

(1) Number of pages 40
(2) Date of repair _____
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1453	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	17-4-1877	197.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
17th April 1877.*

No. 379. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 14th March 1877, Miscellaneous No. 3,805:—

Read the following letter from L. MOORE, Esq., Acting Head Assistant Collector, Trichinopoly, to the Acting Collector of Trichinopoly, dated 8th December 1876, No. 479.

In reply to your letter, No. 987, of the 27th September last, I have the honor to state that water-rate was charged for the garden lands in Karappudaiyanpatti from Faslis 1280—1283 (both inclusive).

2. The charge was made for the following reason, as stated in paragraph 2 of my letter, No. 543, of the 22nd September 1874 to the Collector:—“There appears to be no doubt that the lands are irrigated by water drawn from a Government source of irrigation, and that second crop has been customarily raised on them. They are entered in the Inam register simply as garden lands, and nothing is said as to whether they are double or single crop. In certain old accounts, however, prepared in the year 1846, when the village was under attachment on behalf of Government, they appear as double crop land.

3. The reason why water was not levied previous to Fasli 1280 seems to be as follows. It appears that the Inam Commissioner, in his letter, No. 163, of the 17th of April 1869, addressed to the Collector of Trichinopoly, stated that second crop assessment should be charged on Inam lands entered in the vernacular Registers as one crop. On receipt of this letter the Collector, Mr. Banbury, sent an order, No. 14, of the 24th April 1869, to all Tahsildars, directing them to azimash all the Inam lands, and see if any lands on which water-rate should have been levied had escaped being charged. On receipt of this order a Revenue Inspector was directed by the Museri Tahsildar to inspect Karappudaiyanpatti village among others. He reported in March 1870 that no extra charge had to be made in this village, as all the wet and garden lands in it were double crop. Subsequently, a new Revenue Inspector examined the village in October 1871, and reported that Rupees 60-10-0 should be charged as water-rate on certain garden lands in it, on the ground, as it would appear, that while the land was entered in the Register simply as garden land, and no mention was made as to whether it was single or double crop, a second crop had been raised on it. This report was sent in, in Fasli, 1281, but the charge proposed to be made in it was for the previous Fasli (i.e. 1280). The several papers and reports on the subject are enclosed.

No. 20.

SUBMITTED to the Board of Revenue with reference to the Endorsement on Government petition, dated 9th September 1876, No. 1,460.

TRICHINOPOLY,
22nd January 1877.

(Signed) S. H. WYNNE,
For Acting Collector.

SUBMITTED to Government with reference to Endorsement No. 1,460 of 1876, dated 9th September, Petition Department.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
17th April 1877.*

2. It appears that water-rate has been charged for four Faslis on the ground that the lands entered in the Inam Register as "garden" were intended to be treated as single not double crop.

Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Sub-Secretary

No. 380.

ORDER THEREON, 17th April 1877, No. 1,453.

Irrigation No. 3.

THE Government consider that the position taken by the Collector and the Board in this case cannot equitably be maintained. The refund of the amount collected will accordingly be made.

2. Petitioner is referred to the Collector who has received orders in the case.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Offg. Chief Secretary.

To the Board of Revenue.
.. the Petition Department.

Erd. F. R. Bantleman.

2

Assent.
16/11/77

N. 1460 off 76. *22 ffb*

The collector will report thro the Board of Revenue from what date water-rate was charged, & under what authority.

Fort St. George
9th Sept 1876
S.D.

/ By order /
W. Harmer coll.
Off. Secy. S.D.

To the Collector of Trichinopoly
Sept 17 1877

Cost. of (1877) Rydams.

TO REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE,
A., C., & Co.

Recd. 14. Oct. 1877.
Recd.

G.O. 170, April 1877, No. 1453.

APRIL.
Nos. 879-880.

Have been collected from Trichinopoly District—directing the refund of the —, and returning petitioner to the collector on the subject.

Memorandum of the Board of Directors of the
refund of the —, and referring petitioner to the
Collector on the subject.

To His Grace the Lord
Duke of Buckingham
Governor in Council
Madras

The respectful application
presented by Areekunara Siva
for a refund of land for a house at
Matauri at Thoraioore in the
Taluk of Trincomopoly District
against the orders (herewith
enclosed) of the Board of Revenue
refusing to refund water cess
unjustly levied on certain
cultivated lands.

That your Grace's
petitioner feeling himself aggrieved
by the Board's refusal
to refund the

Rs 341 — — — — —
on the following grounds:

That your Grace's
petitioner holds a house
land at Chandiya near
Mithapur in the District of
Madurai all the lands of
2,000 — — — — —

2. That the Collector of District
has ordered through his proceedings
No. 1598 under date 30th November
1874 not to charge water cess for
the above lands.

3. That a bill for water cess
to the amount of Rs. 341-3-4 was
unjustly collected in August 1874
from your Grace's petitioners for
the years 1281-1282 & 1283.

4. That your Grace's petitioners
beg to represent to your Grace in
Council the injustice of collecting
tax for the lands which have
been declared as lands not
fit to be charged with water
rate.

5. That on appeal to the
Board against the Collector's
order, refusing to refund the said
amount they have endorsed that
they (the Board) adhere to their
former orders and that no re-
ad of a year with be

But your Grace's petitioners
do point out to your Grace
in Council that the said
amount was not collected
under this order but after it
and therefore your Grace's
petitioners beg to submit to
your Grace in Council that
the Board's order was not

be applied to petitioners; case
and therefore your Grace may
be graciously moved to give an
impartial consideration to this
appeal, and the enclosures
and to direct that the said
sum of Rs. 341-3-4 be re-
funded to petitioners.

For which act of
gratitude and human bene-
volence your Grace's petitioners
shall ever pray as in duty
bound.

1875 D^y 3rd Nov 1875
Page 29

Receipt granted to. Sri.
kumarasivaprakasa. Pandara Sannathi of
Thoraioore. Peria Moatam, Revenue de-
partment of - Puzhuvandiyur. P. 50 village.
Munsiri Talug, by the Village Officer
of Thoraioore village.

I give you this receipt
for your having paid today the arrears of
watercess, Rs 27-15-0 from Fusli st. to 80
together with its interest Rs 4-4-4 due
by you as per demand for the same endorsed
to me after attaching your property for the
amount due and giving account to the
Talug.

13th August 1875.

(52) Ramasamy Naicker
V. Munsiri
Junior

Sub 14604776

No. 6 (True copy)

From

The Tahsildar of

Munsiri Taluk

The Revenue Inspector

Craigendi Division

Munsiri Taluk

As there is the order No. 623.
(dated the 9th December last) received
from the Head Assistant Collector
with Collector's Proceedings No. 159 &
of the 20th November last to the
effect that in answer to his letter
enclosing the Order of the late Tahsildar
relating to the water cess imposed on
garden lands at Harafendaiyan, Patti
Aurotheriam village, it is directed in the
No. 1460 of 76.

[illegible]

Series 1460 of 726.

Endorsement on petition No 1746
1930 and 1950 of 1875.

The Board adhere to
their former orders. No
refund of arrears will be
permitted.

Revenue Board Office } By order
Madras
18th March 1876 } Lawditcher

Acting Sub Secretary

M.
copy v. v.

Dec 1460 of 1876.

Endorsement to Treasurers
Sivapadasa Pandara Samadhi of Puram
Therane Monsire Salug.

Recd. Petition presented to
your Vakil in the 21st July.

Ordered not to levy the
watercess for Kurupadayan Pully
Christian village from Husky 1284. As
~~the village is not in the~~
should be collected as in

already ordered with regard
manglam village Therane

Oct 1950 under date 10
that no refund would be made
for previous years. It is therefore improper
to object to paying the previous Huskies

Subd 14. 10. 1950

tax which should at once be paid.

8. 4th August 1875

Camp, Lichinofsky

Signed

Henry Swell

Ag Collector



102

Handwritten text in a non-Latin script, likely Burmese, covering the right page of the document.

Vertical handwritten text at the bottom left of the left page.

Camp

John D. ...

My friend

W. A. C. C. C.

tax 1877
8. 1/2
Cano

direct the refund of
the water cess unjustly
collected from Jats, re-
presents that he holds
Shrothium lands in
the village of Barap-
dayampatti, in the
Talook of Museri; that
they are all irrigated lands
of 2 crops; that the collector
in his proceedings dated
30th November 1874 & 1898
has ordered not to charge
water cess on the above
lands; that notwithstanding
this, arrears of water
cess of Rs. 1281, 1282 &
1283 amounting to Rs. 341-3-4 was in charge
last, collected from Jats;
that this sum was not
collected ante litem
motam, but after it.
Pray.

From - Preshumara -
prahasa of Liva
- prahasa - dwany
Occupation - Matam at
Rev: 2154/77
J 47-4-77 No 145-3
Village - Torayoor
Talook - Museri
District - Trichinopoly
Dated 29th May } 1896.
Received 12th July }
Enclosures 7

ABSTRACT.
Appealing against the
endorsement of the Board
of Revenue, declining to
direct

Petition
I cannot see the
justice of this case
The land was two-orch
land in 1846 - when the
cess cesses were 1/2
I do not think the order
can possibly be maintained
11/60

No 146 of 1876 of 29th May 1876.
Read 12th July -
From Preshumara, Sivaprahasa
of Sivaprahasa comm. Matam
at Torayoor, Museri Taluk,
Trichinopoly Dist.

Appealing against
the endorsement of the Board
of Revenue, declining to
direct the refund of the
water cess unjustly collected
from petitioner, represents
that he holds Shrothium
lands in the village of
Barapdayampatti, in the
Talook of Museri; that they
are all Nanja lands of 2 crops;
that the Collector in his
proceedings, dated 30th November
1874, & 1898, has ordered
not

The refund asked cannot
be made
1893-77.
The case is similar to that
given in Si Brody 24th July
174 No 1950 - the Bd then
held that tho' the water cess
could not be properly claimed
yet as the land was
at so high a rate as, actually
to include the water cess
charge, yet as the demand
was in accordance with
Order in existence at the
time as to double cess for
Cano - should have

not to charge water-cess on
the above lands; that notwith-
standing it, arrears of water-
cess of Sushies 1281, 1282 &
1283 amounting to Rupees
341-3-4 was in August
last, collected from petrs;
that this sum was not
collected ante litem motam,
but after it.

Prayer, therefore,
that orders may be passed,
directing the refund to
petrs of the said amount
of Rs 341-3-4-

With reports from the J. B. R.
of Trichinopoly, embodying the
Board's Proceedings, dated 14th
March 1877, Mis: No 3805.

to B.L. now it is
approved the relief
sum would have to
be excluded to other
similar cases -

It is noted that the
man protested at
the time against
the levy of the rate.

I am in opinion
with the B.L. that
the position cannot
equitably be main-
tained - & that the
Sd. be made. The
arrear Sd. lot
has been collected
on the analogy of
the 1876 case. (Sd.)

Part

Order No. 145-3

The P.W. undertakes that
the person taking the
collection the day in this
case cannot specifically
be manufactured in the
absence of the amount
collected will accordingly
be made

plus is referred to the
collector, who has used him
in the case. D

17-4-77 17-6-77

14 To the R. of Revenue 25-4-77
1 " Petition Dept

R. H. G.
CS-4-77. 5-4-77. 12-4-77.
RS-12-11-15

Mis. No. 3805 Revenue Department

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 14th March 1877

Read the following

No. 479

From the

The Acting Head Asst. Collector
Trichinopoly

To

The Acting Collector of Trichinopoly

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 987
of the 27th September last, I have the
honor to state that water rate was
charged for the garden lands in
Karappu-daiyapattin from Fasli
1280-1283 (both inclusive)

2. The charge was made for the
following reason, as stated in
para 2 of my letter No. 543 of
the 22nd September 1874 to the Collector

"There appears to be no doubt that the lands are irrigated by water drawn from a Government source of irrigation and that 2nd Crop has been customarily raised on them. They are entered in the Suam Register simply as garden lands and nothing is said as to whether they are double or single crop. In certain old accounts, however, prepared in the year 1876, when the Village was under attachment on behalf of Government, they appear as double crop land.

3. The reason why water was not levied previous to Fasli 1280 seems to be as follows. It appears

that the Suam Commissioner, in his letter No. 163 of the 17th of April 1869 addressed to the Collector of Trichinopoly, stated that 2nd Crop assessment should be charged on Suam lands entered in the Vernacular Registers as one crop. On receipt of this letter the Collector Mr Pembury, sent an order, No 14 of the 24th April 1869, to all Tahsildars directing them to examine all the Suam lands and see if any lands on which water rate should have been levied had escaped being charged. On receipt of this order a Revenue Inspector was directed by the Messrs Tahsildars to inspect

Karaippudaiyampatti Village
among others. He reported in
March 1870 that no extra charge
had been made in this village,
as all the wet and garden
lands in it were double crop.
Subsequently a new Revenue
Inspector examined the village
in October, 1871 and reported
that Rs 60-10-0 should be
charged as water rate on
certain garden lands in it,
on the ground, as it would
appear, that while the land
was entered in the Register
simply as garden land and
no mention was made as to
whether it was single or double
crop

Crop, a second crop had been
raised on it. This report was
sent in in Fushi 1281, but the
charge proposed to be made in
it was for the previous Fushi
(i.e. 1280) The several papers and
reports on the subject are enclosed

Trichinopoly,
Head Asst. Collr.
Office, 8th Dec/76

I have seen
(signed) Lewis Moore
Asst. Head Asst. Collr.

No. 20

Submitted to the Board of
Revenue with reference to the
endorsement on Government
Petition dated 9th September 1876
No. 1460.

Trichinopoly
Collector's Office
22 Jan'y. 1877

(signed) F. H. Wynne
for Asst. Collector

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Madras -

Submitted to Government
with reference to endorsement
No. 1460 of 1876 dated 9th September
Petition Department

2. It appears that water rate
has been charged for ~~foreign~~ ^{foreign} India
on the ground that the lands
entered in the Inam Register
as 'garden' were intended to
be treated as single not double
crop.

(True Copy and Extract)

C. L. Cotton
Sub-Secretary

To The Secretary to Government R.D.
With Petition

C. L. Cotton

Encl. 9.9.9.76:1460

Revenue (1077) Defaulter

1802/1856

Proceedings of the

Board of Revenue

Dated 14 March 1877

1802/17 April 1877

1802/15

encl 11

1802/17-4-77 in 1460-8

Submitting to Government
endorsement from the Ag.
Collector of Chikmagalur, on
letter from the Ag. Secy. Dist.
Collector reporting on
Encl. 10.14.60
of 1876 dated 9 September