

(1) Number of pages 42
(2) Date of repair 4.5.79
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES
GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU
Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	2695	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	<u>11</u> - 9 - 1877	197 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled.

2695
No. 3,447.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 24th July 1877.

Read the following letter from A. J. STUART, Esq., Acting Collector of Tinnevely, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Ambasamudram, 10th March 1877, No. 163:—

In reply to the inquiries made by the Board in their Proceedings, No. 530, dated 8th February 1877, I have the honor to inform you that the Inam in question, consisting of lands under Komaneri, is registered in Case No. 3 of Nattupuram Village in Tenkara Taluq and Title-deed No. 361 issued by the Inam Commissioner to the manager, for the time being, of the choultry, that is to say, the Collector.

2. The position of the Hackdar and his rights in the endowment are given in the Inam Register in Case No. 8 of Keelapidagai Village in the same taluq where there is a minor Inam attached to the chuttrum, from which it appears that the present Hackdars are the descendants of the original grantees; that their forefathers were appointed superintendents and servants of the choultry in 1837, when the chuttrum was taken under the management of the district authorities from the then Hackdar, and that they were paid for the services of the choultry of which they were themselves the Hackdars.

3. The chuttrum has remained ever since 1837 under the Collector's management, and was made over to the Local Fund Board by the Collector, as the manager for the time being, in 1872, along with other choultries—vide G.O., No. 734, dated 7th June 1872. The profit has never been claimed by the so-called Hackdar who has always been content with his position as a servant of the chuttrum. It is not clear whether this family were Hackdars or owners of the charity, or only hereditary servants, probably the latter, as the title-deed was sent to the Collector to be kept in his office, he being recognized as the manager of the charity.

SUBMITTED to Government with reference to their Order, dated 26th September 1876, No. 1,337, together with copies of the Inam Registers referred to by the Collector.

2. From the registers it will be observed that the chuttrum was taken under the management of the Collector in 1837, the Hackdars not having properly kept up the institution, and remained under his management until it was recently transferred to the Local Fund Board.

3. The Hackdars evidently do not claim the Inam.

4. There is nothing in the information now furnished to lead to an alteration of the view already expressed by the Board in their Proceedings, dated 11th September 1876, No. 2,314, nor do the Board see why Standing Order No. 105 may not be made applicable to exceptional cases of the kind under notice, the object of the order being simply to provide endowments for choultries conveniently situated for the accommodation of the public.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

H. E. Stokes
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with extract from Inam Register.
Copy to the Acting Collector of Tinnevely.

Exd. A. Grabert.

5692

C/03393

1877.

Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.

C/1

PROCEEDINGS, 24TH JULY 1877, No. 3,447.

24/7/1877
Encl. 1/2

811-9-77 m 2695

Received
J. S. Chuganath

JULY.
No. 3,447.

Gowindappa's Choultry at Alwarthirunagar
in Tinnevely District. — Submitting to Govern-
ment letter from Collector furnishing informa-
tion called for by Board regarding the Inam
attached to — and the rights of the Haddar
in the idowment.

Rev. Department

Memo. for orders

Proceedings Board of Revenue

Subj. letter from Collector
furnishing information called for by Board
regarding the drain attached to Gound of
Jeri's Choultry at Alwarthangudi in
Sivernally District.

26/9/5
3
(H.E. 24th July 1877 No. 3447)

A part of the drain
has been taken up to
be made of better material for
the drain is in the hands
of Govt. & entrusted by them
to the Local P. B.

The drain is
"broken through" or
broken up by the Govt.
drain.

It is the proper
course to maintain
the drain; in order
the British rates of
Assess. (No. 1425).

1 This paper furnishes the
further information called for in G. O.
26th September 1876 No. 1337.

2 The management of Gound of
Jeri's Choultry appears to have been
for a long time with the Collector under
whose supervision certain Hereditary
servants conducted the duties. The reason
was that malpractices existed.

3 So when the drain Com.
inspector took up his enquiry
he

Genl. of 1877

REVENUE.

7th THE COLLECTION OF SALES.

Dist. System

Issued in M.S. 12/10.

Printed 15th.

Rev.

Advertisement of Christian Relief in Darjeeling
To my, Nelson, J. M. J. — Intimating that the Govt.
to have perfect confidence in Major Raynes
and every exertion to alleviate distress and to
prevent fraud within the relief under his charge,
and passing orders on the subject of submitting
reports of Police Superintendents on cleared
land to the Police to be made to the Police.

he issued title deeds in the name
of the Choultry and sent them
to the Collector who was directed
to make the necessary arrangements
for the future due management
of the Choultry.

(Vide remark on Extract from
Dum Register of Kilpadyge. I
doubt the Commissioner's power
to issue any instructions on the subject)
The Collector in process
of time handed the management over
to the Local Fund Board.

(S. O. 52 March 1872 h. 237 for agr)
(y. June 1872 - 764 for agr)

Then began the correspondence
terminating in the present
Proceedings.

According to a memo recorded
on the Dum Register already adverted

Change of title deeds at Kilpadyge
(H. 7/12-8/3) - Kilpadyge
probably in 1872-73. The
cultivation & extent of area
cultivated was 18. 11. 5
being defined by the P.W.D.
as for the land held the
Dum - L.H.

Located on the Register
then - It was due in a
large number of cases, &
not not initiate

It must be wrong - But
as they have accepted the ac-
cept with contentment, I
think

It is to be understood
is the work presented
for

to the endowment of this Choultry
consisted of the following items.

2693

No.	Village	Area	Description	Appropriation			Total		
				Rs.	M.	P.	Rs.	M.	P.
1	Kilpadyge	18	Mt. Dry of 1872	497	7	1	217	11	5
2	Pillanurpalli	3	"	72	3	11	40	10	6
3	Kavirajapalli	4	"	75	4	5	43	46	5
4	Labburpalli	5	"	6	15	4	11	11	7
5	Talaturpalli	8	"	134	1	9	75	5	7
6	Kattupuram	147	"	137	1	2	968	2	9
		190	Subtotal	3642	15	8	1349	2	7
			Net sum	1293	13	1			

7 The total payments on ac-
count of Jodi from this appear to
amount to Rs. 1349. 2. 7 leaving
Rs. 1293. 13. 1 available for the
Choultry operations.

8. These figures however
do not seem to be very correct for
from the two extracts from Dum
Register accompanying present
Proceedings it appears that the
Jodi settled for Kilpadyge was

Rs.

Rs. 209 and that the assessment
in the case of Kattapuram was
Rs. 2,157-4-3 and the gross Rs.
985-2-9.

Not material I think

9 However this may be the
inam which formed the subject of the
correspondence recorded in G. O. 8th August
1876 No. 1055 is now stated to be the same
as that in Kattapuram. The "quit rent"
however amounted according to a Mr.
Arundel to Rs. 1,233-9-8 for the single
village (Kamavari) now stated to be
identical with Kattapuram.

10. This report the repre-
senting only half the assessment
was found to be too heavy as under
revised rates the assessment of
the whole village, including waste,
would only amount to Rs. 1,425. The
Collector asked therefore that the
inam might be cancelled and a

put back

The whole village means I
suppose the whole village
former assess. 2642-15-0
new assess. 1425-0-0
Subst. 1217-15-8

a practically nearly the
whole village.

It seems to me that
the whole loss must fall on
the Jodi & the temple plan
which is a little according to
maintain the village
at present rate. We can
not recover more

~~The Pottahs might be asked~~
Nor can we reduce the in-
come. So the loss falls
on Gov. plan to make the
version of assess.

put back at settlement rates given to the
President Local Fund Board who expects
to get rents from the ryots at old rates
if he gave remissions for withered
and withered crops. 2/6 9/5

11. The Board thought that
if the ryots were mere tenants. But
will this might be done, but if they
were proprietors the put back must be
given them (G. O. 13th February
1873 No. 175)

12. Govt. said the inam must be
resumed, and that if the ryots were
the proprietors the collections should
be made by Revenue officers and an
equivalent of the amount of the ori-
ginal endowment made over to the
Local Fund Board.

13. The Board then reported
that the occupants of the land
were the proprietors and the Local

Fund

G. O. 8th August 1876 No. 1055

Fund Board merely an assignee
of the Government Revenue. They
considered that a Revenue puttah
could not be issued "to the landar
by the Local Fund Board" (I
think this was a clerical error.
they meant apparently "to the landar
viz. the Local Fund Board") They
were further of opinion that it
would not be advisable to act on
the Government proposal to give an
equivalent of the amount of the
original endowment because no
reason existed for giving compen-
sation for a relinquishment of an
inam right especially where the
neglect of the landar had pro-
bably caused the deterioration in
the value of the inam. This
objection

no deterioration in value
& the holders are not Mann-
dars i.e. not responsible

Don't see the claim - It
must accept lower for
what we can
deal -

objection seemed one of principle
only as likely to lead to complications
if adopted and made into a precedent.

They had no objection to a fresh endowment
being made to the choultry. 26/9

14. The L. C. on this asked for infor-
mation about the landar (in connection
apparently with the clerical error noted
above) and observed that the choultry
does not appear to fall under Board's
Standing Circular No. 105 as it was an
old institution with a special endow-
ment for itself made in former years.

15. The Board now report that
the Hakkars or hereditary servants
have no claim on the inam. They
still adhere to the proposals made
in their Proceedings dated 11. Sept.

1876 No. 3314, (vide para 13 of
this

this name).

16. The resumption of the
income has already been (apparently)
ordered (para 12 above). Orders
are requested (1) whether payments
are to be given to the rectors at the
revised rates (2) whether the equi-
valent of the former endowment is
to be given out of the revenue of the vic-
arage or (3) whether a fresh endowment is
to be granted under Board's Standing Order
105.

17. In reference to the apparent
discrepancy as to existing endowments
of this Rectory is it advisable to ask
for further information before granting
a fresh endowment?

Clearly my an entitled to
this, I think

No! Mark or simply too
the greater part of the for
22/10

2695
2695
7

Memorandum

Inam attached
to Govindappa's Choultry
at Alwarthimangur in
Tinnevely Dist.

Incumbent of the
Muzfardat of the
Muzfardat of the
Muzfardat of the
Muzfardat of the
Muzfardat of the

C.S. & P. R. 11/11/11
R. 23/11/11
R. 23/11/11
R. 23/11/11

No 2695
Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 11 September 1877.

Read Proceedings
of the Board of Revenue dated
24 July 1877 No 3447 on
letter from Collector furnishing
information regarding the Inam
attached to Govindappa's
Choultry at Alwarthimangur
in Tinnevely Dist.

The tenure of
this Inam which is in the
hands of Government
and entrusted by them to
the Local Fund Board
is

10/9

is "Artha Manyam" or
free of one half the Govt.
demand.

2. The proper course
is to maintain the Inam,
introduce the revised rates
of assessment (R. 14, 25);
charge the Jodi at one half
(R. 7, 12-8). The effect
would probably be to
restore the cultivation &
extend it over cultivable
waste; the Tank being
repaired by the Public
Works Dept^t as Govt.
really hold the Inam.

9/11

14. To the Secretary
5. Financial Dept^t
21. P. W. Dept^t

2695
/a

to Govindappa
Chetty at Alwar
-innagiri in Tanjore
District.

Extract from the Chron.

Register of Lexington, S. C.

in the Tengan Taluk of the

Almarumully

Conundoffigan

Chondry

Tengan Now under the care of

Government for the

time being the Collector

of the District.

1838

Tengan

Tengan

2

1838

1838

1838

1838

1838

1838

1838

1838

1838

1838

1838

1838

1838

1838

1838

1838

1838

1838

1838

1838

Tirunelveli District

To be confirmed

Letter Deed in the name
of the Chondry.

Ar da Manigam Jodi

217-11-11

Signed S. Sarramayas

Deputy Collector

The Letter Deed

In regard to the original will be sent to the
right of Superintendent, & he will make the

the circumstances which brought me for my arrangements

Chondry under the charge of for the future due

Government, a few Takhids have been

produced by the Taluk Gumnasta from the signed P. Sarramayas

Records

at 10 of 16 October 1838 It appears

from the Taluk Superintendent of rice distribution

& Chondry managed were one Subba. Diwit, Shams Diwit, & 13

& Kistna Diwit, that their duties consisted in receiving the

produce of lands employing it in the fulfilment of the endowments

of the Chondry rendering accounts of income & expenditure

that a Muckelika was taken from them to be punctual in the

discharge of the duties. The Takhid orders that all the endowments

of the Chondry should be left in their possession

In 1822 a Takhid was issued by a Sub. Collector ordering

that as a complaint was made regarding the non execution

of Chondry officers property a man should be appointed on behalf

of Kistna & daily accounts of the expenditure & the Circor Gumnasta

Takhid with the signatures of the Kistna & the Collector ordering

3. A Takhid of 30 November 1837 issued by Collector -

In the Takhid, the Collector revised the establishment of the

Chondry, dispensed with the services of Kistna, Manigam, Poon &

and

of Grand in

1884
497-7 + 20-11-5

A Garden

72-3-11 40-10-1

75-4-5 43-4-1

Wienersbühl 5 6-15-4 4-0-7

Attaphila 8 134-1-9 75-5-8

1837-2 968-2-9

total 190 2642-158 1349-27

804-112695

Net 12069 - 14 2 1 10
S.A. 804

Net 12063 - 7 12 0 35
S.A. 846

Net 12095 - 15 7 1 23
S.A. 840

Net 12064 - 6 2 2 0 41
S.A. 829

Net 12066 - 6 6 2 0 53
S.A. 800

Net 12071 - 8 2 0 64
S.A. 803

Net 12085 - 8 7 1 0 69
S.A. 830

Net 12047 - 10 4 2 0 81
S.A. 1122

Net 12048 - 4 0 0 4
S.A. 800

Net 12027 - 7 3 2 0 58
S.A. 826

Net 12067 - 8 1 1 0 62
S.A. 836

Net 12049 - 2 1 1 0 17
S.A. 812

Net 12053 - 5 1 0 52
S.A. 810

Net 12055 - 8 7 1 0 69
S.A. 806

Total 38 Tolls 18 14 2 30 27 398-5-4

Particulars

In this 2 captured under the River channel 363-8-4

Land conveyed by the Dept of the water 27-6-5

Do - 1 crop 1-6-3 2-10 7-6-7

Total dry captured 20 7 13 01

Product of the water 1 26 5 35

Net Remaining 18 28 67 68 38-8-0

Total dry captured 68 69 36-4-6

Ground Total 98 96 424-9-10

Two standing within the bounds of the River 497-7-1

Extract from the Sacram Register of Suttupuram

2693/6 10 21

Tongareva Talap, Tinnivelly District.

17 18 19 20 21 22

Manjivada Sagar

Gorindas

Appayans

Choully

Danabakara

Collector of

the District

To be confirmed

Jan 985-2-9

The Deed in the name
of the Choully

Signed J. A. Karsairao

Deputy Collector

Offr. Karsairao

5th April 1866

The Deed #36

Confirmed

Signed J. C. Choully

28 March 1866

Approved

Signed M. T. Blair

Offr. Karsairao

5th April 1866

The Deed #36