

(1) Number of pages 14

(2) Date of repa 4-12-70

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue — DEPARTMENT

GO No	174	Press
B.P. No		Mis
Dated	17-1-1977	196

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
17th January 1877

Read again Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 18th November 1876,
No 10,058 Miscellaneous, recorded in Miscellaneous G O, 4th December 1876, No 2,910

No 400 Read also the following letter from R W LODWICK, Esq, Accountant General, Madras, to the Hon D F CARMICHAEL, Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Fort St George, 7th December 1876, No 523

With reference to Government Order, No 2,910, dated 4th December 1876, I have the honor to report that, under G O, No 2,164, of 13th June 1860, Military Department, paragraph 2, retrenchments are not recoverable from the pensioners, but from the drawing officer who is the Treasury Deputy Collector

No 401 ORDER THEREON, 17th January 1877, No 174

Miscellaneous

It appears from these papers that a pensioned private by name Rangiah was, in 1869, refused the usual compensation in lieu of rice by the then Treasury Deputy Collector at Trichinopoly, Mr Wright, on the ground that, being a cultivator, he was in "private employ," the Superintendent of Pensions having issued a Circular* that pensioners in public or private employ were not entitled to such compensation

* No 19 dated 8th November 1869

2 Private Rangiah's rice money was consequently stopped till June 1875, when the then Treasury Deputy Collector, Sivasankara Mudahar referred the matter to the Superintendent of Pensions, pointing out that his Circular had been misconstrued in Rangiah's case, and requested leave to disburse to him the sum to which he was entitled from November 1869. The Superintendent of Pensions replied that Rangiah was entitled to the compensation which should be drawn and disbursed to him from the date on which it was erroneously withheld. He, at the same time, pointed out that, under G O G, No 195, of May 25th, 1864, "the officer who failed to draw the compensation due is answerable for the amount"

3 The Deputy Collector thereon drew the sum which had been wrongly withheld (Rupees 21 9 8) from the treasury, and disbursed it to Private Rangiah

4 The Military Pay Examiner, however, disallowed the disbursement, quoting the same G O G as the Superintendent of Pensions, and stating that the arrears of rice money, if admissible, were recoverable from the drawing officer, who it was originally to prefer the claim on the due date, and the Accountant General has called on the Collector of Trichinopoly to recover the amount objected to

5 His Grace the Governor in Council observes that G O G, No 195, of 25th May 1864, makes "Officers Commanding corps and others, whose duty it is to prefer claims for compensation for the arrears of rice" responsible for all delay in excess of three months from the date of their becoming due, and liable to have the amount admitted recovered from the officer whose duty it was originally to prefer the claim. It does not apply to the present case at all, where the claim was advanced in due time, but was erroneously disallowed

(3) Initials of the Receiver

(2) Date of receipt

(1) Number of pages

*Proceedings of the Madras Government Revenue Department,
17th January 1877*

6 Nor is the Accountant General's objection, contained in his letter of the 7th ultimo, No 588, that under G O, No 2,164, of January 13th, 1860, Military Department, retrenchments are not recoverable from pensioners but from the drawing officer, to the point, for there was no excess payment, and therefore no retrenchment

7 The Accountant General's objection will, therefore, be withdrawn, and the disbursement will stand

(True Extract)

(Signed) J H GARSTIN,
Acting Secretary to Government

To the Board of Revenue with five Enclosures returned
the Accountant General
the Military Department

Lxd F. R. Bantleman

3/174

No 174 of 17 January 1877

OP report (No 174) of 17 January 1877
No 10118 of 11 June 1877, No 1111 of 12/10/77 (Original No 10118), No 1111 of 12/10/77 (Original No 10118), No 1111 of 12/10/77 (Original No 10118)

Draft 4:00 on

It appears from these papers that a pensioned private by name Rangach was, in 1869, refused the usual compensation in lieu of service by the then Treasury Deputy Collector, Mr. Wright on the ground that being a cultivator he was in "private employ". The Sup. Dy. Commr. is now issuing a Circular that persons in further private employ are not entitled to such compensation. Private Rangach's case money was case - granted - stopped by him 17/5/77. The then Treasury Deputy Commr. was Mr. S. S. S. S.

* No 19 dated 8th Nov/69

Mol
8/11

MSC

no further

no

has advanced in due
time but erroneously
deducted.

~~The Accountant General~~
~~who has been directed~~
~~to pay the balance~~
~~of the account~~

It is the Act. Genl's
objection contained
in the letter of the 7th
Sept N^o 500 to the fact,
for there was no excess
payment and therefore
no retrenchment.

that under G.O. N^o 216 of
Jan 13th 1860 A.D. retrench-
ments are not removable
from Passages but from
the Drawing Office, & the
funds

The Act. Genl's objection
will therefore be
with drawn and the
Department will
stand.

JH

14 To the Secy of the Board of Revenue
6 Copy to Secy of the Board
7 To the Secy of the Board

50
11

Book DEPARTMENT

No 588

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
27th December 1876

FROM

THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

To

THE Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

SIR

With reference to Government order No 2910 dated 14th December 1876, I have the honor to report, that under G.O. No 2064 of 10th I. India Military Department para 2, retrenchments are not recoverable from the Penioners but from the drawing officer who is the Treasury Deputy Collector.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Yours Obedient Servant

Rhodwich

Accountant General

Cooper & Co.

Gov^r of (1877) Idaho

1 V E N U E

71101 D of REV 1 NCL

2212

501

34 JUL 1971
No 103101

Cr. do i pe cel Prucelam
with the 7th Accel. 1791
to the 7th Accel. 1791
and the 7th Accel. 1791

89 4-12-76 - 1-23-80
 - 13-6-60 - 21-6-80

Revenue 1876 DEPARTMENT
 ACCT GENL. S OFFICE MADRAS

No 5502.

LEON
 THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL MADRAS
 900/114-17 Jan 29/77

To
 The Secretary
 to Government
 Revenue Dept

Dated 1/8/80
 Recd 7-11-80 } No of answer
 And

Subject

Reporting that
 retentions are
 not recoverable
 from the Pensioners
 but from the Deas-
 ing Officer-

For - lcs

1/24/80

CC 17/20-177