

(1) Number of pages 8

(2) Date of repair 1-8-73

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

REV DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

2000-A

Press
Mis.

Dated

15-6-1877

107

Not to be folded or roughly handled

36

2000
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

15th June 1877, No. 2,000-A.

Famine Relief.

Read the following paper:—

No. 309-A. From J. F. PRICE, Esq., Acting Collector of Cuddapah, to J. H. GARSTIN, Esq.,
Additional Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Madanapalli, 29th
May 1877, Extra No. 1,243.

REFERRING to paragraph 4 of G.O., Revenue Department, Miscellaneous No. 1,788, dated
22nd instant, I have the honor to respectfully submit, for the consideration of Government,
the utter impossibility of excluding children under seven from famine relief works. A cooly-
woman, for instance, has one child three years old; she and her husband are both on the
works; she has, as is frequently the case, no one to look after it if she leaves it behind, and has
either to bring it or stay away altogether. Again, it is no uncommon thing for children of a year
and even eighteen months to still be at the breast, and they cannot very well be left in the village.

2. I would further advance that, unless there is some system of check in the shape of
nominal rolls of the children upon gratuitous relief and musters at unexpected times, fraud in
regard to them will become universal. It is hard work enough now fighting against the attempts
to cheat made by both maistries and women. The words of the latter in respect to the number
of children possessed by them cannot, as it has been shown by many examples, be relied upon in
the slightest degree. The only way in which there is any chance of being even reasonably sure
that the children to whom the three-pie payment is made do really exist and are really the children
of relief coolies is for the inspecting and paying officer to see every one of them, and this can
only be done upon the works themselves.

No. 309-B.

ORDER THEREON, 15th June 1877, No. 2,000-A.

Miscellaneous.

THERE is a misprint in G.O., No. 1,788,
dated 22nd May 1877, paragraph 4.

The words should be "excluded from
work," i.e., the children should not be employed on wages as laborers.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. H. GARSTIN,
Additional Secretary to Government.

To the Collector of Cuddapah.

Exd. J. W. Fischer.

Acting Collector of Cuddapah

Additional Secretary to Government

Fort-Saint-George

Government the utter impossibility of

excluding children under seven years

Hamine Relief Works. A very woman for

instance, has one child three years old.

She and her husband are both on the

works - She has, as is frequently the case,

no one to look after it if she leaves

it behind, and has either to bring

it or stay away together. Again, it is

no uncommon thing for children of a

year and even eighteen months to still

will be left in the village.

2. I would further advance that, unless there is some system of check in the shape of Nominal Rolls of the Children upon gratuitous Relief, and musters at unexpected times, fraud in regard to them will become universal.

It is hard work enough now fighting against the attempts to cheat made by both maistries and women. The words of the latter in respect to the number of children possessed by them cannot, as it has been shown by many examples, be relied upon in the slightest degree.

The only way in which there is any chance of being even reasonably sure that the children to whom the three pie payment is made, do really exist, and are really the children of Relief Coolies, is for the inspecting and paying officer to see every one of them, and this can only be done upon the

Works themselves.

VCC/3

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your Most Obedient Servant

Frederick

By Collector

End

KARIM

No 20000 A

~~Dr~~ G.O. 15 June 1877

Minia dismissed in G.O.
In 1788 dated 27 July 1877
para 4. ~~the word "being"~~
~~substituted for "work"~~

~~The word "being" is excluded~~
~~from work~~ 1.8. Not be
employed on wages as laborer.

15-6-77 JH

Some C. M. is
pointing out the mistake
explaining the meaning
to be attached to the
word JH

Mr. Capt. R. H. Colclough, Cuddalore
10 P. O. 25 June 1877

The Collector
Muz. no. 1000

1877 No. 4193
Revenue Department

G.O.

of P.O. no. 1000

the Ministry of the Interior

of the Ministry of the Interior

of the Ministry of the Interior

of the Ministry of the Interior

of the Ministry of the Interior

of the Ministry of the Interior

of the Ministry of the Interior

of the Ministry of the Interior
of the Ministry of the Interior
of the Ministry of the Interior

of the Ministry of the Interior
of the Ministry of the Interior
of the Ministry of the Interior

of the Ministry of the Interior
of the Ministry of the Interior
of the Ministry of the Interior

of the Ministry of the Interior
of the Ministry of the Interior
of the Ministry of the Interior

of the Ministry of the Interior
of the Ministry of the Interior
of the Ministry of the Interior

Two parents -
the Collector that
order was sent by
exclude from work.

1877

There is a misprint in the G.O.
It is the "excluded from work" that
is not employed on wages as
laborer. Have this corrected by
C. J. M. or equivalent.

There is a misprint in the G.O.
It is the "excluded from work" that
is not employed on wages as
laborer. Have this corrected by
C. J. M. or equivalent.