

- (1) Number of pages 6
(2) Date of repair 19.10.1969
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	980	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	28.4.1876	196

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
29th March 1876.*

Read the following papers :—

No. 477. Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government in the Revenue Department, dated 9th December 1875, No. 1,781.

ABSTRACT.—*Kovoor and Manjeru Junction Canal in Godavery District.*—Transferring, for consideration in the Public Works Department, Proceedings, Board of Revenue, on the proposal to spend certain portion of the balance of the Canal and Ferry Fund in the construction of the first section of the —.

No. 17 W.

No. 477-A. *Note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.*

GOVERNMENT ORDER of the 14th May 1873, No. 1,338, called for information as to whether further inquiry had confirmed the opinion expressed that the Cocanada and Coringa Junction Canal was superfluous as a means of extending irrigation. A reference was made to the District Engineer, and his reply was decided in G.O., No. 2,558, of the 20th October 1873, to be incomplete. The revision of the project for the canal was necessary, and the definite information required was directed to be submitted with the revised estimate.

2. With a letter No. 3,708 of the 13th March 1874, which letter was revised and resubmitted in the following September, revised estimates were sent to this office, and it was then found that, instead of giving the information required, Lieutenant-Colonel Fischer had written a long letter giving his views on the value of the canal, a matter which was not in dispute. What was wanted was the cost of irrigating the land lying to the eastward of the Junction Canal with and without the Junction Canal. The difference between the two would be the value of the land as an irrigation work, and it was intimated to Colonel Fischer that its value to irrigation as a means of conveying produce might be separately taken into account. In lieu of the information

Paragraph 7. which was wanted, this officer proceeds to show that the canal would yield Rs. 15,000 to Rupees 28,400 from irrigation, or $12\frac{1}{2}$ to $23\frac{2}{3}$ per cent. on the amount, Rs. 1,20,000, of his estimate. It is singular that Colonel Fischer should bring forward so fallacious a statement. The water for irrigation has by a separate and large expenditure been already brought to within a short distance of the land, and all that is wanted is an outlay of Rs. 2 to Rs. 3 an acre on distribution works to enable irrigation to be undertaken. The return could not belong to the Junction Canal, but to the Cocanada and Coringa Canals which provide the water.

3. The instructions regarding provision of water-way for cross drainage also had not been properly attended to, and this part of the project needs entire revision. As regards some of the details, arrangements which had been approved in 1870 had been altered, while others, the modification of which was shown to be necessary, had not been altered. The canal, crossing as it does the drainage of about 115 square miles, and having its bed at about ground level, must be designed to suit the circumstances, and the arrangement must be carefully worked out. This had not been done, and the

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
29th March 1876.*

first heavy flood would have caused serious damage to the canal as designed, and probably to the Cocanada and Coringa Canals as well.

4. Further instructions could not at the time be prepared, and they have now been sent to Major Beatty, who will ere long be able to submit a project which may reasonably be expected to secure the advantages desired from the construction of a junction canal.

5. Although the undersigned is not desirous of detracting from the value of this canal, it is necessary not to let Colonel Fischer's exaggerated statements pass unchallenged. The lands to be irrigated and the delta generally will benefit by an additional means of water communication, and the salt trade and revenue may also be materially benefited, but no one would suppose from that officer's letter that there are already two canals only 9 miles apart, that the lands to the eastward of the Junction Canal are nowhere 5 miles from the Coringa river, and that there are creeks which bring water communication very near to much of this small area. The project has sufficient merit, it is believed, not to need any exaggerated evidence in its favor, and Colonel Fischer has hitherto done much to interfere with its execution by devoting his time and attention to irrelevant matters, and neglecting to look to its essential requirements.

6. The points in connection with this project referred for consideration in G.O., Revenue Department, No. 1,781, of the 9th December last are,—

1st.—The proposal to spend about Rupees 40,000 out of an unappropriated balance of Rupees 62,160 of the Canal and Ferry Fund on the part of the junction canal between the Cocanada Canal and the Penuguduru Salt-pans on the Cholangi river.

2ndly.—Whether as the canal will produce an increase of revenue from irrigation of Rupees 15,000, a share of this should not be paid to the Canal and Ferry Fund to recoup it for the cost of the work.

3rdly.—The Board of Revenue recommend a contribution from Imperial Funds on account of the salt-pans and extension of irrigation.

4thly.—A light toll is suggested, although the boats may be licensed to navigate the Delta Canals.

7. The order under which the proposition for applying the surplus Canal and Ferry Fund to this canal has been brought forward, the undersigned has not seen, but it would appear that the orders* which

G.O., 12th February 1875, No. 308.

* G.O., 15th August 1871, " 2,261.

" 9th October " " 2,892.

contemplate the appropriation of probably as much of this money as can be made available to canal ferries have been lost sight of. Grants have been proposed in the

† Paragraph 3 of orders on the Budget in Budget for ferries, but these have been disallowed† pending a report on the question of the liability of Agricultural

Funds to bear the charge for multiplying communications across the Delta Canals.

G.O., No. 95, dated 17th January 1876.

Estimates for ferries in the Kistna are awaiting disposal with reference to the same question of appropriation of Canal and Ferry Fund assets. The undersigned has

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
29th March 1876.*

ence for one object more than another as a recipient of these funds in the y, but they will not suffice for both.

It has already been explained that the junction canal, if used as a distribut- have no claim to the irrigation revenue resulting. The most it would be to would be the saving on distribution works, which would result from its use or distributary. This saving would probably not exceed Rupees 12,000, and and very likely would, be much less.

The benefit to the salt revenue arising from the junction canal has been hinted at but not estimated. There is nothing in the figures of past salt sales from which any deduction can be made, but

Madras Government, 20th October No. 2,558.

perhaps be safely assumed that facilities of conveyance would make it worth- Government to spend half a lakh of Rupees, or with charges three-fourths of a divalent to Rupees 3,750 a year, on providing an outlet for salt from which e of about 5 lakhs has been obtained under unfavorable circumstances. A ll increase in salt sales would pay the interest on the investment. The tlay on the lock and on the improvement of the Cholangi river, works which

Colonel Fischer's Estimate,	rs.
Cholangi river	18,745
...	22,000
...	40,745

are exclusively for the benefit of the salt pans, will probably not fall much short of Rupees 15,000, so that Rupees 50,000 for works would not be a very liberal contribution from Imperial Funds under salt.

The undersigned deprecates the levy of a toll however light. Were it at portion to the charges made for navigation of the delta canals generally, it so light as to be not worth collecting. The junction canal will be 9 miles of the length of the canals generally. It may well be thrown into the navigation.

CHETIAUR,
29th March 1876.

(Signed) JOHN MULLINS, Lt.-Col., R.E.,
Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

ORDER THEREON, 29th March 1876, No. 801.

IRRIGATION, No. 47.

It appears from the foregoing remarks that a decision has first to be given as to whether Canal and Ferry Fund

monies are to be made available for the construction of additional ferries on Canals. If so, it seems to be improbable that there will be any balance left Junction canal. The papers will accordingly be referred to the Revenue for a settlement of this question.

In that department also it can be decided what contribution, if any, will be towards the construction of the canal from Imperial Funds under salt.

The contribution from Agricultural Funds is not, it will be seen, likely to exceed Rupees 12,000, and it may be found to be much less, as that sum is a rough estimate of the cost of distribution without a canal, and with the latter there would be cost of distribution below or eastward of the canal to be provided for.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
29th March 1876.*


4. The project not having been properly prepared by Lieutenant-Colonel Fischer in 1874 has to be revised. That officer's estimate for the 9 miles of canal and for the lock into the Cholangi river with the improvement of the latter down to the Penuguduru Salt-pans was Rupees 1,20,000. Additional water-way is believed to be required for cross drainage, and the total of the estimate will probably be considerably increased. Of the above amount Rupees 40,740 are exclusively for works for the benefit of the salt-pans. Establishment and other charges will add about 50 per cent. to the *works* estimate, and it may be assumed for present purposes that—

The junction canal proper will cost 1 lakh + $\frac{1}{2}$ lakh = $1\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs.

The lock and Cholangi river will cost $\frac{1}{2}$ „ + $\frac{1}{4}$ „ = $\frac{3}{4}$ „
 $\frac{2\frac{1}{4}}$ „

And possibly on this information it can be settled whether funds for the commencement of the work will be forthcoming when the revision of the project has been completed.

(True Extract.)


Major-General, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the District Engineer, Godavery.
„ Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
„ Revenue Department. ✓

Ed. E. C. Shanker.

17 1/2 are Copies

Rev. Defrant
Supt. of (1870) Mysore.

PUBLIC WORKS.

No 1484

To

THE DISTRICT ENGINEER,

GODAVERY.

cc., &c., &c.

No 980

Communicated
to the Board of Rev.
for report on the
points indicated
in the foregoing order

Dated March 2nd.

By

Rev. 1221/10

By

G. O. No. 1870, March 1870, No. 101.

28 April 1871

MARCH.

No. 477-478

14. To the Board of Rev. for 1871

General and District Engineer, Godavery.
General District Engineer, Godavery.
By the Chief Engineer for Information on
Proceedings of the Revenue Department
relative to the

No 980 28 April 1871

L. H. Over

DEPARTMENT