Sectt. I (i)-I—10,006—7-10-70.

(1) Number of pages 46

(2) Date of repair 2-2-3-73

(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1765 Press
B.P. No. Mis.

Dated 21-5-1877, 197 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1765
1-

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

21st May 1877, No. 1,765.

Read the following papers:—

No. 386. Petition from P. DAIVASIKHAMANI MOODELLY, Head Compositor in the District Press, Chingleput, dated 20th December 1876.

ABSTRACT.—Appealing against the endorsement of the Board of Revenue, dated 19th October last, states that he was removed from his said post by order of the Board of Revenue on the report of Mr. Keys, then Deputy Superintendent of the Government Press; but that, on the report of the Collector to the Board, that petitioner was not in any way in fault, his restoration was ordered; that from this fact he has been completely exonerated; but that the Board have declined to sanction the payment of the arrears of his salary for the period he was out of employ.

2. Prays that, under the circumstances, the Government may direct the payment of the salary due to him for the period he was kept out of service.

No. 386-A. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 14th April 1877, Miscellaneous No. 5,411.

Read Endorsement by Government on Government Petition, No. 2,751 of 1876, Revenue Department.

THE petition is from Daivasikhamani Moodelly, Head Compositor of the Chingleput District Press, and the main facts of his case are as follow:—

2. Petitioner was dismissed from his office by the Collector under the authority given in Board's Proceedings, dated 11th March 1873, No. 351, paragraph 6, copy of which is herewith submitted. The grounds of dismissal were the disorganisation and inefficiency of the Press under petitioner's charge as brought to light by Mr. Keys, the Deputy Superintendent of the Government Press.

3. In petition, No. 1,526, of 1873, petitioner appealed to the Board against his dismissal. A report was called for from the Collector, and in consequence of the representations made by that officer petitioner was reinstated in his office under Proceedings, dated 30th September 1873, Miscellaneous No. 6,532.

4. In petition, No. 1,922 of 1876, petitioner applied to the Board for the arrears of pay amounting to Rs. 268-1-0, which might be considered to have accrued during the time he was out of employ. The Board informed him by endorsement, in reply, that when they directed his restoration to office they only modified their former order of dismissal, and had no intention of exonerating him completely.

5. Petitioner has appealed to Government against the latter order.

6. The Board have been guided in this case by the professional opinion given by the Deputy Superintendent of the Government Press. It was stated in petitioner's favor that too much work had been expected from him, but it was shown, on the other hand, that it rested with him to obtain a larger supply of Press materials, so as to ease the work, and that he had failed to do so. Petitioner is a man of long service. He had been in Government employ for fourteen years and five months at the time he was dismissed, and during the whole of that time he was Head Compositor. If the Government think fit to order the disbursement of his pay during the period he was unemployed, the Board will raise no objection.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. D. MACLEAN,
Additional Sub-Secretary.

No. 357. ORDER THEREON, 21st May 1877, No. 1,765.

Miscellaneous.

THE Government consider that the petitioner is fairly entitled to receive pay for the period he was out of employ. The amount of arrears will be disbursed to him accordingly.

2. Petitioner is referred to the Collector who has received orders.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Offg. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Petition Department.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

1765/3/2

Receive Sept 2.

Miscln 5411.

Proceedings of the Hog Rev
dated 14th April 1877
Read Endorsed by
Court on Govt Petition
No 2751 of 76 Rec Sept 4

The Petitioner is
from Lecoreignominy Hon
ble Bd Composition of
the Chingleput Distt Bog
& the main facts of his
case are as follows.

2. Petitr was dismi
ed from his Office by
the Collr under the au
thority given in Hos Proc
of 11th March 73 No 351.

1765/2

Govt of [1877] Madras.

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE

&c., &c., &c.

Dated Mag. 21st.

Read.

Enclosure.

G.O., 21st May 1877, No. 1,735.

MAY.
Nos. 386-387.

P. Dawasikhamani Moodaliy, Head Compositor to Chingleput District Press.—Considering that he was entitled to receive pay for the period he was out of employ, and authorising its disbursement accordingly.

para 6. copy of which is herewith submitted. The grounds of dismissal were the disorganization & inefficiency of the Regt under Petitioner's charge as brought to light by Mr Keys the Dy Insp Genl of the South Range.

3. In Petition No 1526 of 1873 Petitr appealed to this Board against his dismissal. A report was called for from the Colr, & in consequence of the representations made by that Officer Petitr

1765/1873

was reinstated on his affirmation on Dec 30th Sept 73 Minute 6532.

4. In Petition No 1922 of 1876 Petr applied to the Board for the arrears of Pay amounting to £262 which might be considered to have accrued during the time he was out of employ. The Board informed him by Endorsement in reply that when they directed his restoration to office they only modified their former order of dismissal, & had no intention of exonerating him completely

5. Peter has appealed to Court against the Taxation under.

6. The Rd have been guided in this case by the professional opinion given by the Dy Supts of the Court Press. It was stated in Peter's favor had been expected from him, but it was shewn on the other hand that it rested with him to obtain a larger supply of Press materials so as to ease the work & that he had failed to do so - Peter is a man of long

1763

service. He had been in Court employ for 14 years & 5 months at the time he was dismissed & during the whole of that time he was Rd Compositor. If the Court think fit to order the reinstatement of his pay during the period he was employed the Board will raise no objection.

(True Extract)
Chas Chambers
Asst Sub. Secy.

To The Secretary to Govt. R.D
with Petition & copies
of His Pro: 11th March/73
No 351 & 30th Septr/73 His
No 6532.

Read 1-12-77 2757

Rec'd 31-12-77 2757

Paramasivam Pillai
(No 2585)

Petn of Pleader

Petn 14-12-77

Order 18-1-78
Rec'd 20-Dec 76
Exn 3.21

Petitioner's
Signature
Dated 27th Dec 1876
Received 31st Dec 1876
R 21-1-77 Enclosures. 1

Government of Madras.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Petition No. 2757 of 1876.

From P. Savalikamony

Occupation Head Constable

in the District Police

Village

Talook

District

Dated 27th Dec 1876

Received 31st Dec 1876

R 21-1-77 Enclosures. 2

ABSTRACT.

Appealing against the endorsement of the Board of Revenue, of 19th Oct. last, stating that he was removed from his said post by order of the Board of Revenue on the report of Mr Keys, then Superintendent of the Govt Press, but that on the Report of the Collector to the Board, that fact was not in any way in fault, his restoration was ordered; that from this fact he has been completely exonerated, but that the Board have declined to sanction the payment of the arrears of his salary for the period, he was out of employ for.

Prays that under the circumstances, the Govt may direct the payment of the salary due to him for the period he was kept out of service.

To

HIS GRACE THE
MOST NOBLE THE
DUKE OF BUCKINGHAM AND CHANDOS,
GOVERNOR AND PRESIDENT IN COUNCIL,
Fort St. George.

The humble Petition of P. Davasikamony Moodally, *the Head Compositor in the District Press Chingleput.*

Most Humbly Sheweth,

Petitioner begs very respectfully to appeal to your Grace in Council against the order of the Board of Revenue, dated 19th October last, hoping that the grounds set forth in this, will gain him the justice to which he is entitled.

The enclosed in which is embodied the particulars of his case, was the one presented by him to the Board of Revenue, praying for payment of salary due to him for the period of 5 months and, 11 days he had been out of service.

The circumstance, which led Petitioner's removal from his post, was that he had been negligent in his duties; the charge of negligence was not founded upon facts, as will be seen on the face of the correspondence passed between the Collector, Assistant Collector, and Deputy Collector.

Petitioner begs very respectfully to state that he was removed in the year 1873 from his post of Head Compositor, by the order of the Board of Revenue on a report of Mr. E. Keys, then Deputy Superintendent of Government Press. Petitioner appealed to the Board of Revenue against his removal. On an explanation being called upon, the Collector reported to the Board of Revenue informing that Petitioner was not in any way in fault; his restoration was ordered. He has been accordingly reinstated to his former post of Head Compositor.

The circumstance of the Press was such that Petitioner was obliged to conduct the heavy works of the Press, with insufficient materials, notwithstanding he had repeatedly indented for an adequate supply of materials. He had also to

keep the Press accounts, and, correct the proof sheets in Vernacular, duties which did not properly belong to him. Thus the amount of work imposed upon him in addition to his proper duties, was so heavy that he was obliged to carry on the operations of the Press with some difficulties.

Had, Mr. E. Keys, been aware of the above facts he could have never submitted a report to the Board of Revenue against Petitioner.

Petitioner begs, with great humility, to state that a few days after his removal, the Deputy Collector in charge of the Press *finding that the works of the Press was too heavy in proportion of the materials, a large quantity of works was sent to the Penitentiary.* Moreover the duties of correcting the proof sheets, and *that of keeping of accounts,* were relieved *from the person, who was appointed in lieu of Petitioner.*

Petitioner petitione[illegible]he Board of Revenue praying for order for payment of Rupees 268-1-0 being the salary due to him from 26th April to 5th October 1873, a period during which he was removed from his post, but the following endorsement was passed by the Board of Revenue on his Petition.

"Petitioner will be informed that when he was restored the Board only *modified* their former order of dismissal; they did not intend to exonerate him completely."

Petitioner humbly presumes to think that *when he has once been restored* to his Post, *he has been exonerated completely from whatever fault he was supposed to have been guilty of,* while so, there shall be no objection whatever to sanction payment of the arrear of his salary.

In conclusion Petitioner solicits your Grace-in-Council will be graciously pleased, under grounds and circumstances set forth in this Petition, to order payment of the salary due to him for the period he had been out of service.

For which act of kindness Petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.

MADRAS,
20th December 1876.

P. Davasikamony
Head Compositor
Chingleput District.

1725

1765

Endorsement on petition
№ 1922 of 1876

Petitioner will be informed that when he was restored the Board only modified their former order of dismissal; they did not intend to exonerate him completely.

By order

Revenue Board office
Madras
19th October 1876

A. Sinclair.
Acting Sub Secretary

A. ciph. V.K.B.

Inst. № 2751/1876 Rev. 3/2587/76

COURT FEE
EIGHT ANNAS

1765
18

1922
Examiner

To The President and Members of the Board
of Revenue Madras.

The humble Petition of
P. Vanasikamany Modelly
The Head Compositor in the
District Press of Chingleput

Most humbly sheweth,

It is with no small degree
of respect, Petitioner begs leave respectfully
to state that he was removed in the year 1873
from the post of Head Compositor by the order
of your Honorable Board, on the report of
Mr. Keys.

An appeal was made to your
Board against his removal. The Collector,
who was thereupon called for explanation,
submitted a report to your Honorable Board.
As this report indicated that Petitioner was
in no way fault, your Honorable Board was
pleased to restore him to his post
but ordered

1923 Examiner

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue Madras.

The humble Petition of P. Varadiah many Moodelly The Head Compositor in the District Press of Chingleput

Most humbly showeth,

It is with no small degree of respect, Petitioner begs leave respectfully to state that he was removed in the year 1873 from the post of Head Compositor by the order of your Honorable Board, on the report of Mr. Keys.

An appeal was made to your Board against his removal. The Collector, who was thereupon called for explanation, submitted a report to your Honorable Board. As this report indicated that Petitioner was in no way fault, your Honorable Board was

his former post, and he was accordingly re-
= instated.

Had Mr. Keys been aware of the circumstances, under which Petitioner had several difficulties to contend in carrying the operations of the Press, he would never have submitted to your Honorable Board blaming Petitioner. Your Board however becoming aware by the report of the Collector Mr. R.W.B Barlow that Petitioner had performed the work imposed upon him, to the satisfaction of his Superior, ordered his restoration.

As the Petitioners removal was illegal from the circumstances set forth above, he presented a petition to the Collector on the 9th January of 1874 to the effect that Rupees 268—1—— being the pay due to 5 months and 11 days, i.e from 26th April to 5th October 1873, a period during which he was removed from his post, should be given to him.

Petitioner therefore prays your Honorable Board will graciously be pleased to order payment of the salary due to him for the period of his removal

Dated 21st August 1876

I am Yours truly
Stewards
Lisle
P.O. Swanbourne Bucks
Bill Collector.

1765

Revenue Letters

Miscb 6532.

Proceedings of the Bog Rio.

Date 30th Sept 1873.

Read the following

No 409.

From R. W. Harlow. Esqre

Ag Collr Christchurch

To H. C. Stokes Esqre

Sir I have the honor

No 15207 to report on the Petn.
1873 November presented by P. Davaney
returned. moiety Moody, late R.
Comptroller of the Sixth Prop
& referred to me by the
Board in their order of the
16th July last, who was

as the Bd are aware, re-
moved by their order on
the report of Mr Keys
printed with Pro of the
11th March No 351.

2. On a careful consider-
ation of Petitr's case
I conceive that the faults
for which he has lost
his post, are a good deal
to be attributed to the
circumstances under which
he was obliged to carry
on the heavy work of
his Dept. He had to
conduct its operations
with insufficient mea-
-terials, as is apparent

from the large [illegible] 1765
which has been required
& submitted to the Bd. & him
has also to keep the Pro[illegible]
accounts & correct the proof
in the Penitentiary Duties which
did not properly belong to
him & from which the pre-
-sent incumbent has been
altogether relieved. The in-
-large quantity of work to be
done which has since been
by the Penitentiary at the
suggestion of Mr. Keys, shews
that it was not altogether
his fault that it remain
so unexecuted.

3. I think the enclosed
extracts from correspondence

that passed between me
& successive officers who
were in charge of the Prop.
will show the difficul-
ties which the Petr. had
to contend with. The Treas.
-urer by Col. Ellis will be
observed has reported the
Prop's Dept's generally &
the Dy. Comptroller in par-
-ticular, as to blame on
our explanation being
called for by me, regard-
-ing the late delivery of
the Gazette. I there-
upon transferred the
charge of the Prop's to
the Dy. Col. Ellis Treasurer
my Dyer whose office

1763

was in the same building
as that Dept & directed
him to state within
a month or six weeks
as the then there was any
improvement. His report
it will be observed is
decidedly favorable to
the Dy. Comptroller & Mr.
Boyle subsequently in
charge of the Prop. worke
further in his favor.
Petitr's statt. however
that he was never fined
is incorrect; he was fined
Rs 3 in July 1871.
4. I enclose his service
list which shows that

he has been 14 years & 5
months in Govt employ
& the whole time as Pr.
Compositor.

Chiefsupt I have &c
Letter Office (Sd) R. H. Harlow
13th Sept. 73 Actg Collr

Enclosures.

No 421/a

From The Dy Collr in ch
of Treasury Chiefspt

To The Actg Collr Chiefspt

Sir

× × × ×

4. The question there-
fore for consideration
at present is what sh.
be done to improve the
efficiency of the Dept.

1745 13

& obviate the frequent
complaints received from
different quarters regar-
ding the delays in the
execution of work entru-
sted to the Pr. Dept.
& the irregularities in
the delivery of the Gazette
5. I am quite convin-
-ced that some of the men
employed in the Pr. Dept.
Dept. are not fit for
their posts, but I am un-
-able to name any man
in particular (except
the Pr. Compositor who
should be held responsi-
-ble) in consequence of
my own ignorance of

printing.

6. It appears to me therefore that the best plan of securing efficiency is to invite Mr. Thomas the Supt of the Lawrence Asylum Press at Madras, or Mr Morgan Supt Govt Press to examine into the working of the Chingleput Press & report on the fitness or otherwise of the men employed, as well as on the sufficiency of the existing establt & the causes which have operated to produce the delays

1725/26

delays & irregularities which have for some time past formed the subject of complaint against the Dept.

6 Chingleput Letter dated 19th July 72 put by + I have &c (sd) A. Smith Collr's Office Dy. Collr

A. No. 726.

From

The Actg Collr Chingleput

To A Krishnaswamy Iyer Actg Dy Collr

Sir

With reference to the enclosed copy of a letter from Mr Smith I have the honor to request that you will take charge of the

Asst Prop temporarily while the Treasury Coll. is compelled to hold office in a building at a long distance from the Prop. & while he has the extra charge of the Sandy Jail & Asst Post Master upon him.

2. With reference to paras 3 & 4, I regret that you will report to me at the end of a month or six weeks regarding the conduct of the Postmaster & inform him that unless there is an marked improvement in the working of the Prop, he will be dismissed from his situation at the same time that he is not bound without any special order to include any thing in the Gazette received later than 6 P.M. on the Thursday morning before its publication, except leaves appointments & such notices marked by the Coll. "Special" which should be received upto 5 P.M on Friday preceding

Chingleput } I have &c
Coll's Office } (Sd) R.W. Harlow
22 July 92 } Asst Coll.

From A Krishnasamy Iyer
Offg Asst Coll.
on Ch. of the Prop. Sandy Post

To R. W. Barlow Esqre
Actg Collr. Chittagong

Sir. With reference
to your letter No 726 of
22d July last, I have the
honor to state that since
I took charge of the Brit
Press, there has been a
marked improvement in
the B. Composition. At
the time I took charge
a large number of requi-
sitions from various Of-
fices were in arrears
some of them had been
received months back.
The B. Composition has
even exported himself

to bring up all the ar-
rears, & there is nothing
now on hand, excepting
what has been received
within a week. A state
shewing the quantity
of work done during
the past 5 months is sub-
mitted, from which
you will observe an
increase in the work done
in the months of July &
Augt. The B. Composi
tor appears to understand
the details of the work
of this Deptt well & he
has been distributing
the work among the

1765

several hands in the Depôt without giving room for complaints. I therefore think that he may be continued in his post.

2. The Establt as a whole has discharged its duties satisfactorily. It has had hard work on account of the arrear. I have not however searchingly tested the individual merits of each servant on the establt. I intended doing so before making this report but was not able to do so from

having been suddenly relieved.

Dy Collrs Office Shane
Dpt. Sept. 72 } (Sd) A Kuthasamipy
Offg Dy Coll

No 142.

From J. A Boyle Esqre
Actg Collr Chingleput

To The Actg Collr Chingleput

Sir I beg to lay before you one or two points connected with the Depôt & its working which appear to me to require some attention.

2. The first is that the demands made from the Depôt Establt are most unreasonable both in

amount of work required
& in the celerity of despatch
with which that work
is required to be done.

3. Hardly a day passes
without the receipt of
a bundle of forms to be
printed; many of them
absurdly blank with
two or three lines in
a page, but each concern-
ing as much time to
strike off as a full sheet.
There are only two presses
of full size & one small
one. The Leicester Gazette
with its multiplicity
of sheets takes eight days
to print & engages one large
press

1765

press for that time every
fortnight.

4. The supply of mat
-erials too is very deficien
& accidents result in stopp
-ages unanswered in Nov

5. Either the Press sup
is too small, or the work
required of it is too heav
& I am bound to say tha
the printers do not shirk
their work & on the who
seem to do it fairly well

× × × ×

7. The present result
is that the Re Compo
-sitor does his best

but

but satisfies no body.

Chingleput
Apl. Collrs office
20th Novr. 72

I have &c
(Sd) J. A. Boyle
Apl. Collr.

(True Extracts)

(Sd) R. M. Harlow
Apl. Collr.

Comparative list of D. Darwasingamony Moodaly & Compositor Collectorate Press Chingleput.

Name	Established	Period of service in each appointment	Date of Confirmation	Years	Months	Days
Darwasingamony Moodaly	1st Decr. 1858	to 15th Jany. 1859	15	1	1	15
	16th Jany. 1859	to 30th April 1860	20	1	3	16
	1st May 1860	to 18th Novr. 1864	35	4	6	18
	19th Novr. 1864	to 30th Apl. 1873	50	8	5	12
				14	5	1

(Sd) R. M. Harlow
Collr.

On comparing the Collrs letter with the Revs report & the letter of the Dy. Collr. who was temporarily charged to overlook the working of the Press, this Board are disposed to agree with the Collr. in attributing the shortcomings of the D. Compositor to the amount of work imposed upon him in addition to his proper duties. They resolve therefore to modify their order of the 11th March 1873 No. 357 &

direct that the Petitr be restored to his post.

(True Copies & Exhs)
(Sd) H. Goodrich.
Actg Asst. Secy.

(True Copies)
Asst Secretary
Actg Asst. Secy.

No 2751 for 1876 of 30th Dec 1876
Rec'd 2nd

From P. Donovan Lieutenant
Monthly Head Composition in
the Distt Prison (hereto).
A Appeal against the
Endorsement of the Bd of Revs
dated 19th Octo last, states
that he was removed from
his said post by order of the
Bd of Revs on the report of
Mr Keys, then Dy Superintendent
of the Govt Press, but that, on the
report of the Collr to the Board
that petr was not in any way
in fault, his restoration was
ordered; that from this fact
he has been completely exonerated,
but that the Bd have declined
to

1765
Petition
Order of 17 Nov 1876
The Bd can notice
the Petn if petr submits
a memorial praying for the
removal of the Endt of
Govt of [illegible] = The same
praying will be disallowed
if [illegible] to this record
petr will be informed
accordingly
[illegible]
No 2751.

For orders

The facts of the case are minuted
upon in Bd Proc. 14th April 77
The Petr has been restored
upon the period [illegible]
was out of employ and is
debarred -
[illegible]

AG
2.4.77

1765
23

to sanction the payment of the arrears of his Salary for the period he was out of employ-

Prays that under the circumstances, the Govt may direct the payment of the Salary due to him for the period, he was kept out of Service -

Proceedings of the Bd of Revi dated 14th April 1877. Mis: No 5411.