

(1) Number of pages 14.

(2) Date of repair _____.

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____.

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1464	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	18. 4 - 1877	197

Not to be folded or roughly handled

14/04

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
18th April 1877.*

Read the following papers:—

425. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 28th February 1877,
No. 873:—

Read the following letter from J. KELLY, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector, in
charge of Godavery District, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary
to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 9th January 1877, No. 3.

With reference to G.O., dated 28th September 1876, No. 1,366, Revenue
Department, communicated with Board's Proceedings, dated 7th October last, I
have the honor to state that I cannot understand the nature of the preferable
arrangement suggested by Government. The facts of the case are briefly these.
The land under reference was an *enfranchised* Inam with a quit-rent of 6 Annas
payable to Government, and a jodi of Rupees 6-8-0 payable to the proprietor. For
years of water-tax it was sold under Act II of 1864 and bought in by Govern-
ment for a nominal sum. The manager now calls on Government as the owner of
the Inam to pay the jodi.

2. It is unnecessary to grant in exchange a fresh piece of land of correspond-
ing value to the Zemindar, *subject to the payment of the assessment thereon*, and to
exclude the land from the zemindari. The Inam having been enfranchised by
the Inam Commissioner is no part of the zemindari, and need not be excluded from
it. The Zemindar has claim only to the jodi of Rupees 6-8-0, which can be made
good by the transfer (free of assessment) of a piece of Government land of equal
value. The Inam under reference will, of course, be leased out on behalf of
Government as stated in Government Order quoted above.

SUBMITTED to Government with reference to G.O., dated 28th September 1876,
No. 1,366, Revenue Department.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Secretary.

No. 426. From the Hon. D. ARBUTHNOTT, Inam Commissioner, to the Hon.
D. F. CARMICHAEL, Officiating Chief Secretary to Government, Fort
St. George, dated Madras, 13th April 1877, No. 93.

With reference to your Official Memorandum of the 19th March 1877,
No. 78, I have the honor to submit a copy of the Inam Register, from which it
will be observed that the Inam in question was granted prior to the permanent
settlement, and though situated in the zemindari, yet the reversionary right in
regard to it rests with Government and not with the Zemindar, inasmuch as the
proceeds of the Inam were not included in the assets of the estate on which the
peishcush was fixed at the time of the permanent settlement.

2. The Inam was consequently enfranchised and a title-deed issued.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
18th April 1877.*

No. 427.

ORDER THEREON, 18th April 1877, No. F.464.

Miscellaneous.

THE Government consider the best
course to pursue is that indicated by the
Board, i.e., to relinquish the Inam to the
Inamdar.

2. Before doing so, however, they think that the land should be offered to the
Inamdar, in case he cares to have it, subject to the payment of the kattubadi.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

D. F. CARMICHAEL,

Offg. Chief Secretary.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Inam Commissioner.

Ed. A. F. Goodall.

262

1864 / 1865
 185 Bindings 262 77-77
 No 873.

Order

all on the...
 effect and...
 with...
 etc...
 to have...
 will to pay...
 the...
 will...
 the 2 years...
 the land...
 I...
 will...
 the...

1865

It understood
 & of call for
 in front of...

the Gov. detach the
 collector to transfer
 is the Laminar in
 piece of land, which
 may be expected to
 yield a rental equal
 to the Kettubodhi of the
 land under approval -
 The land will be free
 of assessment -
 with regard to the duties
 marks, in para 1 &
 his letter, the Gov. Annex
 at the point is simply
 that the Laminar had

1864 1865

REVENUE

THE DOAN'S REVENUE

1864 1865

1864 1865

APRIL

1864 1865



should not be a loss
of the amount - &
land granted in compensation
was ^{subject to} the agreement,
then a larger quantity and
then to the grantee -
so that the profit derivable
of the remainder from
the land would not
be affected either way.

47
10.3.77

of his profit?

What did he think his
the sum from?

How did he come to
huddle with an owner
in a remainder?

The course suggested
by H.G. the first will not
compensate the remainder.

We seem to have misused
the property value by
swamping it - & then sold
up the remainder because
he could not - or will not
pay our water rate.

The thing seems to have
been a mess this out. L.H. 17/3

14/10/77
I think the only
thing to be done is
that the B. suggest
whichever the owner

to have made it
valuable by swamping
it. I have made
ourselves liable
for the Katterbadi
due to the remainder
by buying it.

I should like to
to offer it back to
the remainder if he
wants to have it - &
pay the Katterbadi
I believe probably over
lost - L.H.

No 93

1464/5 Revenue Board Office,
Income Department,
Madras, 13 April 1877.

From

To The Income Commissioner

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

With reference to your Official
Memorandum of the 19 March 1877/1464,
I have the honor to submit a copy of the
Income Register, from which, it will be observed
that the Inam in question was granted
prior to the permanent Settlement & though
situated in the Zamindari, yet the reversi-
onary right in regard to it rests with
Government & not with the Zamindar.
in as much as the proceeds of the Inam
were not included in the assets of the
Estate on which the Pishkash was
fixed.

Order 2178
The main amt. will
from the record of
his p. & c. in this case.
As the amt. from
which he is precluded
the liam, and under
which circumstances he
interfered with an
Inam in a Zamindari.

19.9.77

Order 1464
The Govt. consider the best
course to pursue in that
indicated by the 14th. 12.
to relinquish the Inam.
to the Zamindar -

Before doing so however
they should think that the
land should be offered to
the Zamindar in case he
wants to have it, and
subject to the pay of the
Kethibandi.

(Recd. with Inam Com.
report called for by Govt.
in Madras 17.4.77)

18-4-77

14 To the Secy of Revenue 25-4-77
2 - Inam Com.

C. to the Secy of Revenue 14/4/77. R. 14.4.77.
Sent to the Secy of Revenue 18/4/77. R. 19.3.77.
C. 12/3/77. R. 16/3/77.

fixed at the time of the permanent settlement.

The Inam was consequently enfranchised & a Title Deed issued.



I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedt. Servant.

W. H. M. M. M.

Inam Commissioner.

No. 873.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 26th February 1877.

Read the following letter from J. KELFALL, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector, in charge of Godavery District, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 9th January 1877, No. 3:—

With reference to G.O., dated 28th September 1876, No. 1,366, Revenue Department, communicated with Board's Proceedings, dated 7th October last, I have honor to state that I cannot understand the nature of the preferable arrangement suggested by Government. The facts of the case are briefly these. The land under reference was an *enfranchised* Inam with a quit-rent of 6 Annas payable to Government, and a jodi of Rupces 6-8-0 payable to the proprietor. For arrears of water-tax it was sold under Act II of 1864 and bought in by Government for a nominal sum. The manager now calls on Government as the owner of the Inam to pay the jodi.

2. It is unnecessary to grant in exchange a fresh piece of land of corresponding value to the Zemindar, *subject to the payment of the assessment thereon*, and to exclude the land from the Zemindari. The Inam having been enfranchised by the Inam Commissioner is no part of the Zemindari, and need not be excluded from it. The Zemindar has claim only to the jodi of Rupces 6-8-0, which can be made good by the transfer (free of assessment) of a piece of Government land of equal value. The Inam under reference will, of course, be leased out on behalf of Government as stated in Government Order quoted above.

SUBMITTED to Government with reference to G.O., dated 28th September 1876, No. 1,366, Revenue Department.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

H. E. Stokes

Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
Copy to the Collector of the Godavery District.

Exd. W. J. Lewis.

1877 Revenue Dept
202/23

From the Inam Commission

The Sub-Collector
to Government

For J. K. K. K.

Dated 13th April 1877.

Received by the

No. 93

Revenue 1 Contract

18-4-77

With reference to his

Official Memorandum dated

19th March 1877 submitted by

of the Inam Register therein

referred to explaining the

circumstances under which the

Inam was enfranchised.