

(1) Number of Pages.....12
(2) Date of repair 24-12-68
(3) Initials of the Referencer 2/4/69

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 62
~~B.P. No.~~ Press
~~Mis.~~

Dated 14-1-1876 196 .

8

Not to be folded or roughly handled

62
1

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
14th January 1876.

No. 126. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th November 1875, No. 3,091:—

Read the following letter from R. DAVIDSON, Esq., Acting Collector of the Ganjam District, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Berhampore, 2nd October 1875, No. 2,147:—

WITH reference to the Board's endorsement, dated 7th July last, on the Tarla Zemindar's Petitions, Nos. 839 and 1,270 of 1875 (herewith returned), I have the honor to inform you that the petitioner's statement that the quit-rent of the village of Rajam is entered in the permanent settlement accounts as Rupees 224-1-8 is correct.

2. In their Order, dated 30th May 1865, Government directed that the accumulated quit-rent then due to the Zemindar on account of the Rajam Village should be refunded to him.

3. The proper amount that ought to have been refunded to the petitioner according to this order would appear to have been Rupees 2,689-4-0, that being the accumulation for the period of twelve years from Fasli 1263 to Fasli 1274, during which time the village was in the hands of Government, at the rate of Rupees 224-1-8 per annum, which is the amount entered in the permanent settlement accounts, and which appears to have been the quit-rent and not the full assessment; (*vide* papers recorded in the Government Order above quoted).

4. It seems, however, that only half of this amount, *viz.*, Rupees 1,344-10-0, was refunded to the petitioner out of the amount then in deposit; *vide* enclosed translations of Mr. Foord's Order No. 41, to the Huzur Cashkeeper, and Farman No. 10, to the Tarla Zemindar, both dated 6th July 1865. I cannot now discover any reason for this short payment.

5. As far as can at present be seen, therefore, the petitioner's claim to the remaining sum of Rupees 1,344-10-0 appears to be indisputable.

—
ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 and 2.—Petitions.

—
ENCLOSURE No. 3.—Translation of Mr. Foord's Order to Huzur Cashkeeper.

—
ENCLOSURE No. 4.—Translation of Mr. Foord's Farman to Tarla Zemindar.

THE Zemindar of Tarla claims a sum of Rupees 1,344-10-0 alleged to be the balance of quit-rent due on the village of Rajam, restored under G.O., dated 30th May 1865, No. 1,152.

2. It appears from the report of the Collector that the sum which should have been refunded to the Zemindar amounts to Rupees 2,689-4-0, but that by some oversight only Rupees 1,344-10-0 were paid.

3. As the Zemindar appears to be entitled to the sum claimed, the sanction of Government is requested for the payment.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed)

C. A. GALTON,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
14th January 1876.*

No. 127.

ORDER THEREON, 14th January 1876, No. 62.

Land Revenue, No. 2.

SANCTIONED.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

W. HUDLESTON,
Chief Secretary.

To the Board of Revenue,
the Accountant-General.

Encl. W. H. French.

No. 3,091.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 11th November 1875.

Read the following letter from R. DAVIDSON, Esq., Acting Collector of the Ganjam District, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Berhampore, 2nd October 1875, No. 2,147:—

With reference to the Board's endorsement, dated 7th July last, on the Tarla Zemindar's Petitions, Nos. 839 and 1,270 of 1875 (herewith returned), I have the honor to inform you that the petitioner's statement that the quit-rent of the village of Rajam is entered in the permanent settlement accounts as Rupees 224-1-8 is correct.

2. In their Order, dated 30th May 1865, Government directed that the accumulated quit-rent then due to the Zemindar on account of the Rajam Village should be refunded to him.

3. The proper amount that ought to have been refunded to the petitioner according to this order would appear to have been Rupees 2,689-4-0, that being the accumulation for the period of twelve years from Fasli 1263 to Fasli 1,274, during which time the village was in the hands of Government, at the rate of Rupees 224-1-8 per annum, which is the amount entered in the permanent settlement accounts, and which appears to have been the quit-rent and not the full assessment; (*vide* papers recorded in the Government Order above quoted).

4. It seems, however, that only half of this amount, *viz.*, Rupees 1,344-10-0, was refunded to the petitioner out of the amount then in deposit; *vide* enclosed translations of Mr. Foord's Order No. 41, to the Huzur Cashkeeper, and Farman No. 10, to the Tarla Zemindar, both dated 6th July 1865. I cannot now discover any reason for this short payment.

5. As far as can, at present be seen, therefore, the petitioner's claim to the remaining sum of Rupees 1,344-10-0 appears to be indisputable.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 and 2.—Petitions.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.—Translation of Mr. Foord's Order to Huzur Cashkeeper.

ENCLOSURE No. 4.—Translation of Mr. Foord's Farman to Tarla Zemindar.

The Zemindar of Tarla claims a sum of Rupees 1,344-10-0 alleged to be the balance of quit-rent due on the village of Rajam, restored under G.O., dated 30th May 1865, No. 1,152.

2. It appears from the report of the Collector that the sum which should have been refunded to the Zemindar amounts to Rupees 2,689-4-0, but that by some oversight only Rupees 1,344-10-0 were paid.

3. As the Zemindar appears to be entitled to the sum claimed, the sanction of Government is requested for the payment.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with four enclosures, including two Petitions, to be returned.
Copy to the Acting Collector of Ganjam.

Exd. W. H. French.

Govt. of 1876
REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated January, 14th.

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 14th January 1876, No. 62.

JANUARY.
Nos. 126-127.

Rajam Village in Ganjam District transferred to the Zemindar of Tarla.—Sanctioning the payment of Rupees 1,344-10-0 alleged to be the balance of quit-rent due on — for the period it was under the Collector's management.

Revenue Dept^{re}

Note on Board's Proceedings 11th Nov 1875. No. 3091

The village referred to was a Mohassa held subject to the payment of a Kattubadi or quit-rent to the Zemindar. It was resumed and sold by a former Zemindar, along with two other similar villages, to another party, but then, on the death of the Zemindar,

the

6-0306/11/15-1875-27

No 4445

Revenue 1875. No. 3091

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

Proceedings, 11th November 1875, No. 3,091.

20/11/1875

Encl. No. 2

Received

11/11/1875

NOVEMBER.

No. 3,091.

Kojam Village—restored in 1865 to the Zemindar of Peta in Ganjam District.—Requering sanction of Government for the payment of Rupees 1,344-10-0 alleged to be the balance of quit-rent due on — for the period it was under Collector's management.

Sanctioned

(Signed) 11/11/75

11/11/1875

6-0306/11/15-1875-27

The estate came under for-
management on behalf of his
minor successor, all the three
villages were attached by the
Col^l on account of the
disputed validity of their
alienation.

2 Subsequently, the
two villages last mentioned
were restored to the purchaser * G.O. 26 July 1853 N^o 855.
on life tenure; but the third
now in question was withheld,
because it was found on
inquiry, that possession of it
had been obtained by improper
means. Eventually it was
made

made over to the Zemindar,
who had in the meantime
obtained his majority, with
so much of the mesne
profits as constituted the
Khatubadi of the village,
which alone had been taken
into account in the determi-
nation of the Peshkash
& to which alone, therefore,
the Zemindar had strictly
a claim of right. With
respect to the rest of the
mesne profits, the for-
* observed that the excess
income beyond the quitrents
which

* G.O. 30 May 1865 N^o 1152

which is now conceded to him is given by the Govt. for the future as an act of grace.

3. The payment thus made to the Zemindar on account of the Kattubadi share of the mesone profits, for the period of twelve years during which the village remained under Govt. management, was Rs 1,344-10-4, while it ought to have been double of that amount at Rs 224-1-8 per annum, which is the annual

annual Kattubadi of the village as shown by the ¹²/₆ permanent settlement accounts

4. The Zemindar now claims the difference - The C.W. says that he cannot discover the reason for the short payment, & that, as far as can be at present seen, the Petitioner's claim to the difference, i.e. Rupees 1,344-10-4, appears to be indisputable - The Board support the claim.

Red Seal

Note

Balance of quit rent
due to the Zamindar
of Tala in Gangam,
on account of a village
retained under Govt.
management and
eventually restored to
him.