

(1) Number of Pages 8
(2) Date of repair 28-3-69
(3) Initials of the Referencer W. H.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.

~~B.P. No.~~

575

Press

~~Mis.~~

Dated 5-5-1876 196 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

575
1

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
5th May 1876.*

No. 34. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 28th April 1876, No. 1,130:—

Read the following letter from J. H. GARSTIN, Esq., Collector of South Arcot, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Merkanum, 25th April 1876, No. 166.

I HAVE the honor to forward a translation of the plaint in Suit No. 19 of 1876 on the file of the District Munsif of Villapuram, in which I am sued by one Ramasami Mudali of Merkanum.

2. The facts which gave rise to the suit are briefly as follow:—

Twelve salt pans at Merkanum were registered jointly in the names of Subbaraya Mudali and Vythinada Mudali. Both of these persons having died and their four surviving heirs not being agreed as to the registry of the pans in one of their names, I directed that the names, of all the heirs should be substituted for the names of the deceased holders and that the Kudivaram should be paid on their joint acknowledgment. To this order plaintiff took exception and was referred to a Civil suit.

3. An injunction having been received, restraining the payment of the Kudivaram as proposed above, it has not yet been made. The case is of the same nature as an ordinary suit for the transfer of a putta, but as the value of the suit is 1,240 Rupees, it must be reported for the orders of Government.

4. I beg to submit a draft of the answer proposed to be filed, and request that sanction may be obtained for defending the suit at the public cost.

5. The time for filing the answer expires on the 8th proximo.

P.S.—The Vakeel has been directed to move the Court to allow a month's time from the 8th proximo for filing the answer.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Translation of Plaint.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.—Draft Answer.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.—Genealogical Table.

SUBMITTED to Government with the recommendation that the defence of the suit be sanctioned and the draft answer approved.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Acting Secretary.

No. 35. ORDER THEREON, 5th May 1876, No. 575.

Miscellaneous.

THE defence of the suit is sanctioned and the draft answer approved.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. A. Grubert.

Amulauy

Revenue Department

575/2

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 28th April 1876
No. 1630 -

Read the following:-

No. 166

Know

J. H. Garstin Esq
Collector of
South Arcot

To
C. A. Gallon Esq
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Madras

Sir

I have the honor to
forward a translation of
the plaint in Suit No. 19 of
1876 on the file of the District
Munsiff of Villapuram in
which I am sued by one
Ramasami Mudali of
Merkanam.

2. The facts which gave
rise to the suit are briefly

Govt. of 1876

REVENUE.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated May, 5th.

Recd.

G.O., 5th May 1876, No. 575.

MAY.

Nos. 34-35.

Suit No. 19 of 1876 on the file of the District
Munsiff of Villapuram in South Arcot. - Sanctioning
the defence of - and approving the draft answer

as follows:-

12 Salt pans at Merkanam were registered jointly in the names of Subbaraya Mudali and Vythirada Mudali. Both of these persons having died and their 4 surviving heirs not being agreed as to the registry of the pans in one of their names I directed that the names of all the heirs should be substituted for the names of the deceased holders and that the Kuddiarai should be paid on their joint acknowledgment. To this order Plaintiff took exception and was referred to a Civil Suit.

3. An injunction having been received restraining the payment of the Kuddiarai as proposed above, it has not yet been made.

The

The case is of the same nature as an ordinary suit for the transfer of a Puttalo - but as the value of the suit is 1240 Rupees it must be reported for the orders of Government.

4. I beg to submit a draft of the answer proposed to be filed and request that sanction may be obtained for defending the suit at the public cost.

5. The time for filing the answer expires on the 8th Proximo.

Smt. Aroob Shaula
Collr's office (Sip) / J. H. Garstin
Camp Merkanam
25th April 1876 Collector.

P. S.

The Fakteel has been directed to move the Court to

to allow a month's time
from the 8th Proximo for
filing the answer.

Hq. J. St. Germain
Collector

Submitted to Govern-
ment with the recom-
mendation that the defence
of the Suit be sanctioned
and the draft answer
approved.

/ True Copy Extract

W. A. Allen
Secretary

To
The Secretary's Govern-
ment Revenue Department
with 3 original Enclosures
were returned.

Col. M. J. P.
S. J. M. S. S.

No 575

The defence of Mr. Smith is
sanctioned & the draft answer
approved

5 May 1876

Indef. to the Board of Dec. 5 May

1-5-76

14 B. Cooper, Dec 15 May

No 1735
June 1876 Draft
Proceedings of the
Board of Finance

Dated 28 April 1876
Recd 25 May 76
C. L. C. O. C.

Under the
Memorandum
Letter from the
General Board re-
specting the
for defence of Smith
No 19 of 1876 with
note of the Board
Board of
Finance.

Under the
No 1735-5-1876

C. L. C. O. C. 176 R. S. H.