

(1) Number of Pages.....12
(2) Date of repair.....10.4.69
(3) Initials of the Referencer.....*[Signature]*

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.
B.P. No.

625

Press
Mis.

Dated 16.5.1876. 196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

625
1

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
16th May 1876.*

No. 142. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 2nd May 1876, No. 1,154:—

Read the following letter from W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Palmanair, 8th April 1876, No. 163.

WITH reference to the Proceedings of Government, Revenue Department, dated 23rd ultimo, No. 411, desiring to know whether the map prepared in the Settlement Department in accordance with Mr. Sullivan's final award cannot help in deciding the present boundary dispute between the village of Chinnigaripalli of the Mulbagal Taluq of the Mysore Province, and that of Ramasamudram in the Punganur Zemindari of this district, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of the letter of the Deputy Commissioner of Kolar, dated 9th November last, No. 1,452-902, from which the Board will perceive that the present is altogether a different dispute wholly unconnected with those settled by Mr. Sullivan.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From B. KRISHNIENGAR, Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, to W. S. WHITESIDE, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, dated Kolar, 9th November 1875, No. 1,452-902:—

I HAVE the honor to bring to your notice that the ryots of Chennigaripalli village of the Mulbagal Taluq of this district complain to me that Potel Ramegowdah and others of the marginally-noted villages of the Punganur Zemindari enter into their village in large bodies armed with weapons, ill-treat them and seize their cattle, claiming even their cultivated lands as belonging to their villages, and that they have commenced practising such oppressions since the Ragagundlahalli claim has been settled in their favor. This is the first time I have heard of any other boundary dispute existing between the Mysore Government and the Punganur Zemindari, except the one connected with the Ragagundlahalli Hill already settled by Mr. Sullivan, *vide* Proceedings of the Madras Government in the Revenue Department, No. 1,104, of the 28th August 1874, and the present quarrel appears to me to have been newly set up to annoy the Mysore ryots in consequence of the Punganur ryots, contrary to the decision of Mr. Sullivan, having encroached upon Mysore land and thus rendered themselves liable to punishment as you will observe from the warrant forwarded to you with my letter, No. 2,539-1,533, of the 14th March 1875. I shall therefore feel obliged by your kindly adopting such steps as you deem proper in view to discourage groundless and vexatious interference on the part of the Punganur Zemindari.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) W. S. WHITESIDE,
Collector.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government with reference to the Government Order quoted.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Secretary.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
16th May 1876.*

No. 143.

ORDER THEREON, 16th May 1876 No. 525.

Miscellaneous.

ORDERED that these Proceedings,
together with G.C., dated 23rd March
1876, No. 411, be forwarded to the Chief

Commissioner of Mysore, with a request that an officer of the Commission may be
deputed to settle the boundary dispute in conjunction with Mr. Cox.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

D. F. CARMICHAEL,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

„ the Acting Director of Revenue Settlement.

„ the Collector of North Arcot.

Exd. W. J. Lewis.

Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Kolar, 9th November 1876.

No. $\frac{1402}{902}$

From

B. Krishna Aiyangar

Dy. Commissioner

Kolar District

To

The Collector of the

North Arcot District

Sir

I have the honor to bring to your notice that the ryots of Chennai-garipalli village of the Mulbagal Taluq of this District complain to me that Pottel Ramegowdals and others of the marginally noted villages of the Vengaluru Zaminidari enter into their village in large bodies and with weapons, ill-treat them, and seize their cattle, driving

* Rameswara
Kallur
Pillaiyandlaipalli
Arupalli
Mallur and
Kannuripalli.

24-12-14

21-10-15

10-3-17

Govt. of 1876

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

&c.,

&c.,

&c.

Dated May, 1876.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 16th May 1876, No. 625.

MAY.

Nos. 142-143.

Received No. 14 October 1876
of 2678
of 88/80

Boundary between Pongannur Zaminidari and
Mysore.—Ordering certain papers relating to the
—to be forwarded to the Chief Commissioner of
Mysore, with a request that an officer of the Com-
mission may be deputed to settle the boundary in
conjunction with Mr. Cox.

own their cultivated lands
as belonging to their villages, and
that they have commenced
practising such oppressions since
the Raja grmda halli claim
has been settled in their favor.

This is the first time I have
heard of any other boundary

dispute existing between the

Mysore Government and the

Pungannur Zemindari, except

the one connected with the

Rajagundahalli still already

settled by Mr. Sullivan, vide

Proceedings of the Madras Government

in the Revenue Department, No. 104
of the 28th August 1874, and the
present quarrel appears to have
been newly set up to annoy the
Mysore Ryots in consequence of the
Pungannur Ryots, contrary to the
decision of Mr. Sullivan, having en-
croached upon Mysore land, and
therefore rendered themselves liable to
punishment, as you will observe

from the warrant forwarded to you
under my letter No. $\frac{2539}{1533}$, of the 14th March 1876.

I shall therefore feel obliged by your kindly
adopting such steps as you deem proper
in view to discourage groundless and
vexatious interference on the part of the
Pungannur Zemindari.

(True copy) (10) B. Krishna Rao
W. S. Whitehead Dy. Commr. Kolar Dist.
(10) Collector (True copy)

C. W. Sullivan

By Order of the

Ag. Secretary

R. J. Linn

May 1896

Revenue Department

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Dated 2nd May 1896.

No. 163. Read the following:-

From W. S. Whitely Esq.
Collector of North Arcot.
To L. A. Galtier Esq.
Ag. Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Madras.

Sir
With reference to the Proceedings
of Government, Revenue Department
dated 23rd ultimus, No. 411, de-
siring to know whether the maps
prepared in the Settlement
Department in accordance with
Mr. Sullivan's final award
cannot help in deciding the

Enclosure in
Board's Proceedings
dated 2nd May 1896.
To the Secretary Board of R.

May 1896

Copy of Instructions

GP 16 - May 1896 - 12625

present boundary dispute between
the village of Chinnigaripalli
of the Mulbagal Taluq of the
Mysore province, and that of
Ramasamudram, in the Singa-
nur Zemindari of this District
I have the honor to forward herewith
copy of the letter of the
Deputy Commissioner, of Kolar,
dated 9th November last, No. $\frac{1452}{902}$

from which the Board will perceive
that the present is altogether a different
dispute wholly unconnected with those

settled by Mr. Sullivan

North Dist, Civil Office
Camp Palanganur
8 April 1891

Have so
(20) W. S. Whitwick
Collector.

63/6
Submitted for the order of Govern-
ment, with reference to the L. O.
quoted.

(True copy attached)

C. J. P. S.
Ag. Secretary.

To The Secretary Government R.D., with
copy of enclosure.

C. J. P. S.
Ag. Secretary.

Ordered that the Proceedings
together with the 23^d March 1876 No 401 be
forwarded to the Chief Commissioner
of the State: with a request ^{Offering of the Com.} that he may
be referred to settling boundary dispute
in conjunction with the case.

12. I. The Collector of Arundel.

4-D 28 Aug. 1974 #284-86.
23 Aug. 1976 - 228-29

dated 2nd May 1891
 signed " "
 by the " "

Leetha nath
Chobas - 16 May 1876

Neuburg: Coll.

better informed that the
 Government of England will not
 be so ready to give up the
 colonies, as they are to
 make, in Europe or elsewhere
 different separate wholly
 unconnected with the
 one settled by the Indians

C. 12: May 1960 Q16: May