

(1) Number of pages 4
(2) Date of repair 25-4-76
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue, DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	950	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated.	25-4-1876	796

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No 78

Revenue Board Office
Income Department
Madras 12th April 1876

From

The Income Commissioner

To
The Secretary to Government

Revenue Department

Fort Saint George

Sir,

With reference to G. O. dated 28th March 1876 No 435, I have the honor to state that the Indians in each of the four villages referred to by the collector were enjoyed both by Subbammal and Venukammal and they were accordingly confirmed in the names of both the individuals, with this difference, that in two villages a separate Title Deed was issued to each of the

(3) Initials of the Referencer

(2) Date of receipt

(1) Number of pages

the two sharers for their respective shares while in the other two villages a joint Title Deed was issued in the names of both the individuals— The Collector is wrong in stating that the Inams in two villages were registered in the sole name of Subbammal.

2. It is not however quite clear why separate Title Deeds were granted in two villages while a joint Title Deed was granted in the other two; but from the remark made in one of the registers it appears that separate Title Deeds were granted where both the parties appeared and claimed them while a joint Deed was granted where only one of them appeared and claimed joint registration probably with a view of establishing some right of survivorship. The Inam Commission as a rule never granted

Separate

Separate Title Deeds to sharers and as the parties claimed them and the lands had been actually divided.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your Most Obedt. Servant

S. S. S. S.
Inam Commissioner

