

(1) Number of pages 14
(2) Date of repair 4-12-70
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue - DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	174	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	17-1-1977	196

Not to be folded or roughly handled

174

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
17th January 1877.*

Read again Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 18th November 1876, No. 10,058 Miscellaneous, recorded in Miscellaneous G.O., 4th December 1876, No. 2,910.

No. 400. Read also the following letter from R. W. LODWICK, Esq., Accountant-General, Madras, to the Hon. D. F. CARMICHAEL, Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Fort St. George, 7th December 1876, No. 583:—

With reference to Government Order, No. 2,910, dated 4th December 1876, I have the honor to report that, under G.O., No. 2,164, of 13th June 1860, Military Department, paragraph 2, retrenchments are not recoverable from the pensioners, but from the drawing officer who is the Treasury Deputy Collector.

No. 401. ORDER THEREON, 17th January 1877, No. 174.

Miscellaneous.

It appears from these papers that a pensioned private by name Rangiah was, in 1869, refused the usual compensation in lieu of rice by the then Treasury Deputy Collector at Trichinopoly, Mr. Wright, on the ground that, being a cultivator, he was in "private employ;" the Superintendent of Pensions having issued a Circular* that pensioners in public or private employ were not entitled to such compensation.

* No. 19, dated 8th November 1869.

2. Private Rangiah's rice-money was consequently stopped till June 1875, when the then Treasury Deputy Collector, Sivasankara Mudaliar, referred the matter to the Superintendent of Pensions, pointing out that his Circular had been misconstrued in Rangiah's case, and requested leave to disburse to him the sum to which he was entitled from November 1869. The Superintendent of Pensions replied that Rangiah was entitled to the compensation which should be drawn and disbursed to him from the date on which it was erroneously withheld. He, at the same time, pointed out that, under G.O.G., No. 195, of May 25th, 1864, "the officer who failed to draw the compensation due is answerable for the amount."

3. The Deputy Collector thereon drew the sum which had been wrongly withheld (Rupees 21-9-8) from the treasury, and disbursed it to Private Rangiah.

4. The Military Pay Examiner, however, disallowed the disbursement, quoting the same G.O.G. as the Superintendent of Pensions, and stating that the arrears of rice-money, if admissible, were recoverable from the drawing officer, whose duty it was originally to prefer the claim on the due date, and the Accountant-General has called on the Collector of Trichinopoly to recover the amount objected to.

5. His Grace the Governor in Council observes that G.O.G., No. 195, of 25th May 1864, makes "Officers Commanding corps and others, whose duty it is to prefer claims for compensation for the dearness of rice," responsible for all delay in excess of three months from the date of their becoming due, and liable to have the amount admitted recovered from the officer whose duty it was originally to prefer the claim. It does not apply to the present case at all, where the claim was advanced in due time, but was erroneously disallowed.

(3) Initials of the Referencer

(2) Date of repair

(1) Number of pages

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
17th January 1877.*

6. Nor is the Accountant-General's objection, contained in his letter of the 7th ultimo, No. 588, that under G.O., No. 2,164, of January 13th, 1860, Military Department, retrenchments are not recoverable from pensioners but from the drawing officer, to the point, for there was no excess payment, and therefore no retrenchment.

7. The Accountant-General's objection will, therefore, be withdrawn, and the disbursement will stand.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. H. GARSTIN,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, with five Enclosures returned.
,, the Accountant-General.
,, the Military Department.

Private
Rangiah

Disputed

3/174

No 174 27 17 January 1877

Received from the Secy of the
No 10018 was forwarded in Dec 1876
12760 & 2910 Rangiah the following letter
from the Secy of the 27 7601

Draft 4.0

It appears from these
papers that a pensioned
Private by name Rangiah
has, in 1869, refused
the usual compensation
in lieu of price by the
then Treasury Deputy Collector,
Mr. Wright, on the ground
that being a cultivator
he was in "private employ",
the Supd of Police in the
issuing a Circular that
Pensioners in public or
private employ were not
entitled to such
compensation.
Private Rangiah's
sic money was case-
-sequently stopped till
June 1875 when the then
Treasury Deputy Col.
Sir as author. Shudhine,

No 19 dated 8th Nov 1869.

Mol
8/11

M.E.

has advanced in due
time but ^{was} ~~immediately~~
disallowed.

~~The Accountant General~~
~~into the fund to be~~
~~paid to the object~~

It is the Act's point
objection contained
in his letter of the 7th
ult. N^o 500, to the point
for there was no excess
payment and therefore
no reimbursement.

The Act's point's objection
will therefore be
with drawn and the
determination will
stand.

J.H.

14 To the Director of the Public Works Department Dec 19 Jan 7

6 Copy to Mr. S. J. D.

7 + Mr. S. J. D.

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten notes at the bottom of the page.]

That under G.O. N^o 2166 of
Jan 13th 1860. A.D. rebranch.
- amounts are not recoverable
from Prisoners but from
the Drawing Office, ~~to the~~
point

5/14

2/174

Book DEPARTMENT.

No. 588.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
7th December 1876.

FROM

THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO

THE *Secretary to Government*
Revenue Department

SIR,

With reference to Government order No 2910 dated 14th December 1876, I have the honor to report, that under G.O. No 2104 of 15th Dec 1876, Military Department para 2, retrenchments are not recoverable from the Pensioners, but from the drawing officer who is the Treasury Deputy Collector.

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your Obedient Servant

W. H. Odier

Accountant General

Comptroller

Govt. of (1877) Madras.

REVENUE.

TO THE BOARD OF REVENUE,
Secy, Secy, Secy.

Dated January, 17th.

G.O. No 110 January 1877, No 17.

JANUARY.
Nos. 490-401.

Compensation to pensioned Private Mangia.
Withdrawing the Accountant General's objection to the payment of — in lieu of rice, and ordering the disbursement to stand.

80 4-12-76 - 29 13 1/2
- 13-6-60 - 2/6 1/2

Revenue 1876 DEPARTMENT,
ACCT. GENL'S OFFICE, MADRAS.

No. 5502

FROM

THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS.

To
9000 174-178 January 1877

The Secretary
to Government
Revenue Dept.

Dated 17th Dec 76
Recd. 17-12-76 } No. of answer
Ans'd.

Subject.

Regarding that
revenue accounts are
not recoverable
from the provisions
but from the draw-
ing Officer.

For orders

64-177 (2000000000)