

- (1) Number of pages 4
(2) Date of repair 11.4.86
(3) Initials of the Referencer lmb

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU
Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

806

Press.
Mis.

Dated

3.4.1876

197 .

gda
Not to be folded or roughly handled

Read

No. 303. From Captain R. F.
Lieutenant-Colonel J. I.
Trichinopoly, 1st October

A suit having been brought
Perambalur Munsif's Court for
limits of Tirumalawady, Udaiya
the Government Vakeel may be

2 The Board of Revenue in
direct that the rights of Government
tained, and that claimants thereto should
gay now claimed by the Trustees of the
the rest of the Tirumalawady padugay

No. 304. From Major J. VERT
Lieutenant-Colonel J. MULL
Trichinopoly, 7th February 1

With reference to your memorandum
honor to report the nanul in question has
and that the padugay along the north
taken charge of by that Range Officer
conum Range Officer had charge at
1872-73. The padugay has been

2. From a letter of the District
ber 1874, it would appear that the Co
the Trustees of Tirumalawady Deva
Tirumalawady and Aramanacoorchy, 1

3. Under these circumstances I
the case in their behalf be obtained.

No. 305. ORDER TH

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 18.

Code, Chapter XIII.

a translation of the plan
a draft answer,
a report in which all the

2. On inquiry made by the Chi

No. 1,174, 8th October 1875.

the Coleroon survey forwarded; but M
what is the portion of the padugay lan

rs—

District Engineer, Trichinopoly, to
Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated

No. III. Range Officer in the
piece of nanul padugay in the
have the honor to request that
the case.

No. 3,142, dated 17th June 1861,
eds of navigable rivers are to be main-
rred to the Court of Law. The padu-
wady Pagoda has been rented out with
ance with these instructions.

District Engineer, Trichinopoly, to
Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated
1895.

174, of 8th October 1875, I have the
out by this department in 1874-75,
eroon Wodiarpoliem Range has been
vious to this it appears the Comba-
to the separation of the district in
expense of Government.

anore, No. 1,947, of 13th Novem-
ichinopoly has brought to notice that
o planted nanul in the padugays of
ned all claim to the land in question.
sanction of the Government to defend

a 1876, No. 712.

is observed that the District
eer, Trichinopoly, has sent up this
n an altogether irregular manner.
rules require the submission of

nces of the case are to be fully detailed.
er for Irrigation as to the facts, the
ict Engineer's second letter was
en, and a tracing of the locality from
e has omitted to indicate in any way

Proceedings of the Madras

3. Again, from the first lot
Pagoda are the plaintiffs in the
Trustees have at some time or

4. The District Engineer
fail to make the circumstances

5. The case seems to be
ary 1876, No. 395, was passed
has been at some time in con-
padugay, be unable to settle the
to the Government Pleader for the

(Signed

Public Works Department,

Trustees of the Tirumalawady
after it is mentioned that these
to the land in question.

and in doing so he can hardly
definite orders to be passed.

which G.O. of the 14th Febru-

Trichinopoly, who apparently
trustees with reference to this
y, if necessary, be handed over
in anticipation of further orders.

WALKER, Major-General, R.E.,

Secretary to Government.

To the District Engineer, Trichinopoly
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation
" Collector of Trichinopoly
" Revenue Department
" Judicial

Exd. S. T. Austin.

Spare Copies

806
2

Encl. Department
No. 1338.

Publ. of 1876: Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

THE DISTRICT ENGINEER
TIRUCHENAI.
TO THE DISTRICT ENGINEER
TIRUCHENAI.

Dated March 1876.

To the

3^d April Encl.

G. O. 1876 No. 712.

MARCH.

Nos 303-305

For—Issuing instructions for the defence
of the site brought against the Public Works
Officers by the Trustees of the Thirumala Swayam
Prasada for the recovery of certain land in
the Thiruchendur District, and noticing the
irregular manner in which the case has been
conducted.

18806

Curran & Mitchell

24th