

(1) Number of pages 4
(2) Date of repair 31.5.79
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU
Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	2706	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	12.9.1877	197 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

2706
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

12th September 1877, No. 2,706.

Read the following paper :—

No. 193. From E. J. SINKINSON, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Simla, 30th August 1877, No. 2,779.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 1,654, dated 14th November 1876, requesting that the awards under the Boundary Marks Act XXVIII of 1860 in disputes to which Government is a party may be exempted from stamp duty.

2. In reply, I am to say that the law does not admit of retrospective exemptions, and that the Honorable the President in Council sees no sufficient reason to grant the exemption asked for for the future. His Honor in Council is of opinion that all that is necessary in order to meet the difficulty represented is that on the reference to arbitration the question of liability for costs, including the stamp duty on the award, should be distinctly set forth among the points to be determined by the arbitrators.

No. 194.

ORDER THEREON, 12th September 1877, No. 2,706.

Separate Revenue, No. 11.

Stamps.

Communicated to the Board of Revenue for information and guidance, with reference to G.O., 14th November 1876, No. 1,653.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. A. F. Goodall.

Office Under Secy to the Govt of India

No 2779

2766

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Madras

Financial Department Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt
of your letter No 1654 dated 14th November 1876
requesting that the awards under the Boundary
Marks Act 28 of 1860 in disputes to which Govern-
ment is a party may be exempted from Stamp
duty.

2 In reply, I am to say that the law does
not admit of retrospective exemptions, that the Honble
the President in Council sees no sufficient reason
to grant the exemption asked for for the future.
His Honor in Council is of opinion that all
that is necessary in order to meet the difficulty
represented, is that on the reference to arbitration
the question of liability for costs, including
the Stamp duty on the award, should be distinctly set
forth among the points to be determined by the Arbitrator.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

Simla
The 30th August
1877

J. J. Lushington

Under Secy to the Govt of India

Dec: 1871: Sept 5

No 3951

From

Govt of India
Financial Dept

Sept 30 Aug 20
No 3951

at 2779.

Referring to
amount from Home Dept
the amount under the
Boundary No 11, Act XXVII
of 1864 in reference to which
Govt is a party.

No 2706

Communicated to the Secy of Home
for information and reference
with reference to No 14 under
No 1652.

12-9-71

14 Sept 1871 (1871-9-14)