

(1) Number of Pages.....4
 (2) Date of repair.....23-12-68
 (3) Initials of the Referencer.....S.V.S.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 52
 B.P. No. Press
 Mis.

Dated 13-1-1876 196.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
13th January 1876.*

No. 105. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 3rd December 1875, No. 3,280 :—

Read the following letter from A. J. STUART, Esq., Acting Collector of Tinnevely, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Striveikuntam, 10th November 1875, No. 599 :—

With reference to the Board's Proceedings, No. 3,039, dated 19th May 1873, I have the honor to inform you that the Original Suit No. 769 of 1872 on the file of the Tinnevely District Munsif's Court (since transferred to the Sub-Court Small Cause Suit No. 1,095 of 1873), referred to therein, has been withdrawn by the plaintiff.

2. A copy of the Court's order is herein enclosed.

3. I request sanction for the payment of the first defendant's (Tinnevely Tahsildar's) costs incurred in the District Munsif's Court, as particularized below :—

	RS.	A.	P.
Vakeel's fee	1	11	0
Value of stamps used for Vakalat, Statement, and a Memorandum	1	1	0
Total ...	2	12	0

SUBMITTED to Government with reference to G.O., dated 12th May 1873, No. 462, with the recommendation that payment of the costs, Rupees 2-12-0, be sanctioned.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Secretary.

No. 106. ORDER THEREON, 13th January 1876, No. 52.

Miscellaneous.

THE Government desire to be informed why the costs of the defence should not be recovered from the plaintiff who has withdrawn his suit. It is presumed the Court did not refuse them.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Chief Secretary.

To the Board of Revenue.

Ezd. A. Grubert.

Recd. 29/1/76.

Suit No. 769 of 1872 on file of Tinnevely District Munsif's Court.—Requesting to be informed why the costs of the defence in—should not be recovered from the plaintiff who has withdrawn the suit.

JANUARY.
Nos. 105-106.

G. O. 13th January 1876, No. 52.

Dated January, 13th.

THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

REVENUE.

Govt. of 1876.

No. 3,280.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 3rd December 1875.

Read the following letter from A. J. STUART, Esq., Acting Collector of Tinnevely, to H. E. STOKES, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Striveikuntam, 10th November 1875, No. 599:—

With reference to the Board's Proceedings, No. 3,039, dated 19th May 1873, I have the honor to inform you that the Original Suit No. 769 of 1872 on the file of the Tinnevely District Munsif's Court (since transferred to the Sub-Court Small Cause Suit No. 1,095 of 1873), referred to therein, has been withdrawn by the plaintiff.

2. A copy of the Court's order is herein enclosed.

3. I request sanction for the payment of the first defendant's (Tinnevely Tahsildar's) costs incurred in the District Munsif's Court, as particularized below:—

	RS.	A.	P.
Vakeel's fee	1	11	0
Value of stamps used for Vakalat, statement, and a Memorandum	1	1	0
Total ...	2	12	0

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Copy of Court's Order.

SUBMITTED to Government with reference to G.O., dated 12th May 1873, No. 462, with the recommendation that payment of the costs, Rupees 2-12-0, be sanctioned.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with one enclosure, to be returned.

Copy to the Acting Collector of Tinnevely.

Exd. A. Grubert.

N^o 52

The Gov. desire to be informed why the
cost of the defence should not be recovered
from the Plaintiff, who has withdrawn
his suit. It is presumed the Court did not
refuse them.

14. The Dec. Day 13th January 1876
Dec. 15th Jan

Rs 4720
Dec 1875. D. J.
Board of Revenue
MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 3RD DECEMBER 1875, No. 3.

July 6 800/75

Received
7. Dec 75

DECEMBER.
No. 3,280.

Suit—No. 769 of 1872 on file of Tinnevely
District Munsif's Court regarding crops dis-
trained and sold for arrears of revenue.—Sub-
mitting to Government Collector's letter on
subject of —, and recommending that payment
of costs, Rupees 2-12-0, be sanctioned.

Ch 62-12 January 1876

14th Dec