

(1) Number of pages 22
(2) Date of repair 18.6.1973
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1942	Press
B.P. No.		Mis
Dated	11.6.1877	197

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1942
1
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

11th June 1877, No. 1,942.

Read the following paper:—

No. 162. From W. LOGAN, Esq., Collector of Malabar, to the Hon. D. F. CARMICHAEL, Officiating Chief Secretary to Government, dated Calicut, 16th May 1877, No. 50.

With reference to paragraph 1 of G.O., Revenue Department, No. 1,477, dated 19th April 1877, I have the honor to observe that the petitioner has no substantive appointment.

2. He came to this district originally from the Revenue Board Office as Head Clerk of my office. My predecessor found that he was quite unfitted for that post, an opinion in which, from personal experience of the man, I most fully concur. He was, therefore, removed in an acting capacity to a post better suited for him, and retained his substantive appointment in my office. In process of time the officer for whom he was acting as Sea Custom Manager at Tellicherry received permanent promotion. The petitioner could not be confirmed because he had not passed the prescribed examination, but, as there was then every reason to suppose that he would pass the examination (in which case he would have been confirmed in the post he held), it was considered that there was no longer any objection to the confirmation, in his post of Head Clerk of my office, of the person who was acting for petitioner.

3. These arrangements were made in February 1875, and it was obvious that my present Head Clerk would consider he had been harshly treated if he were now transferred to another office of lower pay. His pay is Rs. 150 per mensem; that of Sea Custom Manager at Tellicherry is Rs. 70 per mensem as Manager plus Rs. 50 per mensem as Port Conservator; total Rs. 120 per mensem. If, therefore, the two men exchanged appointments, there would arise the anomaly that the man who was degraded for incompetency would be again promoted, though his incompetency (in failing to pass a simple examination) had only become more conspicuous, while a competent man would be degraded to make room for him.

4. There is no excuse for the petitioner: he has for above two years held an easy berth on the sole condition that he would pass an easy examination. The duties of his post allow him a more than ordinary amount of leisure; in the monsoon months, which immediately precede the Special Test Examinations, he has literally nothing to do as the port is closed. He could long ago, if he had exerted himself, pass the examination, and his having failed to do so seems to render his case unworthy of further consideration.

5. He is competent enough for the post he holds if he would exert himself a little and pass the technical examination; but, as he chooses not to do so, I wish to submit for consideration that, if he is not to remain any longer in the post of Sea Custom Manager, I may be permitted to find for him some other more subordinate post.

Revenue Department, 11th June 1877, No. 1,942.

No. 163.

ORDER THEREON, 11th June 1877, No. 1,942.

Miscellaneous.

His GRACE the Governor in Council will not, under the circumstances, insist upon compliance with that part of G.O. 19th April last, No. 1,477, which required the restoration of C. Rajarathnam Pillai to his former post of Head Clerk in the Collector's Office. At the same time the Government cannot but notice the improper action of the Council in 1873 in filling up a post which was not permanently vacant.

2. In Mr. MacGregor's letter, dated 17th September 1874, soliciting exemption from the Sea Custom Test for this man, the requirements of the post to which it was proposed to transfer him were stated to be 'about equal' to those of his permanent appointment. It seems, however, from the letter above recorded that there was a difference of about Rs. 30.

3. The Government had been led to believe that the work of his office was so heavy as to hinder the Acting Superintendent from studying. It seems, however, that in the monsoon months he has literally nothing to do. This does not give him any greater claim to consideration; but, as he has already been practically reduced and cannot, with reference to his unsatisfactory conduct, be restored to his former position, the Governor in Council is pleased to allow him one more trial, on the distinct understanding that if he fail he will be removed to some more subordinate post.

4. This reference should have been submitted through the Board of Revenue.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. J. MASTER,
Secretary to Government.

To the Collector of Malabar,
" the Accountant-General,
" the Board of Revenue.

Exd. J. W. Fischer.

1941
3
Malabar Collector's Office
Calicut 16th May 1877.

Pier
No 50

From,
W. Logan, Esq.,
Collector of Malabar;

To, The Honble D. F. Carmichael,
Offs. Chief Secretary to Government,
Madras.

Sir, With reference to para 1 of L.O.
A.D., No. 1477, dated 19th April 1877,
I have the honor to observe that
the petitioner has no substantive
appointment -

2. He came to this District
originally from the Revenue Board's Office
as Head Clerk of my office. My
predecessor found that he was quite
unfitted for that post, an opinion

in which, from personal experience of the man, I most fully concur. He was, therefore, removed in an acting capacity to a post better suited for him and retained his substantive appointment in my office. In process of time the officer for whom he was acting as Sea Custom Manager at Tellicherry received permanent promotion. The Petitioner could not be confirmed, because he had not passed the prescribed examination, but as there was then every reason to suppose that he would pass the examination (in which case, he would have been confirmed in the post he held),

1947
4 2

it was considered that there was no longer any objection to the confirmation in his post of Head Clerk of my office of the person who was acting for Petitioner.

3. These arrangements were made in February 1875, and it was obvious that my present Head Clerk would consider he had been harshly treated if he were now transferred to another office of lower pay. His pay is Rs 150 per mensem. That of Sea Custom Manager at Tellicherry is Rs 70 per mensem as Manager, plus Rs 50 per mensem as Port Conservator, total Rs 120 per mensem. If, therefore, the

two men exchanged appointments, there would arise the anomaly that the man who was degraded for incompetency would be again promoted, though his incompetency (in failing to pass a simple examination) had only become more conspicuous, while a competent man would be degraded to make room for him.

There is no excuse for the Petitioner: he has for above 2 years held an easy berth on the sole condition that he would pass an easy examination. The duties of his post allow him a more than ordinary amount of leisure; - in the monsoon

1942
5 3
monsoon months, which immediately precede the Special Test Examinations, he has literally nothing to do, as the Port is closed - He could loaf also, if he had exerted himself, passed the Examination; and his having failed to do so, seems to render his case unworthy of further consideration -

5 He is competent enough for the post he holds, if he would exert himself a little and pass the technical examination; but as he chooses not to do so, I wish to submit for consideration that if he is not to remain any longer in

the post of Sea Custom manager,
I may be permitted to find for
him some other more subordinate
post -

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedt servant -

W. C. C.

Collector -

1942

6

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No. 2567.

From The Collector of Malabar.

Dated 16th May 1877.
No 50.

FOR ORDERS.

I enclose is allowing him one more trial on the grounds that if he fails he has no need to come lower post.

I do not notice the improper action.

The Com. ordered the man & report for his substantiation app. from which I am apprehensive he has been degraded for incompetency - because he has failed to pass the test of the app. - which has been proved as a sub. statement. No doubt the failure has been intentional & you order the Com. to do so. I do not think that success will crown his scheming.

Dec. 1877. 2567.
No 2567.

From The Collector of Malabar.
To the Chief Secretary
to Government. Madras.

Dated 16th May 1877.
No 11-6-77. 1942.

Submittal, with reference to the O. D. 21/1/77 of 19/1/77, for consideration whether Rajah Rasthnam May, late Head Clerk, may be given a more subordinate post under the circumstances stated, if he is not to remain in the post of Sec. Customs, Malabar.

Enclosed for 25/5/77. 1942. 1942.

No 1942 Proceeding of the Madras Government
 Under date the 11 June 1877
 Regarding C. Rajanuthman
 Pillai No. Sea Customs Dept.
 Tellicherry
 the following letter from
 the Secy of Adalat
 H. E. 16 May 77 No 58

His Grace the G. in
 C. will not, under
 the circumstances,
 insist upon com-
 pliance with the
 part of G.O. 19 April
 last No 1477 which
 requires the restor-
 ation of C. Rajan-
 uthman Pillai
 to his former post
 of Head Clerk in
 the Collector's Office.
 At the same time
 the Government
 cannot but
 notice the inpro-
 per action of the
 Collector in 1875
 in filling up a
 post which was
 not permanent-
 ly vacant.

MM
 10/6

No 2567

FOR ORDERS.

Whether Rajanuthman
 Pillai Act Sea Customs
 Supt. Tellicherry. He
 requires a more sub-
 ordinate post

C. 19/5/77 R. 23/5/77

In Mr Macgregor's
letter of 17 Sept 1874
soliciting exemption
from the Sea Customs
Test for this man
the emoluments of
the post to which
it was proposed
to transfer him
were stated to be
'about equal' to
those of his former
appointment. It seems
however from the
letter above recorded
that there was a
difference of about
Rs 30.

The Government
has been led to be-
lieve that the work
of his office was
so heavy as to hinder
the Sg Superintendent

1942
10

from studying.
It seems however
that in the near-
some months he
has literally no-
thing to do. This
does not give him
any greater claim
to consideration
but as he has al-
ready been prac-
tically reduced
and cannot with
reference to his
unsatisfactory
conduct be res-
tored to his former
position the G. in
C. is pleased to
allow him one
more trial on
the district un-
derstanding
that if he fails

266

80

C. Rajamallu
Pillai, a.s. Sea
Customs Sup^t
Tellicherry.

For Mr. W. P. Pillai

81