

(1) Number of pages 14
 (2) Date of repair 9.12.72
 (3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1321	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	4-4-1877	197

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 92. Read the following Proceeding of the Board of Revenue, dated 2nd March 1877, No. 976:—

Read the following papers:—

From C. G. DOUGLAS, Esq., Deputy Conservator and Settlement Officer, to A. WEDDERBURN, Esq., Collector, Coimbatore, dated Udumunthund, 18th January 1877, No. 270.

I HAVE the honor to report progress up to date in the settlement of the Travancore boundary.

2. In consequence of a very unfavorable season and extensive forest operations, I was, as you know, detained at Travancore till late in December, and subsequently, from the same cause, at Selegie till the end of the month when, in spite of every effort, it became necessary to suspend work.

3. I joined Mr. Munro, the Travancore Settlement Officer, at Payratmalai on the 5th January, and found he had already marked a line thence to the west within a few miles of Udumunthund. There was a section of about eight miles north-east of Payratmalai to Kodandoor which he desired to consult me, and there remained the line to be completed to the tri-junction at Punnemaddekuttu.

4. From Payratmalai to Kodandoor we agreed to take the stream as the boundary in accordance with the map, and a gang was immediately put on to clear a line while we proceeded westward.

5. I have carefully gone over the line laid down by Mr. Munro, and have no objection to urge against it as a correct definition of the water-shed.

6. Much of the boundary runs over open hilly country which can be thoroughly examined from some of the higher peaks, and even where it enters dense forest to the west the water-shed may be discerned from the same points for a considerable distance. I have however gone over every bit of it.

7. We have now settled the boundary from Kodandoor to Punnemaddekuttu, and expect to complete the preliminary demarcation line, in length thirty or thirty-two miles, by to-morrow, and on the 20th return to Payratmalai whence Mr. Munro intends proceeding to join the Madura Commissioner for settlement of that section.

8. Before leaving Payratmalai we requested the Cochin representative to meet us on the 20th as his presence would be desirable in fixing the tri-junction, concerning which however we are both clear. It is not our intention to wait for him, as the further opening of the preliminary trace is pressing and Mr. Munro's presence on the important Madura section indispensable, besides all arrangements for his going there are completed.

9. I have informed the Deputy Superintendent, Revenue Survey, that he can begin operations, and have offered my assistance in the way of finding supplies, labor, &c., or in any other manner in which it may prove of service.

10. I shall remain on the boundary to supervise the widening and permanent demarcation of the line, unless I receive orders to the contrary; for, if this is not attended to now, time and labor may be

Note by the Collector.
I do not think a better boundary can be made than a good path.

(Initialled) A. W. I propose clearing a 12-foot trace and forming a regular path of 6 feet in centre. Early orders are solicited regarding any further or better demarcation.

11. The map is greatly in distinct, and although this throw with the map line, the latter is so that an attempt to adhere strictly to settlement.

12. If a straight line as close map were taken as a boundary, we and pass over an uneven country f thund which the map shows to be,

Note by the Collector.

I recommend the water-shed adoption (Initialled) A. W.

of Udamunthund and the water-shed marked and runs not far north of Punnemadokuttu.

13. The settlement of the line should be undertaken separately, and plains; it is very clearly defined by a sary to delay the Madura operations finish it off before leaving.

14. The rapidity with which settlement has progressed since my arrival is of course entirely due to the exertions of Mr. Munro since the beginning of December. He was beyond recall when the original programme was changed, and on his arrival at the boundary it was agreed, as soon as we could communicate, that I should lose no time in joining him, and meanwhile he should open a trial line. I hope to have got up by Christmas but could not possibly manage it for the reason already given.

15. The formation of a permanent boundary will be heavier work and take longer to accomplish.

16. I should feel much obliged if you would furnish the Deputy Superintendent, Revenue Survey, with a copy of this letter. I have no office staff with me, and do not wish to detain the letter in its way to you.

From the same Officer, dated Paymalai, 23rd January 1877, No. 274.

SINCE writing my report of the 18th instant, No. 270, I have heard demissed officially from Lieutenant-Colonel Cloete, to whom I had previously written.

2. Colonel Cloete seems in doubt as to the expediency of beginning survey at once, and, under the circumstances, it may perhaps be as well to postpone it for the present and for the following reasons:—

1st.—The boundary agreed on by the Travancore representative and myself differs materially from that laid down in the map, and may therefore be objected to by Government.

2nd.—The line has only been settled from Punnemadokuttu, the Cochin, Travancore, and Coimbatore tri-junction, to Kodandoor, leaving some twelve or

throughout, especially, as in the case of we adopted the water-shed which is very the land from Coimbatore as compared and frequently so utterly inaccurate would involve an indefinite prolongation of

Veddamalai river as is shown in the get completely out of the water-shed, a bad boundary far south of Udamun. n at least near the line. Again, if the line of heights above the Veddamalai river were taken, the boundary would not by any means be the straight one described, and we should still be south water-shed, on the other hand, is very unthund and hits off the tri-junction

Kodandoor to the Madura tri-junction probably be easier accomplished from the and Mr. Munro considers it unnecessary account. If I find it possible I will

fifteen miles to reach the Madura, Travancore and Coimbatore tri-junction; and a survey of a detached piece like this, not joining last season's work on the Cochin boundary on the one side or to the Madura tri-junction on the other, would be of little use.

3rd.—Mr. Stevenson, the Cochin Settlement Officer, was unable to meet us at Punnemadokuttu on the 20th, and so no arrangements have been made to connect that point with Kullianapundul where operations were brought to a stand-still last year.

4th.—Survey will proceed much more easily and with greater rapidity after the preliminary clearing has been widened and improved.

3. In order to complete the settlement of the Travancore-Coimbatore section, I have resolved to proceed with Mr. Munro to meet the Madura Commissioner and fix that tri-junction point, and if I succeed in sufficient time the survey will proceed to be joined to the Madura boundary, provided our line is accepted.

4. I have chosen this course in preference to depending on a settlement of the unfinished portion of the Cochin boundary, because the line from Kodandoor to the Madura tri-junction is undisputed and clearly defined by a stream, and though the country is very feverish and getting daily more so labor is tolerably abundant. The country about Punnemadokuttu, on the other hand, is inaccessible, and there is difficulty both as to labor and supplies, and should Cochin prove unable to take its share of the work I fear I should not be equal to carrying out operations at both ends of so long and difficult a line. Mr. Stevenson has agreed to meet me any time after the 1st proximo, but as I am just starting in the opposite direction and as he states that he requires at least eight or ten days to reach the Cochin tri-junction, I fear the season would be too far advanced before the work could be got properly in hand. He would have met us on 20th instant but had no huts ready on the line of march, and the notice given was too short.

5. I deem it proper to report the difference existing between the map line and that of the true water-shed which, in concurrence with the Travancore representative, I have accepted as the district boundary, because Government having recently in the case of Cochin accepted the map line in spite of all inaccuracies and to its own loss might possibly claim it in this instance where it would be the gainer.

6. In order not to lose time however, I shall employ the labor I have collected in improving the preliminary line. This is absolutely necessary to prevent its obliteration by time in the event of a prolonged discussion occurring, and if it be accepted, the work done will be so much gained. Pending orders I shall do no more than is absolutely necessary.

7. I therefore solicit early instructions as to final acceptance of the line and regarding permanent demarcation, I shall then push on as rapidly as possible.

8. The case stands thus: the true water-shed runs further north than the line in the map, and were a boundary, despite errors, laid down in strict conformity with the latter, a valuable tract of forest would be added to Coimbatore. I ought to mention that Mr. Munro does not agree with me as to the extent of land involved, and I dare say he is right, but a survey is the only reliable means of settling such

a point, and after all the question of any land at stake, and I suppose in favor of the water-shed in the case would probably lead to endless complications.

9. The map is wrong in so far as an authority.

FORWARDED to the Board of Revenue. A sketch showing the proposed line on the map, has been called for; it will be submitted as soon as received.
COIMBATORE,
9th February 1877.

From A. WEDDERBURN, Esq., Secretary to the Government, Coimbatore, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Secretary to the Government of Revenue, dated 20th February 1877, No. 76.

WITH reference to my endorsement of No. 51, of 9th February 1877, forwarding copies of Mr. Douglas' letters regarding the demarcation of the boundary between this district and Travancore, and the map lines as soon as received from Mr. Douglas, I have the honor to state that Mr. Douglas reports his inability to prepare a sketch with due accuracy, showing the difference between the map lines and the extent of land involved, respecting which Mr. Munro and he differ, and that if a thoroughly reliable sketch is wanted, the professional department should be asked for it.

2. Since forwarding the copy of Mr. Douglas' letter, I have received from the Deputy Superintendent of the Revenue Survey a copy of Mr. Munro's report to the Diwan of Travancore, which I have the honor now to forward.

3. I beg that early orders may be passed as to whether the water-shed or the map line is to be adopted, and if the Board want a sketch the Survey Department may be instructed to prepare it.

Enclosure No. 1.

From Mr. J. D. MUNRO, Superintendent and Manager of Cardamom Hills, to the Diwan of Travancore, dated Wundudi, 5th February 1877.

I HAVE the honor to submit the following report of the work carried out in connection with the Coimbatore-Travancore demarcation during this season.

2. I arrived at Payratmalai on the 12th December and, pending Mr. Douglas' arrival, explored the country and opened a line along the water-shed westward where it was unlikely to be disputed.

3. Mr. Douglas joined me on January 5th, and we went over the line and completed it to Punnemadokuttu, the course being nearly as follows. Beginning from a point near Payratmalai where the drainage was into the Vaddamalai valley, the line ran nearly S.W. by west to Payratmalai peak (7,400 feet) and then to the side of Corampara peak (7,100 feet) and thence S.W. to Currymalai (6,100 feet). From Currymalai the water-shed continued S.W., but north of the direction given in the map, and passing over the Punnemadokuttu peak (4,050 feet) descended in a southerly

of principle, irrespective of the value of already been sufficiently established in principle, and to make an exception here.

spects that it really cannot be regarded

51.

for orders as to the line to be adopted. The line, as also the line indicated by the map, is submitted as soon as received.

(Signed) A. H. T. MARTINDALE,
for Collector.

direction to the tri-junction point out Punnemadokuttu starting being 22 miles 555 feet. From the boundary stream—the Chema or Kodandoor—as on the map, and a line cut to a point opposite of 10 miles 1,114 feet. From this point, as clearly defined on the map by the Kodandoor river, the Pambaur to its junction with the Athey tri-junction point of Coimbatore, Madura, and Travancore, but the boundaries as given in the map were not opened, but the boundaries as given in the map were estimated as follows:—

750 feet), the distance from point of point near Payratmalai the Unginad—was followed N.N.E. from its source to the village of Kodandoor, a distance of 10 miles 1,114 feet. From this point, as clearly defined on the map by the Kodandoor river, the Pambaur to its junction with the Athey tri-junction point of Coimbatore, Madura, and Travancore, but the boundaries as given in the map were not opened, but the boundaries as given in the map were estimated as follows:—

	MILES.	FEET.
From near Payratmalai to Punnemadokuttu	22	555
of Coimbatore, Cochin, and Travancore	10	1,114
From point near Payratmalai to Kodandoor	13	0
From Kodandoor to junction of Pambaur	45	1,669
Athey odai and up the Athey odai to junction of Coimbatore, Madura, and Travancore about..		
Total	45	1,669

4. Mr. Gompertz met Mr. Douglas and myself on the 1st instant, and we together settled Chemboomalai as the tri-junction point of Coimbatore, Madura, and Travancore.

5. In connection with the portion demanded to Punnemadokuttu from near Payratmalai, I have to remark that the deviation from the line near Udamunthund and Corumpara, and taking the water-shed instead, involves an area of five to six square miles. The water-shed is very clear, while the map is very inaccurate. Near Punnemadokuttu where a nulla of at least ten miles a course is shown (called the Colla river), only a small stream represents it with at most a course of three miles.

6. Mr. Douglas and myself waited at Udamunthund till the 20th January for Mr. Stevenson, but owing to his non-arrival had to decide the tri-junction point at Punnemadokuttu without him.

7. I am at present engaged on the demarcation of the Madura Travancore line, and on its completion for the season will report full particulars.

(True Copy)

(Signed) J. C. WINSOM,
for Collector.

As the Collector of Coimbatore reports inability to furnish a sketch map illustrating the boundary between Coimbatore and Travancore agreed upon by Messrs. Douglas and Munro, the Superintendent of the Revenue Survey, will be requested to furnish one as soon as possible, the map being unintelligible without a map showing the alternative lines.

(True Copy of Extract)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Sub-Secretary.

ernment, Revenue Department,
il 1877.

No. 93.

ORDER TH In April 1877, No. 1,321.

Miscellaneous.

RECORDED.

tract.)

ned)

D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Officiating Chief Secretary.

To the Board of Revenue.

Ed. F. R. Bantleman.

1321
4

Boundary between Coimbatore and Travancore.—
Recording Board's Proceedings requesting Super-
intendent of Revenue Survey to furnish a sketch
map illustrating—agreed upon by Messrs. Douglas
and Munro.

APRIL.
Nos. 92-93.

G.O. 446 April 1877, No. 1321.

Recd.
Dated April 18th.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

No.

REVENUE.

1936— No. 976.
PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 2nd March 1877.

Read the following papers:—

From C. G. DOUGLAS, Esq., Deputy Conservator and Settlement Officer, to
A. WEDDERBURN, Esq., Collector of Coimbatore, dated Udumunthund,
18th January 1877, No. 270.

I HAVE the honor to report progress up to date in the settlement of the
Travancore boundary.

2. In consequence of a very unfavorable season and extensive forest opera-
tions, I was, as you know, detained at Ponacadvoo till late in December, and
subsequently, from the same cause, at Semade till the end of the month when,
in spite of every effort, it became necessary to suspend work.

3. I joined Mr. Munro, the Travancore Settlement Officer, at Payratmalai
on the 5th January, and found he had already marked a line thence to the west
within a few miles of Udumunthund. There was a section of about eight miles
north-east of Payratmalai to Kodandoor which he desired to consult me, and
there remained the line to be completed at the tri-junction at Punnemadekutta.

4. From Payratmalai to Kodandoor we agreed to take the stream as the
boundary in accordance with the map, and a gang was immediately put on to
clear a line while we proceeded westward.

5. I have carefully gone over the whole line laid down by Mr. Munro, and
have no objection to urge against it as a correct definition of the water-shed.

6. Much of the boundary runs over open hilly country which can be
thoroughly examined from some of the high peaks, and even where it enters dense
forest to the west the water-shed may be discerned from the same points for a
considerable distance. I have however gone over every bit of it.

7. We have now settled the boundary from Kodandoor to Punnemadekutta,
and expect to complete the preliminary demarcation line, in length thirty or
thirty-two miles, by to-morrow, and on the 20th return to Payratmalai whence
Mr. Munro intends proceeding to join the Madura Commissioner for settlement of
that section.

8. Before leaving Payratmalai we requested the Cochin representative to
meet us on the 20th as his presence would be desirable in fixing the tri-junction,
concerning which however we are both clear. It is not our intention to wait for
him, as the further opening of the preliminary trace is pressing and Mr. Munro's
presence on the important Madura section indispensable, besides all arrangements
for his going there are completed.

9. I have informed the Deputy Superintendent, Revenue Survey, that he
can begin operations, and have offered my assistance in the way of finding supplies,
labor, &c., or in any other manner in which it may prove of service.

10. I shall remain on the boundary to supervise the widening and permanent
demarcation of the line, unless I receive orders to the contrary; for, if this is not
attended to now, time and labor may be

Note by the Collector.
I do not think a better boundary can be made
than a good path.

(Initialled) A. W.
I propose clearing a 12-foot trace
and forming a regular path of 6 feet
in centre. Early orders are solicited
regarding any further or better demarcation.

11. The map is greatly in error throughout, especially, as in the case of Cochin, about Punnemadokuttu. We have adopted the water-shed which is very distinct, and although this throws out some land from Coimbatore as compared with the map line, the latter is so doubtful and frequently so utterly inaccurate that an attempt to adhere strictly to it would involve an indefinite prolongation of settlement.

12. If a straight line as close to the Veddimalai river as is shown in the map were taken as a boundary, we should get completely out of the water-shed, and pass over an uneven country forming a bad boundary far south of Udamunthund which the map shows to be, if not on at least near the line. Again, if the

Note by the Collector.

I recommend the water-shed adoption.

(Initialed) A. W.

line of heights above the Veddimalai river were taken, the boundary would not by any means be the straight one described, and we should still be south of Udamunthund and the water-shed. The water-shed, on the other hand, is very marked and runs not far north of Udamunthund and hits off the tri-junction Punnemadokuttu.

13. The settlement of the line should be undertaken separately, and probably be easier accomplished from the plains; it is very clearly defined by a stream, and Mr. Munro considers it unnecessary to delay the Madura operations to finish it off before leaving.

14. The rapidity with which settlement has progressed since my arrival is of course entirely due to the exertions of Mr. Munro since the beginning of December. He was beyond recall when the original programme was changed, and on his arrival at the boundary it was decided, as soon as we could communicate, that I should lose no time in joining the line, and meanwhile he should open a trial line. I hope to have got up by Christmas but could not possibly manage it for the reason already given.

15. The formation of a permanent boundary will be heavier work and take longer to accomplish.

16. I should feel much obliged if you would furnish the Deputy Superintendent, Revenue Survey, with a copy of this letter. I have no office staff with me, and do not wish to detain the letter on its way to you.

From the same Officer, dated Ponnemal, 23rd January 1877, No. 274.

SINCE writing my report of the 1st instant, No. 270, I have heard demissed officially from Lieutenant-Colonel Cloete to whom I had previously written.

2. Colonel Cloete seems in doubt as to the expediency of beginning survey at once, and, under the circumstances, it may perhaps be as well to postpone it for the present and for the following reasons:—

1st.—The boundary agreed on by the Travancore representative and myself differs materially from that laid down in the map, and may therefore be objected to by Government.

2nd.—The line has only been settled from Punnemadokuttu, the Cochin, Travancore, and Coimbatore tri-junction, to Kodandoor, leaving some twelve or

fifteen miles to reach the Madura, Travancore, and Coimbatore tri-junction; and a survey of a detached piece like this, not joined to last season's work on the Cochin boundary on the one side or to the Madura tri-junction on the other, would be of little use.

3rd.—Mr. Stevenson, the Cochin Settlement Officer, was unable to meet us at Punnemadokuttu on the 20th, and so arrangements have been made to connect that point with Kullianapundul where operations were brought to a stand-still last year.

4th.—Survey will proceed much more easily and with greater rapidity after the preliminary clearing has been widened and improved.

3. In order to complete the settlement of the Travancore-Coimbatore section, I have resolved to proceed with Mr. Munro to meet the Madura Commissioner and fix that tri-junction point, and if I succeed in opening a line thence to Kodandoor in sufficient time the survey will proceed and be joined to the Madura boundary, provided our line is accepted.

4. I have chosen this course in preference to depending on a settlement of the unfinished portion of the Cochin boundary, because the line from Kodandoor to the Madura tri-junction is undisputed and clearly defined by a stream, and though the country is very feverish and growing daily more so labor is tolerably abundant. The country about Punnemadokuttu, on the other hand, is inaccessible, and there is difficulty both as to labor and supplies, and should Cochin prove unable to take its share of the work I fear I should not be equal to carrying out operations at both ends of so long and difficult a line. Mr. Stevenson has agreed to meet me any time after the 1st proximo, but I am just starting in the opposite direction and as he states that he requires at least eight or ten days to reach the Cochin tri-junction, I fear the season would be too far advanced before the work could be got properly in hand. He would have met us on 20th instant but had no huts ready on the line of march, and the notice I gave was too short.

5. I deem it proper to report the difference existing between the map line and that of the true water-shed which, in concurrence with the Travancore representative, I have accepted as the district boundary, because Government having recently in the case of Cochin accepted the map line in spite of all inaccuracies and to its own loss might possibly claim it in this instance where it would be the gainer.

6. In order not to lose time however, I shall employ the labor I have collected in improving the preliminary line; this is absolutely necessary to prevent its obliteration by time in the event of a prolonged discussion occurring, and if it be accepted, the work done will be so much gained. Pending orders I shall do no more than is absolutely necessary.

7. I therefore solicit early instructions as to final acceptance of the line and regarding permanent demarcation, I will then push on as rapidly as possible.

8. The case stands thus: the true water-shed runs further north than the line in the map, and were a boundary, despite errors, laid down in strict conformity with the latter, a valuable tract of forest would be added to Coimbatore. I ought to mention that Mr. Munro does not agree with me as to the extent of land involved, and I dare say he is right, but a survey is the only reliable means of settling such

a point, and after all the question is one of principle, irrespective of the value of any land at stake, and I suppose it has already been sufficiently established in favor of the water-shed in the case of Tinnelly, and to make an exception here would probably lead to endless complications.

9. The map is wrong in so many respects that it really cannot be regarded as an authority.

No. 4.

FORWARDED to the Board of Revenue for orders as to the line to be adopted. A sketch showing the proposed water-shed line, as also the line indicated by the map, has been called for; it will be submitted as soon as received.

COIMBATORE, (Signed) A. H. T. MARTINDALE,
9th February 1877. for Collector.

From A. WEDDERBURN, Esq., Collector, Coimbatore, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 20th February 1877, No. 76.

WITH reference to my endorsement, No. 1, of 9th February 1877, forwarding copies of Mr. Douglas' letters regarding demarcation of the boundary between this district and Travancore, and promising to send a sketch of the water-shed and map lines as soon as received from Mr. Douglas, I have the honor to state that Mr. Douglas reports his inability to prepare a sketch with due accuracy, showing the difference between the two watersheds and the extent of land involved, respecting which Mr. Munro and himself differ, and that if a thoroughly reliable sketch is wanted, the professional department should be asked for it.

2. Since forwarding the copies of Mr. Douglas' letter, I have received from the Deputy Superintendent of the Revenue Survey a copy of Mr. Munro's report to the Diwan of Travancore, which I have the honor now to forward.

3. I beg that early orders may be passed as to whether the water-shed or the map line is to be adopted, and that if the Board want a sketch the Survey Department may be instructed to prepare it.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From Mr. J. D. MUNRO, Superintendent and Manager of Cardamom Hills, to the Diwan of Travancore, dated Wuttawaddy, 5th February 1877.

I HAVE the honor to submit the following report of the work carried out in connection with the Coimbatore-Travancore demarcation during this season.

2. I arrived at Payratmalai on the 12th December and, pending Mr. Douglas' arrival, explored the country and opened a line along the water-shed westward where it was unlikely to be disputed.

3. Mr. Douglas joined me on January 5th, and we went over the line and completed it to Punnemadokuttu, the course being nearly as follows. Beginning from a point near Payratmalai where the drainage was into the Vellal valley, the line ran nearly S.W. by west to Payratmalai peak (7,400 feet) and on to Chenmalai (7,100 feet), nearly corresponding with the map line, but from this there was a great deviation, the water-shed taking a sharp turn north to the side of Corampara peak (7,900 feet) and thence S.W. to Currymalai (6,100 feet). From Currymalai the water-shed still continued S.W., but north of the direction given in the map, and passing over the Punnemadok peak (4,050 feet) descended in a southerly

direction to the tri-junction point out Punnemadok (2,750 feet), the distance from point of starting being 22 miles 555 feet. From the tri-junction point near Payratmalai the Unginad boundary stream—the Chemaur or Kodandoor river—was followed N.N.E. from its source as on the map, and a line cut to a point opposite Pullyer village of Kodandoor, a distance of 10 miles 1,114 feet. From this point, as the boundary of Coimbatore, Travancore is very clearly defined on the map by the Kodandoor river, its junction with the Pambaur, and thence up the Pambaur to its junction with the Atheyai, and again along the Athey odai to the tri-junction point of Coimbatore, Madura, and Travancore at Chemboomalai, a knife line was not opened, but the boundaries as given in the map were accepted by both of us. The whole distance of the line from Punnemadokuttu to the Travancore Coimbatore, and Madura tri-junction may be estimated as follows:—

	MILES.	FEET.
From near Payratmalai to Punnemadokuttu (the tri-junction of Coimbatore, Cochin, and Travancore)	22	555
From point near Payratmalai to Kodandoor	10	1,114
From Kodandoor to junction of Pambaur thence to junction of Athey odai and up the Athey odai to junction of Coimbatore, Madura, and Travancore about	13	0
Total	45	1,669

4. Mr. Gompertz met Mr. Douglas and myself on the 1st instant, and we together settled Chemboomalai as the tri-junction point of Coimbatore, Madura, and Travancore.

5. In connection with the portion demarcated to Punnemadokuttu from near Payratmalai, I have to remark that the deviation from the map line near Udamunthund and Corumpara, about five to six square miles. The water-shed is very clear, while the map is very inaccurate. Near Punnemadokuttu where a nulla of at least ten miles a course is shown (called the Colla river) only a small stream represents it with at most a course of three miles.

6. Mr. Douglas and myself waited at Udamunthund till the 20th January for Mr. Stevenson, but owing to his non-arrival had to decide the tri-junction point at Punnemadokuttu without him.

7. I am at present engaged on the demarcation of the Madura Travancore line, and on its completion for the season will report full particulars.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. C. WINSOM,
for Collector.

As the Collector of Coimbatore reports his inability to furnish a sketch map illustrating the boundary between Coimbatore and Travancore agreed upon by Messrs. Douglas and Munro, the Superintendent, Revenue Survey, will be requested to furnish one as soon as possible, the matter being unintelligible without a map showing the alternative lines.

(True Copies and Extract.)

Sub-Secretary.

To the Superintendent of Revenue Survey, with copy of enclosure.

Copy to the Collector of Coimbatore.

„ to the Resident of Travancore and Cochin.

„ to the Conservator of Forests.

„ to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Ed. W. J. Lewis.

Rev 1877. Sept
Mar 1884
**Board of Revenue,
MADRAS.**

PROCEEDINGS, 2ND MARCH 1877, No. 976.

Copy 22.01.1884

Encl.

14 L. the R. Revenue 4-4-77
4-4-77
Extract of Order

MARCH.
No. 976.

Boundary—between Coimbatore and Travancore agreed upon by Messrs. Douglas and Munro.—Requesting Superintendent of Revenue Survey to furnish a sketch map illustrating—

10-13-21

Recorded

4-4-77

27.3.77

1092