Scott. I (i)-I—10,006—7-10-78.

(1) Number of pages 12

(2) Date of repair 4.9.72.

(3) Initials of the Referencer

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1159A Press
B.P. No. Mis.

Dated 20.3.1877 197 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
20th March 1877.

Famine Relief.

No. 637-A. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 1st March 1877, No. 956 :—

Read the following letter from R. DAVIDSON, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 17th February 1877, No. 155 :—

With reference to Board's Proceedings, No. 3,264, dated 22nd December, I have the honor to forward three estimates* with plans received from the District Engineer for the roads referred to therein with an extract from his letter, No. 75, dated 14th instant, in which he explains the cause of the estimated cost per mile being so high.

* To be returned.

Enclosure No. 1.

From W. A. GOODCHAP, Esq., District Engineer, Kurnool, to R. DAVIDSON, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, dated 14th February 1877, No. 75.

With reference to your letter, No. 1,516, dated 29th December 1876, and the Revenue Board's Proceedings, No. 442, of the 22nd December, I have the honor to forward three estimates, &c., for the roads marginally noted.

Road from Chintalakunta to Canal Bridge, No. 59, length 1½ miles.
Road from Lingadinne to Canal Bridge, No. 61, length ½ a mile.
Road from Patakondakur to Canal Bridge, No. 62, length 900 y[illegible]

2. It will be seen that the estimated amount [illegible] process of the approximate estimate in one instance, [illegible] less, as follows :—

	Pucka Estimate.	Approximate.
	Rs.	Rs.
Road from Chintalakunta to Canal Bridge No. 59, length 1½ miles	4,250	3,000
Road from Lingadinne to Canal Bridge No. 61, length ½ a mile	1,380	1,500
Road from Patakondakur to Canal Bridge No. 62, length 900 yards	1,505	2,000

3. The causes of the cost per mile being so high is owing—

1*st*.—Having to raise the road over low ground.
2*nd*.—The distance of quarries from the roads proposed.
3*rd*.—The extra thickness and nature of metalling required over soft cotton soil.

* * * *

(True Extract.)

(Signed) V. RAGAVENDRA ROW,
Temporary Special Deputy Collector,
for Acting Collector.

Enclosures Nos. 2 to 4.—Estimates with Plans.

UNDER the explanation furnished the estimates are sanctioned as follows:—

			Rs.
Road from Chintalakunta to Canal Bridge		No. 59, 1½ miles ...	4,250
Do.	Lingadinne to	do. No. 61, ½ a mile...	1,380
Do.	Patakondakur to	do. No. 62, 900 yards...	1,505
		Total ...	7,135

2. The plans and estimates are herewith returned.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Secretary.

No. 637-B. ORDER THEREON, 20th March 1877, No. 1,159-A.

Miscellaneous.

RECORDED.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. H. GARSTIN,
Additional Secretary to Governm

Govt. of {1877} Madras.

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated March, 20th.

Rcd.

Encl.

G.O., 20th March 1877, No. 1,132-A.

MARCH.

Nos. 637A-637B.

FAMINE RELIEF, No. 784-A.

Certain roads in the Kurnool District.—Recording Board's Proceedings sanctioning the estimates for—aggregating Rupees 7,135.

1139A/3

Memo:

With reference to the remark of this Govt the President the undersigned has the honor to point out that according to the Chief Engineer's (vide Bungalow Conf.ce 22nd Dec.r 76 3d Rep.t of 4th Jan.y 77) the 3 roads are only 1/2, 1/2 & 3/4 of a mile long respectively together the letter from the Dist. Engr. of the 16th Feb.y the most expensive of the 3 roads is 1 1/2 miles long.

Still it seems as if

The roads are proposed
more in the interests
of the Canal Comp.
than of any one else.
The amount of labour
likely to be employed
on any one is too
large.

The papers are
returned herewith for
your
10/3/77

Perusal. But
I think they will be
by no means as
favourable to the
Canal Bill as
the Chairman
& Directors -
I don't see how
he can object to
Canal - I don't
see that it is in the
interest of the Canal
that they are designed
It is immaterial to
the Canal Co. whether
the Railways have
facilities for working

The Chairman will see
it is my intention to
withdraw. I do not think
the Directors will sanction
their

L.S.

Enclosure

Revenue Department

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 1st March 1877.

Read the following

No. 155.

From The Ag Collector Kurnool

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

(Financial Revenue No. 1870)

Sir

With reference to Board's Proceedings
1877 No. 9264 dated 22d December, I have the ho-
(To be returned) nor to forward 3 estimates with plans
received from the District Engineer for
the roads referred to therein, with an extract
from his letter No. 75 dated 14th Instant,
in which he explains the Cause of the Esti-
mated Cost per mile being so high.

I have the honor &c

Kurnool Collector's Office
17th February 1877

1877 V Jaffanada Row
Temp Asst & Collector
for Ag Collector.

Enclosure

Revenue

Board

Cir 1080

GO 20 March/77 No 1154

C 12-3-77 R 19-3-77

Enclosure

District Engineer's
Office Kurnool
14th February 1877.

No 75

From The District Engineer
Kurnool

To The Collector of Kurnool

Sir

With reference to your letter
No 1576. dated 29th December 1876 and
the Revenue Board's Proceedings No. 442
of the 22d December I have the honor to
forward three estimates &c as detailed &
marginally noted.

2. It will be seen that the Estimated
amount Calculated on data, is in excess
of the approximate estimate in one instance
and in two cases it is less as follows.

	Data Estimate	Approximate
Road from Chintalakunta to Canal bridge No 59. Length 1½ mile	4250	3000
Road from Linga diman to Canal bridge No 61 Length ½ mile	1380	1500
Road from Patakondakur to Canal bridge No 62 Length 900 yards	1505	2000
	7135	6500

The

Road from Chintalakunta to Canal bridge No 59 length 1½ mile
" — do — do — No 61 length ½ mile
" — do — do — No 62 length 900 yards
" Linga diman to Canal bridge No 61 length ½ mile
" Patakondakur to Canal bridge No 62 length 900 yards

1876/6

3. The Causes of the Cost per mile being so high is owing

1st Having to raise the road over low ground.

2d. The distance of quarries, from the roads proposed.

3d The extra thickness and nature of Metalling required over soft Cotton soil.

× × × ×

(True Extract)
(Sgd) V Rajavardhan Rao
Esqr Asst. to Collector
for of Collector.

under the explanation furnished the Estimates are contained as follows.

Road from Chintalakunta to Canal bridge No 59 1½ mile . .	4250
do. Linga diman to Canal bridge No 61 ½ Mile	1380
do. Patakondakur to Canal bridge No 62 900 yards	1505
	7135

2. The plans & Estimates are herewith returned.

(True Copy & Extract) (Sgd) H E Stokes
Asst to Collector

To The Collector of Kurnool
(True Copy) H Elvokes
Secretary

To The Additional Secretary to Government R.D.

Canal
R.D.

The Board of Revenue

Dated 1st March 1877.

Sanctioning the Estimates, under the Explanation furnished, for the road referred to in Bd's Pro: No 3264 d/ 22 Dec. 76 &c

G.O. 20 March/77 No. 1159 A

No. 1167 A

20 March 1877

(5-3-77) R 7-3-77 (R.C 12-3-77) (R 19-3-77)