

(1) Number of Pages.....20
(2) Date of repair.....14.4.69
(3) Initials of the Referencer.....

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	<u>595</u>	Press
~~B.P. No.~~		~~Mis.~~
Dated	<u>10.5.1876.</u>	<u>196</u>

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Prot. The Madras
10th May

Revenue Department,

No. 939/21:—
Read the following letter of Revenue Settlement to the Government, Revenue Department
No. 939/21:—

I. Esq., Acting Director
MICHAEL, Secretary to
127th April 1876,

The posts of Head and Deputy Gumastah

Maganti Subba Row and Lutchmana Row

* No. 1,429 of 21st August 8
" 485 of 7th March "

Government orders, number margin

2. In the cases in question and in all the Government orders invariably solicited Examination Rules, but the sanction extended to posts are concerned. I conclude, therefore, that advancement without the special sanction

3. Subba Row was examined in the District, and that appointment being equivalent appointment in the Central Office of same description of office as that for which which he has peculiar aptitude and experience also, that Lutchmana Row has been nominated

4. In three instances, however, I find their present appointments or posts are concerned. Particulars are noted in the accompanying statement regarding the two individuals now referred to

5. The promotion has been made in the department, and that they were only in the department

6. Under G.O., No. 1,016, of 8th March 1871, three members of the Central Office was attached to their present department.

7. Under these circumstances, looking to the special qualifications and experience that are essential, I have the honor to request that Government will be pleased to permit the exemption already sanctioned in respect to the five individuals to be extended, so that it may apply to them as long as they remain attached to their present department, and thus be on an equal footing with those individuals exempted by the Government Order last quoted.

8. It will be noticed, in one instance, that Lutchmana Row, by steady industry and perseverance has risen to the position of Under Assistant. He has already held the post for five years very creditably in

Central Office falling vacant from March, I promoted the marginally individuals to the posts, under the condition that they had been exempted from Examination Rules by the Government Order last quoted.

9. The application recorded in the margin of the individuals from the present appointments is ineligible for departmental promotion.

10. Head Gumastah in the Kistna District, he was promoted with an equivalent appointment to the present appointment, is to the vacant, Head Gumastah, and for a similar kind of appointment, Deputy Gumastah.

11. Individuals thus exempted, so far as they have been further promoted in the department, in which the details are specified also.

12. I beg to state that the exemption applied to for similar appointments elsewhere.

13. 1871, a further proposal to exempt was made, as long as the parties remain attached to their present department.

14. The special qualifications and experience that are essential, I have the honor to request that Government will be pleased to permit the exemption already sanctioned in respect to the five individuals to be extended, so that it may apply to them as long as they remain attached to their present department, and thus be on an equal footing with those individuals exempted by the Government Order last quoted.

15. Lutchmana Row, by steady industry and perseverance has risen to the position of Under Assistant. He has already held the post for five years very creditably in

List of Public Servants recommended to remain at

Name of the Party.	Name of Individual was exempted from the examination.
1	2
Kurnool and Cuddapah.	D. Ver Row.
	J. Sitaramaiya.
	R. Gururaja.
	M. Subba Row.
Kistna ...	Lutchmana Row.

MADRAS,
27th April 1876.

No. 79.

* Maganti Subba Row.
Lutchmana Row.

Intention of the Director of Revenue for examination have been long; the exemption to the department granted was distinctly stated to have been duly noted and referred to their effect.

2. The Government, with applications for exemption on them, affirm the principle laid is "no sufficient reason any longer to the heads of the departments tests.

To the Director of Revenue Settlement,
the Superintendent of Revenue,
the Board of Revenue,
the Accountant-General.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

ment,

tion Rules as to

Designation of Office now held by the individual named in Column 2.	Salary per annum.	Remarks.
1	2	3
4	5	6
7	8	9
10	11	12
13	14	15
16	17	18
19	20	21
22	23	24
25	26	27
28	29	30
31	32	33
34	35	36
37	38	39
40	41	42
43	44	45
46	47	48
49	50	51
52	53	54
55	56	57
58	59	60
61	62	63
64	65	66
67	68	69
70	71	72
73	74	75
76	77	78
79	80	81
82	83	84
85	86	87
88	89	90
91	92	93
94	95	96
97	98	99
100	101	102

Signature) C. RUNDELL,
Director of Revenue Settlement.

May 1876, No. 595.

UNDER the circumstances as stated Government will not object to the exemption of the two men as regards the test for those particular berths which they now fill; it will be called to the fact that in 1862 Government refused to continue subsequent case the nature of the exemption, and that, had the orders and their purpose, should have been no misapprehension to.

not make any positive order to prevent and tried servants being brought before 4th August 1862, No. 1,653, that there to the Settlement and Survey Department hitherto allowed to them and look to the rules regarding examination.

Signature) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

The Madras
10th Ma

Vol. of 1876 **REVENUE.**

REVENUE.

THE DIRECTOR OF REVENUE

SETTLEMENT

Rev. Mr. 10th

Ac.

Se.

Co.

Rev.

Rev.

G.O. 10th May 1876, No. 605.

Certain Services of the Revenue Settlement Department.—Examining — from passing the test as regards those particular parcels which they now fill; and, for reasons stated, requesting the heads of the Survey and Settlement Departments to give full effect to the rules regarding exemption from tests.



No 939
23-

Revenue Settlement Office
Madras, 27 April 1876

From

The Acting Director of
Revenue Settlement
Madras.

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department.

Sir

The posts of Head and
Ginmaster in the Central Office
falling vacant from the 1st March,
I promoted the marginally noted
individuals to the posts, under the
impression that they had been ex-
empted from the Examination Rules

* Maganti
Subba Row
Lalithamanna
Row

No 1429 of 21 April 1871 by the G. O. as per margin and
No 485 of 7 March 1871 could be advanced.

In the cases in question
and in other instances the application
recorded in the Govt Orders invariably
solicited the exemption of the individ-
uals from the Examination Rules,
but the sanction extended only so far

as the present appointments
or posts concerned. I conclude,
therefore, they are ineligible for
departmental advancement without
the special sanction of Government.

3. Magai Tubba Row
was exempted as Acting Head
Gimasta in the Keabua District,
and upon that appointment being
abolished, he was provided with
an equivalent appointment in
the Central Office. His present
advancement is to the same des-
ignation of office as that for which
he was exempted, Head Gimasta,
and for which he has peculiar
aptitude and experience. It is
a similar kind of appointment
also that Lubekwana Row has
been nominated to - viz Deputy
Gimasta.

4. In three instances,
however, Special that individuals
thus exempted, so far as their
present appointments or posts
are concerned, have been further
promoted.

5/6 promoted in the Department.
Particulars are noted in the accom-
panying statement, in which the
details regarding the two individuals
now referred to are specified also.

5. The promotion has been
made under the idea that the ex-
emption applied to the Department,
and that they were only ineligible for
similar appointments elsewhere.

6. Under G. O. No 1016 of 8th
June 1871, a further proposal to ex-
empt three members of the Central
Office, was "sanctioned, as long as the
parties remain attached to their pre-
sent department."

7. Under these circumstances,
looking to the special qualifications
and experience that are essential,
I have the honor to request that
Government will be pleased to
permit the exemption already
sanctioned in respect to the first
individuals, to be extended, so that
it may apply to them as long as
they remain attached to their
present

present Department - and thus
be on an equality with those
individuals exempted by the
G. O. last quoted.

8 It will be noticed
in our instance, that Dr. [Name]
has by steady industry & perseverance
has risen to the position of
Unconquered Assistant. He
has already held the post for
five years very creditably
indeed.

I have the honor to be

Your most obedient servant

J. P. [Name]

Acting Director of Revenue Settlement

No. 1757

From *H. Director of the Settlements*

Dated 27 April 1876

FOR ORDERS.

I would accede to the request as regards all the individuals in the statement as regards the Settlements except those who are not yet in the hands of the Department. They are unlikely to pass examination as they are pronounced to be unsuitable for their present work. I am however in complete agreement with the Director that we should be careful not to admit unsuitable candidates for the future. The Board of Revenue should not interfere with the sanction of the Department in these cases - generally the admissions should be made by the Board of Revenue.

26th April 1876

Revenue 1876

From

Chief Secretary

Revenue

The Secretary

to the Department

Dated 27 April 1876

Revenue 1876

Revenue 1876

28th April 1876

I beg to say that the Board of Revenue are in complete agreement with the Director that we should be careful not to admit unsuitable candidates for the future. The Board of Revenue should not interfere with the sanction of the Department in these cases - generally the admissions should be made by the Board of Revenue.

28th April 1876

his majesty

876-741

Under the ~~circumstances~~ ~~of the case~~ I do not object
to sanction the exemption of the two
now promoted - as regards the test for
these particular books -

But the attention of Dir. of Sch. ^{at the}
be called to the fact that the rules for
examination have been long in force
that in 1862. Govt. refused to continue

The exemption to the department of
that in such exigent cases the
nature of the exemption granted ^{was}
distinctly stated in the order - that
had the order & then purport been
duly noted & obeyed ~~to~~ that would
have been no misapprehension as to
their effect -

The Government & who they do not
^{make} ~~make~~ a written order to present
application for exemption on behalf
of old school servants being brought
before them again. The principle
laid down in 1862 & 1863 that there
is "no sufficient reason any longer to
continue to the H.C." - New department

The exemption to the department of the
that in each instance can the
nature of the exemption granted ^{was} ~~is~~
distinctly stated in the order. That
had the orders & their purport been
duly noted & referred to. That would
have been no misapprehension as to
their effect.

The Government admits they do not
~~make~~ ^{make} a further order to prevent
application for exemption on behalf
of old street servants being brought
before them again. The principle
laid down in 1862 & 1863 that there
is "no sufficient reason why they should
continue to the H.C." The department

No exemption from the
examination rules will be
allowed to them: and
look to the Head of
the department to
give effect to these
rules regarding
examination
tests — Ref. 1/5

C 4: Aug 1/6 R 9: May

Revenue Dept.

St 595

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Under date the 10th May 1876

Recd letter from the Acting

Director of Revenue Settlements

27: April 1876 L P 539
23

Under the circum-
stances ^{stated} Government will
not object to sanction the
exemption of the two men
promoted, as regards the test
for those particular berths,
which they now fill:
but the attention of the
Director of Revenue Settlements
will be called to the fact that
the rules for examination
have been long in force, that
in 1862 Government refused
to

* Kapanti Sutha Nam.
Kutukurana Nam.

to continue the exemption to
the Department, that in each
subsequent case the nature
of the exemption granted was
distinctly stated in the order,
and that had the orders and
their purport been fully noted
and referred to, there could
have been no misapprehension
as to their effect.

2. The Government, while
they do not make any positive
order to prevent applications
for exemption on behalf of old
and tried servants being brought
before them, affirm the principle
laid down in G.O. 4th Aug. 1862
N. 1653, that there is
"no sufficient reason any
longer to continue to the
Settlement and Survey
Departments the exemption
from the Examination Rules
hitherto

210
instructs allowed to them"
and look to the Heads of
the Departments to give
full effect to the rules
regarding Examination tests

26 To of the Department of the Interior to the Secretary
100 " Dept of the Interior
114 " Board of the Interior
6 " Accountant General

