

(1) Number of pages 6
(2) Date of repair 30.8.1972
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	<u>1141</u>	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	<u>19.3.1877</u>	197 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

8/

1141/37

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
19th March 1877.*

No. 601. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 13th March 1877:—

Read the following letter from A. J. STUART, Esq., Acting Collector of Tinnevely, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 19th February 1877, No. 123.

With reference to the Board's Proceedings, Miscellaneous No. 3,682, dated 5th May 1876, I have the honor to state that the Original Suit No. 51 of 1875 on the file of the Tinnevely Sub-Court therein referred to has been decreed in plaintiff's favor.

2. Copies of decree and judgment are herein enclosed, from which you will observe that the first defendant's (Sub-Collector's) costs have been ordered to be paid by the second defendant.

3. Steps have been taken for the collection of costs awarded.

4. I request you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of the Board for payment of Vakil's fee, amounting to Rs. 129-10-3.

SUBMITTED to Government with reference to G.O., dated 3rd May 1876, No. 571.

2. Certain property registered in the name of Kuttalam Chetty, deceased, was attached for arrears of abkari revenue due by second defendant on the assumption that it belonged to him as the adopted son of the deceased. One Vilanadan filed a suit for the removal of the attachment alleging that he and not the second defendant is Kuttalam Chetty's adopted son. The suit has been decided in plaintiff's favor. Application has been made for the costs of Government which are to be paid by second defendant, but meanwhile the Collector requests sanction for payment of the Vakil's fees. The Board recommend that payment of the amount, Rupees one hundred and twenty-nine, Annas ten, and Pies three (129-10-3), be sanctioned.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Secretary.

No. 602. ORDER THEREON, 19th March 1877, No. 1,141.

Miscellaneous.

SANCTIONED Rs. 129-10-3.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Offg. Chief Secretary.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Accountant-General.

Exd. A. Grubert.

Collection

Revenue Department

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Dated 13th March 1877

Read the following

No. 123.

From A. J. Stuart Esquire
Collector

To H. E. Stokes Esquire
Secretary to the Board of
Revenue Madras.

Sir
With reference to ^{the} Board's Proceed-
ings Miscellaneous No. 3682 of May
1876, I have the honor to state that the
O.S. No. 51 of 1875 on the file of the Tinnivelly
Sub Court therein referred to has been decreed
in Plaintiff's favour.

2. Copies of decree and judgment are
herewith enclosed from which you will
perceive that the 1st Defendant's
(Subcollector's) costs have been ordered
to be paid by the 2^d Defendant.

3. Steps have been taken for the
Collection

Govt. of (1877) Mysore.

REVENUE.

The BOARD OF REVENUE,

Secy, Secy, Secy,

Dated March, 1877.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O. No. 1914 March 1877, No. 1191

MARCH

No. 601-602.

Original Bill No. 51 of 1875 on file of S.S.
Court of Tinnivelly. - Sanctioning payments of
costs, amounting to Rs. 122.10.8, is -

Collection of Costs awarded.

4. I request you will be good enough
to obtain the sanction of the Board
for payment of Vakils fees amounting
to Rs 129-10-3.

Timmewell }
19th July 1877 }
Sham &c
(per) Affdewar
Aff Collector

Submitted to Govt with reference
D.L.C. of 30 May 1876 No 571

Certain property registered in the
name of Kuttalam Chetty deceased
was attached for arrears of Abkari
revenue due by 2^d Defendant on
the assumption that it belonged
to him as the adopted son of the
deceased. One Vilanadan filed
a suit for the removal of the
attachment alleging that he
and not the 2^d Defendant
is

is. Kuttalam Chetty's adopted son. The
suit has been decided in Plaintiff's
favour. Application has been made
for the Costs of Government which
are to be paid by 2^d Defendant, but
meanwhile the Collector requests sanction
for payment of the Vakils fees. The
Board recommend that payment of
the amount Rs one hundred and
twenty five annas and pice three
/129-10-3/ be sanctioned.
(True Copy and Extract)

W. H. M.
Secretary

To The Secretary to Government R.D.
with Enclosure the returned.

1880. 18/1/29
M^{rs} 19/5/9
Proceed in foot
M^{rs} B. and J. H. B. B.

Adelphi 13th March 1879
Dated 13th March 1879
Attest 14th March 1879
W^m 2nd 1879
S. 22nd 1879

Adm^{rs} 18/1/29
M^{rs} 19/5/9
Proceed in foot
M^{rs} B. and J. H. B. B.
Adelphi 13th March 1879
Dated 13th March 1879
Attest 14th March 1879
W^m 2nd 1879
S. 22nd 1879
Adm^{rs} 18/1/29
M^{rs} 19/5/9
Proceed in foot
M^{rs} B. and J. H. B. B.
Adelphi 13th March 1879
Dated 13th March 1879
Attest 14th March 1879
W^m 2nd 1879
S. 22nd 1879