

(1) Number of Pages.....6

(2) Date of repair 10.4.1969

(3) Initials of the Referencer S.S.P.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.

B.P. No.

600

Press

Mis.

Dated 11-5-1876 196

Not to be folded or roughly handled

600/1

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
11th May 1876.*

No. 90. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 26th April 1876, No. 1,108:—

Read the following letter from W. L. O'NEILL, Esq., Acting Collector of Malabar, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Calicut, 27th March 1876, No. 11.

REFERRING to G.O., Revenue Department, No. 398, dated 21st instant, I have the honor to observe that the discrepancy noticed in paragraph 7 of the Assistant Conservator's letter published in the above Proceedings is most probably (as the Assistant Conservator remarks) a clerical error, and to point out that the Assistant Conservator bears out the statement made by Mr. Peet that the line claimed by the Mysore officers runs in a *south-westerly* direction from the ford, while Dr. Cleghorn described the boundary he laid down as "running west."

2. Moreover, the point on which I chiefly relied in my previous letter was that the boundary, as cleared by the Mysore officers, does not follow the "ridge" of which Dr. Cleghorn spoke. The Assistant Conservator says, "the old track, runs on easy gradient in a south-westerly direction," whereas Mr. Peet asserts, as the result of his own observations corroborated by Mr. Pringle, that the line cleared actually descends to a stream, and does not ascend the unmistakable watershed ridge which, I understand, lies due west of the ford.

3. I have been corresponding direct with the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore on this and on another question relating to the interpretations which the Mysore Forest officers have put on the decisions settling the boundaries of that province in that neighbourhood, and I have arranged with the Deputy Superintendent of Revenue Survey that both lines of boundary should be surveyed and laid down accurately on a map. After this has been done the Deputy Commissioner and myself can meet and arrange the differences that exist. I have already explained to the Deputy Commissioner the circumstances under which Mr. Peet cleared a line which, though not exactly as I look at it a correct interpretation of Dr. Cleghorn's, is yet a pretty close approximation to it. This line is erroneously described in paragraph 5 of the Assistant Conservator's letter as running nearly in the same direction as that known as Colonel Mackenzie's line; whereas I understand from Mr. Peet that this line starts from the ford (instead of from a pagoda), and ends at Devattikkabetta (instead of at Shegabetta). On reference to the Assistant Conservator's diagram, the mistake he has made in his description will appear obvious, but, as above said, I think the disposal of the questions at issue should be postponed until we have reliable maps to guide us.

SUBMITTED for the information of Government with reference to G.O., dated 21st March 1876, No. 398.

2. The Board approve the Collector's proposal to meet the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, and have no doubt that they will be able to arrive at a satisfactory settlement of the question on the spot.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Secretary.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
11th May 1876.*

No. 91.

ORDER THELON, 11th May 1876, No. 690.

Miscellaneous.

ORDERED that these Proceedings be communicated to the Chief Commissioner of Mysore, with reference to his letter, dated 19th February 1876, No. 8,026, with a request that a meeting may be arranged for between Mr. Logan and the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) • D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. A. S. Rodgers.

No. 1,108.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 26th April 1876.

Read the following letter from W. LOGAN, Esq., Acting Collector of Malabar, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Calicut, 27th March 1876, No. 76 :—

REFERRING to G.O., Revenue Department, No. 395, dated 21st instant, I have the honor to observe that the discrepancy noticed in paragraph 7 of the Assistant Conservator's letter published in the above Proceedings is most probably (as the Assistant Conservator remarks) a clerical error, and to point out that the Assistant Conservator bears out the statement made by Mr. Peet that the line claimed by the Mysore Officers runs in a south-westerly direction from the ford while Dr. Cleghorn described the boundary he laid down as "running west."

2. Moreover, the point on which I chiefly relied in my previous letter was that the boundary as cleared by the Mysore Officers does not follow the "ridge" of which Dr. Cleghorn spoke. The Assistant Conservator says: "the old track runs on easy gradient in a south-westerly direction," whereas Mr. Peet asserts as the result of his own observations corroborated by Mr. Pringle that the line cleared actually descends to a stream and does not ascend the unmistakable watershed ridge which, I understand, lies due west of the ford.

3. I have been corresponding direct with the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore on this and on another question relating to the interpretations which the Mysore Forest Officers have put on the decisions settling the boundaries of that province in that neighborhood, and I have arranged with the Deputy Superintendent of Revenue Survey that both lines of boundary should be surveyed and laid down accurately on a map. After this has been done the Deputy Commissioner and myself can meet and arrange the differences that exist. I have already explained to the Deputy Commissioner the circumstances under which Mr. Peet cleared a line which though not exactly as I look at it a correct interpretation of Dr. Cleghorn's is yet a pretty close approximation to it. This line is erroneously described in paragraph 5 of the Assistant Conservator's letter as running nearly in the same direction as that known as Colonel Mackenzie's line, whereas I understand from Mr. Peet that this line starts from the ford (instead of from a pagoda) and ends at Devattikkabetta (instead of at Shegabetta). On reference to the Assistant Conservator's diagram the mistake he has made in his description will appear obvious, but, as above said, I think the disposal of the questions at issue should be postponed until we have reliable maps to guide us.

SUBMITTED for the information of Government with reference to G.O., dated 21st March 1876, No. 398.

2. The Board approve the Collector's proposal to meet the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, and have no doubt that they will be able to arrive at a satisfactory settlement of the question on the spot.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

W. Logan
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Acting Collector of Malabar.

„ to the Conservator of Forests.

„ to the Superintendent, Revenue Survey,

with copy of Board's Proceedings dated 24th March 1876, No. 935.

Exd. A. S. Rodgers.

22-4-90
22-4-90
11-2-92
J. O. 30 May 1876 No. 33-34
R 35

Govt. of 1876
REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE

Dated May, 11th.

Recd.

Exd.

G.O., 11th May 1876, No. 600.

MAY
Nos. 90-91

Resolving Dispute between Mysore and Malabar.
—Ordering to communicate to the Chief Commissioner of Mysore Proceedings of the Board of Revenue on the subject of the —, with a request that a meeting may be arranged for between Mr. Logan and the Deputy Commissioner Mysore.

No 600

Ordered that Mr. Proudhon be
communicated to the Chief Commissioner
Mysore. with reference to his letter of
15 Feb 1876 No 8926. & with request that
a meeting may be arranged for between
Mr. Logan & the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore

9.5.26 14 Local Order of 19 May

11 May 1876

24/1876

Nov 1876. Defects

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 26th April, 1876. No. 1,108.

Deposited

Encl.

Received 7 May 1876

APRIL.
No. 1,108.

Boundary dispute — between Mysore and
Malabar.—Submitting to Government reman-
of Collector with reference to Government Order
on subject of — and approving his proposal to
meet the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore for a
satisfactory settlement of the question.

with order

No 600-11 May 1876