Archives 1—10,000—6-10-75.

(1) Number of pages 46

(2) Date of repair 1.6.99

(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / B.P. No.	2707	Press. / Mis.
Dated	12.9.1877	197 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

12th September 1877, No. 2,707.

Read the following papers :—

No. 195. From Major A. DEC. SCOTT, R.E., Acting Superintendent, Revenue Survey, to C. G. MASTER, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Ootacamund, 31st July 1877, No. 2,368-103.

I AM placed under the necessity of making an appeal to the Government in consequence of the interpretation placed by the Accountant-General on the letter of the Government of India determining the scale of salaries of the Superintendent of the Revenue Survey and the officers of the department, communicated under G.O., dated 25th June 1877, No. 2,097, Revenue Department.

2. The Accountant-General considers that by paragraph 3 of that letter, the amount of my salary, whether as acting for Colonel Hessey or as substantively appointed for a time to the office of Superintendent, under Section 4, Chapter II, of the Acting Allowance Code, is limited to the Civil salary, viz., Rs. 1,500 per mensem, notwithstanding that Colonel Hessey's salary was fixed at Rs. 1,800 per mensem.

3. It seems to me that paragraph 3 of the letter of the Government of India already referred to does not necessarily apply to the case of an officer acting for Colonel Hessey, or to the case of an officer appointed substantively for a time under the rule mentioned; but if the Government should decide that the view of the Accountant-General is correct, I beg that consideration may be given to the following representations.

4. From the Proceedings of the Government in connection with the re-organization of the department which have been communicated to me, it is perfectly clear that it was never intended by them that the remuneration of a Military Officer appointed to the charge of the department should be limited in the manner described by the Accountant-General.

It is equally clear that the Government of Madras considered that any scale of consolidated salaries which could be proposed for adoption by the Government of India would involve a higher average rate than that which they considered themselves justified in bringing forward, having in view the original condition of service and other circumstances of the present *Civil* incumbents, and that if a scheme had been prepared on the basis of consolidated salaries for Military and Civil Officers alike, the consolidated salary of the Superintendent would have been fixed at a higher rate than that of the Civil salary *per se*.

Vide paragraph 22 of letter No. 941, dated 14th July 1876, to Government of India.

5. I trust therefore that I am justified in believing that the Government do not consider the remuneration attached by the Government of India to the appointment I now hold sufficient, and that my representations on the subject will meet with their support.

6. The Government of India in their letter under reference have seen fit to carry out the principle of consolidated salaries as respects the Superintendent succeeding Colonel Hessey, but in a manner apparently never contemplated by the Government of Madras, and which I beg respectfully to observe is not consistent with the general policy of administration which the Government of India have enunciated and acted on on other occasions.

7. The policy to which I refer is that of equalizing the remuneration of officers of the Government holding appointments of a similar character in different Provinces of the Empire.

In the last re-organization of the Public Works Department of Madras, the observance of this rule was insisted on by the Government of India, and I believe that on other occasions regard to the principle referred to has ruled the adjustment and even caused the reduction of salaries of Madras Officials.

8. The following concise statement will show that the position of the Superintendent of the Revenue Survey of Madras under the order of the Government of India, which the Accountant-General considers is to be brought into immediate operation, is entirely anomalous, and that the principle referred to has in his case been widely departed from. In the statement the responsibilities as far as known of the different officers enumerated are contrasted, and these are considered to be fairly measured by the number of superior officers and subordinates under the direction of each and the average annual expenditure each supervises. The remuneration granted in each case is also shown. The details are abstracted from the Administration Reports and the Survey Manual and are a fair approximation.

Designation of Officer and Locality of Office.	Number of Superior Officers directed.	Number of subordinates employed.	Annual Expenditure	Remuneration of Head Department per mensem.	Remarks.
			Rs.	Rs. A. P.	
Superintendent of Trigonometrical Survey of India	24	...	7,00,000	2,565 0 0	
Superintendent of Revenue Survey of India.	27	2,800	9,50,000	2,265 10 0	
Commissioner of Revenue Survey and Settlement, Bombay.	...	...	...	2,494 7 1	
Deputy Superintendent, 1st class, Revenue Survey of India.	2	240	68,000	*1,600 0 0	* With net Military pay to Royal Engineers.
Superintendent, Revenue Survey, Madras.	16	3,041	7,28,000	1,500 0 0	

9. It will suffice to point out that as a Deputy Superintendent of Revenue Survey, 1st class, in Bengal, I should as a Royal Engineer Officer receive Rs. 1,784 per mensem; that I should probably have charge of a party consisting of one Assistant Superintendent or at most two and some 240 subordinates, and that my annual expenditure would amount to between 60,000 and 70,000 Rs.; that, on the other hand, as Superintendent of the Revenue Survey of Madras, with the direction of sixteen superior officers over 3,000 subordinates and an average annual outlay of Rs. 7,28,000, I receive per mensem Rupees 1,500.

10. Seeing that the Government of India contrary to the proposal of this Government has decided that it is expedient that the salary of the appointment I hold for the time should be consolidated, I would beg that its amount may be based on a just consideration of the responsibilities of the office and the emoluments of officers holding similar appointments in other parts of India.

Enclosure No. 1.

From D. F. LARPENT, Esq., Officiating Assistant Accountant-General, to Major A. DeC. SCOTT, R.E., Acting Superintendent, Revenue Survey, dated Fort St. George, 25th July 1877, No. 4,616.

In reply to your letter, No. 2,232-83, dated 20th July 1877, I have the honor to inform you that, if the Government is pleased to appoint you to be Superintendent of the Madras Survey substantive *pro tem*, under Section 4 of the Acting Allowance Code, you will be entitled temporarily to draw the full pay sanctioned for the appointment, viz., Rs. 1,500 per mensem.

2. The Government appear to possess the power under Section 4-II of the Acting Allowance Code so to appoint you without disturbing Colonel Hessey's lien on the appointment, inasmuch as Colonel Hessey was granted furlough on medical certificate under Rule XIV of the Military Furlough Rules of 1868 as embodied in General Order of the Government of India in the Military Department, No. 171, dated 25th February 1874, and but for the operation of Rule II of the same General Order would have therefore been compelled to vacate the appointment altogether.

3. The proviso in paragraph 3 of the Government of India letter, dated 21st May 1877, No. 313, Revenue, &c., was overlooked when your claim to acting allowance on Rupees 1,800 was admitted, I request therefore that in your next bill you will deduct the sum thus paid by mistake, viz., Rs. 519-6-10.

(True Copy.)

OOTACAMUND,
31st July 1877.

(Signed) A. DeC. SCOTT, Major, R. E.,
Acting Superintendent, Revenue Survey.

No. 196. ORDER THEREON, 12th September 1877, No. 2,707.

Miscellaneous.

*G.O., 25th June 1877, No. 2,097, R.D.

UNDER the proviso contained in paragraph 3 of letter* from the Government of India, dated 21st May last, No. 313, the Accountant-General holds that Major Scott, R.E., the present Acting Superintendent of Revenue Survey, is not entitled to more than the consolidated civil rate of pay, viz., Rs. 1,500, sanctioned for that appointment.

2. The Accountant-General is doubtless within the letter of the order in arguing that the Acting Superintendent having joined the department in February last can neither draw deputation allowance on Colonel Hessey's pay of Rs. 1,800, nor obtain the full allowance at that rate if appointed *sub pro tem*.

3. His Grace the Govenor in Council however apprehends that the proviso was intended by the Government of India to refer to the lower grades of the department, and that it did not contemplate the present position in which a Royal Engineer Officer has been selected from outside the department to superintend it temporarily.

4. When the re-organization scheme was submitted to the Government of India—letter dated 14th July 1876, No. 941—it was said "as regards the pay of the Superintendent, it is proposed to fix it at Rs. 1,800 should the appointment be held by a Military man. This salary is considerably less than that fixed for this grade in Bengal and is less than that now drawn by Colonel Priestly."

5. The Government of India in regretting that they could not sanction any addition to the aggregate cost of the Madras Survey concurred with this Government "in thinking the present scale of salaries, especially in the case of Military Officers, open to objection", and virtually approved of the proposals submitted if arrangements could be made to carry them out without additional expense.

G.O., 2nd October 1876, No. 1,402.

6. Such arrangements having been made were reported to the Government of India (27th February 1877, No. 843,) and the sanction of that authority received with the proviso referred to in paragraph 1 of this order.

7. The intention of this Government was that the Superintendent if a Military man should not draw less than Rs. 1,800, and Major Scott's appointment was made under the supposition that the recommendation was approved.

8. His Grace the Governor in Council resolves therefore to request the Government of India to exempt Major Scott, R.E., from the operation of the proviso referred to and to allow him to draw deputation allowance calculated upon the higher rate of pay sanctioned for the appointment.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Superintendent of Revenue Survey.
,, the Accountant-General.
,, the Board of Revenue.

Exd. W. J. Lewis.

2707
3

11-4-16

Audit Department

Fort Saint George

No 4616

Accountant General's Office

25th July 1877.

From

The Offg Assistant Accountant General

Madras

To

Major R de C Scott

Superintendent Madras Survey

Sir

In reply to your letter No 2232/89 dated July 20th 1877 I have the honor to inform you that if the Government is pleased to appoint you to be Superintendent of the Madras Survey substantive proforma under Section 4 of the Allowances Code, you will be entitled temporarily to draw the full pay sanctioned for the appointment viz Rupees 1500 per mensem.

The Government appear to possess the power under Section 4 no 2 of the Acting Allowance Code so to appoint you without disturbing Colonel Hervey's lien on the appointment inasmuch as Colonel Hervey was granted furlough on Medical Certificate under Rule XIII of the Military furlough rules of 1868 as embodied in General Order of the Government of India in the Military Department No 171 dated February 25th 1874, and not for the operation of rule II of the same General Order would have therefore been compelled to create the appointment altogether.

The proviso in para 3 of the Government of India letter dated 21st May 1877 No 313 Revenue was overlooked when your claim to Acting allowance on Rupees 1800 was admitted, I request

I request therefore that in your next Bill you will deduct the amount thus paid by mistake viz Rupees 519-6-10.

Thanking &c

(Signed) D. F. Larpent
Offg Assistant Accountant Genl

True Copy

Rev Survey Office
Camp Ootacamund
31st July 1877

Arch Scott Major R.E.
Acting Superintendent
Rev Survey

Rev 8567/77

80-12-9-77 No 2707

No 2268/103

Revenue Survey Office
Camp Ootacamund
31st July 1877

From

The Acting Superintendent Revenue Survey

To

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Sir

I am placed under the necessity of making an appeal to the Government in consequence of the interpretation placed by the Accountant General on the letter of the Government of India determining the scales of Salaries of the Superintendent of the Revenue Survey and the Officers of the Department communicated under G.O. dated 25th June 1877 No 2097 Revenue Department.

2 The Accountant General considers that by para 3 of that letter the amount of my salary whether as acting for Colonel Hervey or as substantively appointed for a time to the Office of Superintendent, under section 4 Chapter II of the Acting Allowance Code is limited to the fixed Salary viz Rupees 1500 per mensem notwithstanding that Colonel Hervey's salary was fixed at Rupees 1800 per mensem.

3 It seems to me that para 3 of the letter of the Government of India already referred to does not necessarily apply to the case of an Officer acting for Colonel Hervey or to the case of an Officer appointed

appointed substantively for a time under the rule mentioned, but if the Government should decide that the view of the Accountant General is correct I beg that consideration may be given to the following representations.

4 From the Proceedings of the Government in connection with the reorganization of the Department which have been communicated to me it is perfectly clear that it was never intended by them that the remuneration of a Military Officer appointed to the charge of the Department should be limited in the manner described by the Accountant General.

5 It is equally clear that the Government of Madras considered that any scale of consolidated salaries which could be proposed, for adoption by the Government of India, would involve a higher average rate than that which they considered themselves justified in bringing forward, having in view the original condition of service and other circumstances of the present Civil incumbents, and that if a scheme had been prepared on the basis of consolidated salaries for Military and Civil Officers alike, the consolidated salary of the Superintendent would have been fixed at a higher rate than that of the Civil Salary per se.

6 I trust therefore that I am justified in believing that the Government do not consider the remuneration attached by the Government of India to the appointment now held officiating and

Vide para 22 of Letter No 941 dated 14th July 1876 to Government of India

and that my representations on the subject will meet with their support.

6 The Government of India in their Letter under reference have seen fit to carry out the principle of Consolidated Salaries as regards the Superintendent succeeding Colonel Lacey but in a manner apparently never contemplated by the Government of Madras and which I beg respectfully to observe is not consistent with the general policy of Administration which the Government of India have communicated and acted on on other occasions.

7 The Policy to which I refer is that of equalising the remuneration of Officers of the Government holding appointments of a similar character in different Provinces of the Empire.

On the last reorganisation of the Public Works Department of Madras, the observance of this rule was insisted on by the Government of India and I believe that on other occasions regard to the principle referred to has ruled the adjustment and even caused the reduction of Salaries of Madras Officials.

8 The following concise statement will show that the position of the Superintendent of the Revenue Survey of Madras under the order of the Government of India which the Accountant General considers is to be brought into immediate operation is entirely anomalous and that the principle referred to has in his case been widely departed from. In the statement the responsibilities of the different Officers enumerated are contrasted and these are considered to be fairly

measured

measured by the number of Superior Officers and Subordinates under the direction of each and the average Annual Expenditure each supervises. The remuneration granted in each case is also shown. The details are abstracted from the Administration Reports and the Survey Manual and are a fair approximation.

Designation of Officer and Locality of Office	Number of Superior Officers directed	Number of Subordinates employed	Annual Expenditure	Remuneration or Grade of the Appointment per annum	Remarks
				Rupees	
Superintendent of Trigonometrical Survey of India	24		70000	2666	
Superintendent of Revenue Survey of India	27	2800	250000	2666	
Deputy Superintendent 1st Cap Revenue Survey of India	—	—	—	24947-1	
	2	240	18000	1600	* Lieut. R.E. Military Service Royal Engineers
Superintendent Revenue Survey Madras	16	3041	72800	700	

9 Until I sufficiently to point out that as a Deputy Superintendent of Revenue Survey 1st Cap in Bengal I should as a Royal Engineer officer receive Rupees 1766 per mensem that I should probably have charge of a Party consisting of one Assistant Superintendent or at most two and some 240 subordinates and that my Annual Expenditure would amount to between 60000 and 70000 Rupees that on the other hand as Superintendent of the Revenue Survey of Madras with the direction of 16 Superior Officers over 3000 Subordinates and an

940 officers

an average Annual Outlay of Rupees 72,8000 I receive per mensem Rupees 1500. 2500/-

10 Seeing that the Government of India contrary to the proposal of this Government has decided that it is expedient that the Salary of the Appointment I hold for the time should be consolidated I would beg that its amount may be based on a just consideration of the responsibilities of the office and the emoluments of Officers holding similar appointments in other parts of India.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your Most obedt Servant

Andw Scott Major R.E.
Acting Superintendent
Rev Survey

Revenue 1877 Depart
or
No 3567

From

The Acting Superintendent
Revenue Survey
&c &c

To The Secretary to Govt
Revenue Department

Dated 31st July 1877
Despd 6th August 1877
Recd 6th Do - Do

Enclosures 1

A. 2368/103

Subject

G 12-8-77 N. 2707.

Appealing against the interpretation placed by the Accountant General on the letter of Government of India determining the scale of salaries of the Supdt of Rev Survey.

R C 1/11 R 12/11
C 1/12 R 1/12
C 11/12 R 1/12

Revenue Department

Memo for Orders.

2 2 9 / a

Letter from Asst Supdt Rev: Survey
Appealing against the interpretation placed by the Acct Genl on the letter of Govt of India determining the scale of salaries of the Supdt of Revenue Survey -

(H. E. 31st July 1877 No 2368/103.)

1. Major Scott, Asst Superintendent of Revenue Survey, appeals from the interpretation put by the Accounts Department upon the order of the Govt of India regulating the salary of his appointment -

2. According to the scale sanctioned by the Govt of India (G.O. 25th June 1877 - No 2097) the Superintendent is to receive Rs 1500 if a Civil

Please write to claim &
& I think it is clear
that the proper Authority
to pay Govt Appt Fld.

His appointment is
rank - it cannot be
Bar matter - asks the
Pay Order
H(D 213)

Civil Officer & Rs. 1800
if a Military officer.

3. It is provided however that "for any Military "Officer who entered the "Survey Department after the "issue of the Resolution "in the Financial Depart- "ment dated 31st October "1872; or may hereafter "enter it, the pay shall be "a consolidated salary "equivalent to the Civil pay "of the appointment as "now sanctioned."

4. With reference to this the Account Department tell Major Scott (who only entered the Survey Department lately) that he cannot draw deputation allowance on Colonel Hessey's pay of Rs. 1800 but that if the Government like to appoint him "substantive protem", he can draw the full pay sanctioned for Civil appointment viz Rs. 1500.

5. Major Scott thinks the praises quoted by the Account Department not necessarily applica- ble to the case of an officer acting for Col. Hessey or appointed substantive protem.

There

spicuous & the Civil Court's
rates of pay. Even
on temptation the
present position in
Dr. a Royal Engineer officer
has been selected from
outside the Dept. &
Superintendent it temporary
I draw therefore
under Rule 10
of Major Scott's pay in
the Civil part of the Estimate
Summer his Allowance
is entered at 20 p.c. of
the pay of the substantive
appointment.

	2.	R.
of	40	
20 p.c. on	1500	= 300
	1800	= 360

In this Estimate it is
put at R. 259. 2
I presume he is

letter as to amt. in this
he of Abbotts Dr. with
being of Major S. that
entitled to the maximum
Rs. 1500. Dr. the Abbotts
considers that he can
draw.

First Estimate entered at

Civil Engineer pay	640 - 14
Staff Corps Engr.	600
20 p.c. on Military pay	360
	1600 - 14

Present at 2/3 of the
Office Allowance for Civil pay
& Major's pay the As
Abbotts Dr. Rs. 873. 2.
& Major S. total entitled
Rs. 1614 - 4. I think
he be claimed for him.

The matter is [illegible]

8th February 1877

6- There can be no question however that the Accountant General is correct in his interpretation - Major Scott on the supposition that Government may agree with the Accountant General proceeds to point out that in the correspondence which has passed on the question of reorganization it was never the intention of this Govt that the remuneration of a Military Officer appointed to the charge of the Department should be limited in this way Further the Government of India have carried out the principle of consolidated salaries as respects the Supt succeeding Col Bessary in a manner never contemplated by this Govt and which is further inconsistent with the general policy of Administration viz equalizing the remuneration of officers holding appointments of a similar character in

in different Provinces of the
Empire.

7. As to the intention of this Government in their recommendations it is to be observed that, originally, when the scale of pay was 850 + 150 (travelling allowance) = Rupees 1000 added

added to the military pay of rank which for the then incumbent - a Lieut. Colonel - brought up the total emoluments to Rupees 1827/14, the Government recommended certain alterations in the

Vide correspondence forwarded with the letter to Govt. of India 8/1st June 1865 No. 1167.

the Department

in the way of

consolidation prin-

-cipally with the object

of bettering the

position of Civil

officers of the

Department) and the

proposed consolidated

pay for the Superintend

-ent ship for a Military

or Civil officer was Rs 1500.

Present interests were

not to be affected & for

all Military officers the

existing rates were to be

maintained not only in present

but in the next step they

may again in Military Rank

These proposals were not incon-

-ditionally accepted but alte-

-rations of Fin.l Resolution Govt.

of India dated 31 Oct 1872

No 3927 recorded in G.O. 18 Jan.

1873 No 63) the scale was

approved with a proviso that

Military officers on promotion

to a higher grade in the Survey

would be restricted to the

consolidated pay without

reference to their Military

rank.

With

* Vide Fin.l Resolution Govt. of India
recorded in G.C. 11 Nov/65 No 2732

8. With reference to this proviso Colonel Hessey when he was promoted to the Supte vice Colonel Priestley retired drew Rs. 1500 consolidated i.e. (as pointed out in para 23 of letter to Govt of India dated 14 July 1876 No. 941) only Rs. 97-2-0 in addition to his former pay.

9 Where the reorganization scheme has submitted to the Govt of India (see letter just quoted) it has said "as regards the "pay of the Supte it is "proposed to fix it at "Rs. 1800 should the "appointment "appointment be held by a "Military man. This salary "is considerably less than "that fixed for this post "in Bengal & is less than "that now drawn by Colonel "Priestley"

10. The Govt of India in regretting that they could not sanction any addition to the aggregate cost of the Madras Survey concurred with this Govt "in thinking the present scale "of salaries, especially in the "case of Military Officers open "to objection" (referring more especially to Rs. 4 000 Supte who on promotion could only draw

Govt 2 Oct 76 No. 1402

draw the consolidated scale)
& (this virtually approved of the
proposals submitted if arrange-
ments could be made to
carry them out without additional
expense.

11. Such arrangements
having been made were
reported to the Govt of India (Gov. 27 Feb'(87)) №: 842
(27 Feb'(87)) №: 843) and the
[illegible] of that authority
received at the proviso referred
to in para 3 of this Memo.

12. It may then safely
be assumed that the intention
of this Govt was that the
Supt if a Military man
should not draw less than
Rs 1800 - and in appointing
Major Scott to act for
Colonel Hassey prior to the
receipt of the Govt of India
sanction this Govt may have
considered that he would
become entitled to the rate
of pay which had been
virtually approved by the
Govt of India in Sept 1876.

13. It may also be
assumed that the proviso
was intended by the Govt
of India to refer chiefly
to the lower grades of the
Dept as they could
hardly have anticipated that
an Officer not already in
the Dept should be put
at its head.

14. On the other point
brought

brought up by Major Scott viz the general policy of the Govt of India in equalizing remuneration it is clear if it has foundation in fact that the Superior Revenue Survey here is paid on a much lower scale than Officers holding less important posts in the Survey Department of other Provinces. This point, as already observed, had not escaped notice before it was quoted to shew that the proposed salary of 1800 Rs was very low.

15. In regard to the Accountant Generals suggestion that

x Vide statement in para 8 of Major Scott's letter

that Major Scott might be appointed sub pro tem Supt on Rs 1500 it is observed that Major Scott now draws pay as follows.

Pay & rank as Major	640-14-0
Staff salary as Ex: Engr	600-0-0
Acting allowance	259-2-0
	1500-0-0

so that he would not be a gainer by the proposed arrangement.

16. Orders requested whether the Govt of India should be asked to allow Major Scott the full pay of the appointment viz Rs 1800.

3567

Memo for Bills

Scale of salaries of the Supt^s of Revenue Survey.

Revenue Department

No. 2707

Proceedings of the Madras Government under date the 12 September 1877.

Read letter from the Superintendent Revenue Survey dated 31st July 1877 No. 2363/103, applying against the interpretation placed by the Accountant General on the letter of Government of India determining the scale of salaries of the Supts of Revenue Survey.

Under the proviso contained in paragraph 3 of letter from the Government of India dated 31st May last No. 313 the Accountant General holds that Major Scott R. E. the present acting Superintendent of Revenue Survey, is not entitled to more than the consolidated cost

Rec^d 8/9/77

G.O. 25th June 1877 No. 2097 Rev. Dep^t.

R 8/9/77 - R 12/9/77
C 7/9/77 R 8/9/77

Civil rate of pay viz Rs. 1500

& sanctioned for that appointment.

3 The Accountant General is ~~not clearly~~ within the letter ~~& not in the interpretation~~ in regarding that of the order ~~under which~~ the Acting Superintendent ~~was~~ joined the Department in February last can neither draw deputation al. lowance on Col. Neply's pay of Rs. 1800 nor obtain the full allowance at that rate if of permitted but pro tem.

4 His Grace the Governor in Council however apprehends that the proviso was intended primarily by the Government of India to refer to the lower grades of the Department and that it does not contemplate the present position in which a Royal Engineer officer has been selected from outside the Department to superintend it temporarily.

4. When the reorganization scheme was submitted to the Government of India, letter dated 14th July 1876 No. 940, it was said "as regards the pay of the Superintendent it is proposed to fix it at Rs. 1800 — should the appointment be held by a military man. This salary is considerably less than that fixed for this grade in Bengal and is less than that now drawn by Colonel Priestley

The

5. The Govt. of India in
regretting that they could not
sanction any additions to the ag-
gregate cost of the Madras Survey G. O. P. October 1876 No. 1403
concurred with this Government
in thinking the present scale of
salaries especially in the case
of Military Officers open to rob-
jection and virtually disproved of
the proposals to entitle of arrange-
ments could be made to carry them
out without additional expense

6. Local enquiries have
been made upon reports to the
Government of India (27th July 1877
No. 2803) with the sanction of that
authority provided with the provi-
sions required in para 1 of
this order.

7. The attention of the
Govt

Government was that the Superintendent if a military man should not draw less than Rs. 1,800 and Major Scott's appointment was made under the supposition that the recommendation was approved.

8. His Grace the Governor in Council resolves therefore to request the Government of India to exempt Major Scott R. E. from the operation of the proviso referred to and to allow him to draw the higher rate of pay sanctioned for the appointment.

13 Supdt of Rev Survey 25-9-77
6 " Asst General
14 " Bd of Revenue

Q.6

Book of

Salaries of the Supt

of Revenue Survey