

(1) Number of pages 4

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	917	~~Press~~
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	22-4-1876	197

Not to be folded or roughly handled

11/1/79

917

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
27th March 1876.*

No. 429. Read the following endorsement of the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Camp at Villapooram, 9th March 1876, No. 10-W. :—

From Major J. N. HUNTER, R.E., District Engineer, Salem, to Lieutenant-Colonel J. MULLINS, R.E., Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Salem, 24th February 1876, No. 100.

8 Plans.
2 Estimates.
2 Comparative Statements.
2 Specifications.
1 Irrigation Statement.

With reference to your memorandum, No. 1,230, dated 18th October 1875, I have the honor to resubmit the plans and estimates for the Chinnakistnapuram Tank duly revised.

SUBMITTED to Government.

In G.O., No. 259, of the 26th January 1872, the Acting Superintending Engineer, 5th Division, was called upon to report by whom the bund and revetment of the Chinnakistnapuram Tank sanctioned in G.O. No. 3,015 of 1868 were designed, approved, inspected, and constructed, and whether the work was actually constructed on the sanctioned design.

2. In May 1873, being at Salem, the undersigned made inquiries about this project, with which no progress had been made for a long time, and the results were given in the memorandum as per margin.*

* No. 333-A., 31st March 1875, paragraph 18.
Proceedings of the Madras Government,
No. 1,431, 25th May 1875.

Paragraph 19 do.

A tour was however made in the district at the end of 1874 and beginning of 1875, and, after inspecting the tank, some modifications of arrangements tending to reduce cost were made.

3. In a letter, No. —, of the 19th March 1875, the District Engineer, Salem, transmitted, among other documents, a comparative statement providing for the completion of the tank in the manner determined upon in May 1873, but without the modifications found practicable and desirable in December 1874. The total estimated cost was

Comparative Statement, dated 3rd June 1874.

Rupees 11,330 against the original estimate of Rupees 8,074. Major Hunter

also, with reference to the Government Order of 1872, supplied information as to the history of the project, but he has not reported on the important point of the correspondence or otherwise of the revetment as executed with that sanctioned. However the inquiries made in 1873 show that the revetment as sanctioned was to have had a slope of 1 to 1, and that it had been built with a slope of $\frac{1}{4}$ to 1, and the responsibility for this change should have been determined. All that is stated is that Captain Hindle was the Range Officer from the commencement of the work until February 1871, the expenditure to March 1871 having been Rupees 4,450; that Mr. Lawford was afterwards in charge of the range and expended Rupees 532 on a contract certificate furnished by Mr. Sub-Engineer Blacker; and that, there being no inspection reports of the Superintending Engineer in his office, the District Engineer was unable to say when the work was inspected by the officers who had charge of the division.

4. The further revision of the estimate has led to the reduction of the total to

G.O., No. 1,431, dated 25th May 1875.

Rupees 10,110, and Government having approved of the completion of the tank,

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
27th March 1876.*

the revised estimate may be sanctioned, and the work should be completed before the next north-east monsoon. Apparently the sum required for expenditure is—

[illegible]

The amount provided in the Budget of 1876-77 for this work is Rupees 5,000.

(Signed) JOHN MULLINS, Lt.-Col., R.E.,
Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

No. 430.

ORDER THEREON, 27th March 1876, No. 776.

IRRIGATION, No. 41.

Account.

THE revised estimate of Rs. 10,110 for the Chinnakistnapuram Tank, Salem District, is sanctioned in lieu of that for Rupees 8,074 sanctioned in G.O.

No. 3,015, of the 23rd September 1868. for Rupees 8,074 sanctioned in G.O., this work being Rupees 5,000, and the provision in the Budget of 1876-77 for 1876 being Rupees 6,752, the sum of Rupees 1,642 provided in excess of the amount required will be held at the disposal of Government.

2. It is noticed that the investigation made in connection with G.O., No. 259, of the 26th January 1872, is incomplete. The District Engineer had ample time to refer to the South Arcot District for information derivable from the Superintending Engineer's inspection reports; and, as to the authority for the change in the slope of the revetment, he could have communicated with Captain Hindle if there was nothing on record in the Salem Range Office on the subject.

Irrigation, Capital, Ordinary Works—Chinnakistnaparam
Tank, amount Rupees 10,110.
To be carried out by the Officer in charge of the Salem
Range.

3. This sanction has been registered as Irrigation No. 69-I. of 1875-76. The tank will be placed in Class III, and the whole expenditure upon it will be charged to Capital, Ordinary.

(True Extract.)

To the District Engineer, Salem.
 „ Collector of Salem.
 „ Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
 „ Examiner of Public Works Accounts,
 „ Revenue Department.

Exd. J. W. Fischer.

1/4 Spare Copies

Gobt. of {1876} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

A. 1482

Recd. 12th April.

Nos. 429-430

Communicated to
Board of Revenue

2d April 1876

North West