioned Civil Officers from Bengal. The Board consider, however, that the concession may fairly be claimed by Madras Civilian; it will benefit a few who have been unfortunate as regards promotion, and might be allowed to have retrospective effect from the date of the first order, viz., 5th March 1877.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

No. 581.

ORDER THEREON, 24th September 1877, No. 2,332.

Miscellaneous.

"Notes of travelling allowances admissible to officers employed on Famine Relief Duty."

The Governor in Council observes that the claim cannot be admitted. It is based merely on a mistake in the Accountant-General's circular quoted. That officer's attention will be directed to the subject in order that a revised circular may be issued.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, with one enclosure,
the Accountant-General.

Ed. A. F. Goodall.

ch 3737

Calum

Rev. Sept 11

Pro of the Ho of Am
27th Aug 1877.

Read the following

to say.

From Thos P. D. P. P. P.

For the Coll. of Calum

is. I have the honor

to acknowledge receipt

of your Offl. Memoirs 1402
2175

of 26th Inst. in which

you state that the res.

quoted in my letter to

1029923 July 177 do not

refer to Comman. Aff.

ers of the Ho of Am

Pro.

2. I have already printed

and the words of the

Order stating that the
rates there stipulated refer
to all Military & Com-
manded Civil Officers
whether detached from
other provinces or not
which clearly shows that
Madras Civilian were
intended to have the ad-
vantages of these rates
& enclosed a copy of the
Order mentioning the
papers referred to.

3. It is unreasonable
to suppose that more
favourable rates of pay-
ment would have been
provided for Officers
transferred from other

Provinces than those al-
lowed to Madras Civilian.
In the matter of pay
the former receive the
allowance for payment
there for the transfer
but as regards Lentage we
all stand on the same
footing according to length
of service & the terms of
the Order evidently show
that this was intended to
be the case. The former
work has cost me in horse
flesh & in other expenses
the sum of upwards of Rs
1000 & it is but reasonable
that some allowance should
be made for me in fear
of the same if not for

actual on May. You
are aware that I keep
3 horses which cost
in quite unnecessary for
a 4000 ft. lot. in
many years.

4. If you still adhere to the opinion a treaty is proposed I have the honor to request that you will forward the correspondence for the order of the B. of R. The question is not of great financial importance to Govt. as, if I am not mistaken, there are at present only 2 of B. of R. vessels on your

Dear Sir,
 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 15th inst. and in reply to inform you that the same has been forwarded to the proper authorities for their consideration.
 Very respectfully,
 J. B. Smith

(True copy)
 I have read the bill
 of New York the purpose
 of the amendment being
 of fact with the same
 claimed as the bill
 at No 8 per some
 articles of the
 facts (in a copy
 not sent) to which
 however I objected
 the ratio specified
 in para 1 are not
 suitable to the bill.

of the Harbor Bureau
by letter to the Officer
mentioned in f. B. No. 963
+ 15002 of March 8th
+ April 7th. This letter is
in reply to the above
from.

Collier Officer (D) C. S. Langley
3rd Aug. 1877

Collier

Submitted for the
order of Govt.

The above Genl. Cir-
cular is not strictly
correct as the order of
Govt. quoted in support
of the rule apply only
to all Military Officers
whether or not from
other provinces or not.

A. S. Commanche (with
Officer from Hongkong)
The 2nd consideration being
that the same person
may fairly be considered
by a Harbor Officer
as well as a few
who have been from
Harbor as regards from
Hongkong might be called
to have retrospective
effect from the date
of the first order of
Govt. of March 1877.

(True Copy + 1877)

Wm. C. Langley
of Secretary

To

The Copy to Govt. to be with
orig. to be submitted.

Wm. C. Langley

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

No. 553.

From *Pro Board of Revenue*

Dated *11 August* 1877.

FOR ORDERS

Mr. J. P. ...
...
...
...

...
...
...

60000

...
...
...

...
...
...

...
...
...

FOR ORDERS

Handwritten text, possibly a signature or address, is visible but illegible due to fading.

16 Aug 15, 1913