

(1) Number of pages 8

(2) Date of repair 27-3-73

(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1704	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	12 May 1877	197

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

12th May 1877, No. 1,704.

Read the following paper :—

No. 247. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 4th May 1877.

Read the following letter from H. SEWELL, Esq., Acting Collector of Trichinopoly, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Manapparai, 27th April 1877, No. 132 :—

I HAVE the honor to request the order of the Board on the following point. In the present Jamabundy no wet remission has been, nor will any be, granted in any of the river-irrigated villages strictly speaking. But in the western corner of

Mayanur.	Ranganadapooram.
Manavasi.	Kottalai.
	Balarajapuram.

the Kullitalai Taluq there are five villages marginally noted which are under the Ambravatty, not the Cauvery channels. Now, the Ambravatty is a

notoriously uncertain river, and there has undoubtedly been considerable loss under it both this year and last year. In last year wet remission was granted to the amount of Rs. 1,425-6-0. This year lists have been sent in showing claims for remission for wet and present shavi to the amount of about Rs. 3,000. The villages have been carefully inspected by the Head Assistant Collector earlier in the season and he is of opinion that some remission should be granted. But at the same time the rates of wet assessment in these villages are very low varying from Rs. 1-8-0 to Rs. 3 per acre, the average being Rs. 2-7-2. These rates were no doubt fixed low in consideration of the uncertainty of the Ambravatty

2. The question now on which I would solicit order is whether I may grant half the amount of remission claimed. This would not be strictly according to the rules laid down by the Board, and it might be considered objectionable introducing a new class of wet remission. But the difficulty is this that I think they are entitled to some remission; if I give it strictly according to the rules, I must give full remission, which, I think, is more than they deserve; if I refuse it altogether, I think it will be unfair to these villages, which are really in as poor a condition as many villages dependent on rain-fed tanks which have already received wet remission.

THE Board sanction the Acting Collector's proposal to give 50 per cent. remission in the cases in question.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Secretary.

No. 248. ORDER THEREON, 12th May 1877, No. 1,704.

Land Revenue, No. 1.

RECORDED.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Officiating Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Ezd. J. W. Fischer.

Considerable loss under it both
this year and last year. In last year
wet permission was granted to the
amount of Rs 1425-6-0. This year lists
have been sent showing claims for per-
mission for wells and present share to
the amount of about Rs 3000. The villages
have been carefully inspected by the
Head Assistant Collector earlier in
the season and he is of opinion that
some permission should be granted.
But at the same time the rates of
wet assessment in these villages are
very low varying from Rs 8-0 to Rs 3-0
per acre, the average being Rs 2-7-2.
These rates were no doubt fixed low
in consideration of the uncertainty
of the Ambravathy Supply.

2. The question now on which I
would solicit order is whether I may
grant half the amount of permission
claimed. This would not be strictly
according

1702
according to the rules laid down by
the Board, and it might be considered
objectionable introducing a new class
of wet permission. But the difficulty
is this that I think they are entitled
to some permission, if I give it strictly
according to the rules I must give full
permission which I think is more
than they deserve, if I refuse it alto-
gether I think it will be unfair to
these villages which are really in as
poor a position as many villages
dependent on rainfed tanks which
have already received wet permission.

In testimony
Collector's office
27 April 1877
Camp Manappuram

I have &c
[Sd.] Henry Sewell
Acting Collector

The Board sanction the
Acting

acting collectors proposed to
give 50 per cent permission in
the cases in question.

(True Copy & to trust)

(3d) H. E. Stokes
Secretary

To
The Collector of Indian Affairs
(True Copy)

Enclosed

Secretary

To
The Asst. Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

SL

Revenue (1877) September

1902395

Receipts of the

Board of Revenue

At 14. May 1877

Do 24. 3

Recd 5. do do

26

Sanctioning proposal
of the Collector of British
subject to give 50 per
cent remission in
certain villages under
the Revenue or ally Chajmukh

Sanctioned
1902395
Recorded

14 To the Hon. Secy. Revenue 17-5-77

1902395
12-5-77