

(1) Number of pages 18
(2) Date of repair 8. 4. 1970
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	2951	Press
B.P. No.		Mis. ☒
Dated	7. 12	1976.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

person Omerecha to act for a
brother of his Sketh Sabul
a person in the office who had
obtained leave for fifteen
days whereas another brother
Thuxien Creek was the one
actually appointed as sub-
stitute and that to conceal
this irregularity petitioners
had allowed Omerecha to sign
the name of Thuxien Creek
and had also made corrections
in the spelling of the name
in various vouchers to avoid
detection.

3. Mr. Blip concurred in
the opinion of the Deputy Collector
that petitioners had tampered
with public records and
considering that shameful
and reckless charges had
been

295/1
been made by the Petitioners
against the Deputy Collector
and that this was an appro-
bation of the original offence ordered
his despatch.

4. I regret to say that
I cannot at all agree with this
view of the case. There is nothing
whatever on record to show that
Petitioners ever interfered or
tampered with public docu-
ments and it is a mere pre-
sumption that he did so;
a presumption which I am
bound to submit is based upon
insufficient grounds.

5. Upon a careful con-
sideration of the evidence
and examination of the
exhibits filed in the case I
am led to an opposite conclusion
and

and believe as stated by the
petitioner that the charge is
false from beginning to end
and has been covered up
against him by the clerk & trea-
- surer for purposes of his
own.

6 On the 11th January last
petitioner submitted to the De-
- puty Collector an application
for 15 days leave for Sheikh Sa-
- lual nominating his brother
Hussain ^{or} wish to act for him.
This arrangement was sanc-
- tioned and Sheikh Salual
appears to have gone on leave
at any rate before the 18th,
when it is contended one Over-
- sah and not Hussain wish
acted for him, and that he
signed his name as Hussain
wish.

6 crests. In the Treasury General
book (exhibit 125) on the
18th January there is a signature
Hussain ^{or} wish and on the
20th an application signed as
Hussain ^{or} wish (exhibit 126)
was put in. These signatures
it is contended were not written
by Hussain wish but by Over-
- sah and on this point the ques-
- tion whether there was any in-
- regularity at all arises. In his
deposition given before the De-
- puty Collector on the 29th (Exhi-
- bit 15) Hussain wish has written
his name twice over as Hussain
wish — that is omitting the
first ^{or}, and it is upon this
ground apparently that the
Deputy Collector has arrived
at the conclusion that the former
two are forgeries. On this point

I must observe that nothing is more common than for illiterate natives to vary in the spelling of their names. I find these four signatures despite the spelling to be in one and the same handwriting and as Hossain Alih indeed totally wrote the latter two, I am forced to the conclusion that the former two signatures are genuine and Hossain Alih, and upon any finding on this point the case against petitioners necessarily falls to the ground.

7. He was further charged against petitioners that he had altered the signatures of Hossain Alih in the letter book (Exhibit A 10) found

2951/4
Hossain Alih into Hossain Alih; and doubtless the final n has been added subsequently to both signatures but there is nothing to show that petitioners either made or authorized these alterations where it is admitted that this Tappal register was kept by Hossain Alih the real accuser of petitioners and the account given by him of the alterations that as he did not keep his box locked the register might have been taken out and tampered with without his knowledge seems to me a lame way of getting out of the difficulty. The two signatures of Hossain Alih in that

registers appear to me not
those of Hussein which but
to have been written for him
by some one else and a much
more practiced writer than
he is. A cursory inspection
of the book shows that a Hoda-
-simeen has more than once
signed the names of others and
on comparing the writing
of the words Hussein which
in this document and in
exhibits 1, 2 and 3 which
are admittedly written by
Hussein I think there
is good reason for inferring
that all these are in Hoda-
-simeen's hand-writing. I
would draw particular atten-
-tion to the formation of the
letter u in Hussein which
name

name in exhibits 1, 2 and 3
and in the Tappal regis-
-ter N^o 10. The same remark
applies to the two signatures
in the Magistrate's rolls exhi-
-bits N^o 11 and 12.

P. Seeing that upon the
same facts and evidence
I arrive at a conclusion di-
-rectly opposed to that come
to by Mr. Bliss and the Deputy
Collector who made the en-
-quiry I beg to submit the
entire record for the considera-
-tion of the Board. I have the
Collector's office card as a proof J. G. Horsfall
2nd October 1878 J. G. Horsfall
Deputy Collector.

The Board concurs
with the view of the case taken
by Mr. Horsfall and desires
that the petitioners be rein-
-stated and all expenses

of pay during the period
of his suspension disbursed
there

1 True Copy and Enactment

signed C. Gibson

at the Subsecretary

To

The College of Cardinals

1 True Copy

at the Subsecretary

nd. 1/2

P.

Chis. N^o 10649.

Revenue Department

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Dated 4th December 1896.

Read the following

N^o 440.

From

J. G. Horsfall Esq

Collector of Land Revenue

To

H. O. Stokes Esq

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue Madras.

Sir

In conformity with
the Board's Proceedings dated
23rd October 1896, and resolutions
N^o 9099, I have directed
the restoration of S. S. S. S. S.
many, Assistant Superintendent
- student of Kulekorai. But
with regard to the arrears of pay
directed to be paid to him,
I have the honor to report
that I see no source from which
the whole of the arrears can
be disbursed. The Assistant

Enclosure in Pro-
ceedings of the Board
of Revenue to the above
- to be to Government
N^o 10649.

Rev: 540376.

Copy of Board's
Proceedings of 23rd Oct.
- to 1896 revised 12999.

Superintendent who was act-
-ually in office during part
of the period of Cassilamany's
suspension as well as each
of the subordinates concerned
in the arrangement have re-
-ceived the full pay of his
appointment. The amount of
arrear due to Cassilamany
comes to Rupees. 247-3-10 as

shown below:—

Pay from 23 rd to 29 Feb 1878 —	Ru. 7-3-10
from March to Oct 1878 at 30 Rs a month.	240 — — —
	247-3-10

2. The pay of the Assistant
Superintendent's office from 23rd
February to 4th May 1878 amount-
-ing to Rupees. 71-1-9 was not
paid to any one, the Salt
Deputy Collector's Head Clerk
having been in charge of the
office, and I have ordered the

disbursement of this sum
to Cassilamany. For the re-
-maining sum of Rupees. 176-2-1,

I request that the sanction of
Government may be obtained.

Thence
J. G. Horsfall
City Collector.

Assistant Collector's Office
Camp. Poilampatti
Tanjore District
18 November 1878

Submitted for the sanction
of Government.

2. The Assistant Super-
-intendent of Sea Customs at
Keelakavai was dismissed by
an Slip on a charge of having
tampered with certain public
records. On appeal the Board
Considering that the charge
was not proved directed the
appellant's reinstatement
and disbursement to him
of all arrears of salary. It ap-
-pears

perce that the salary of the
post for a portion of the period
has already been drawn by the
officer incumbent and conse-
-tion is therefore necessary
for the payment of the sum
deficient, viz. Rupees 196-2-1.

(True Copy and Extract)

W. H. Cotton
Secretary

To

The Secretary to

Government R.D.

with Copy of Board's Proceed-
ings dated 23rd October
1898 enclosing Rs 9099.

W. H. Cotton

R.

Under the circumstances
stated, the amount should be
paid to S. Marillamony - the
as proposed, is accordingly
payment, is sanctioned

- 1. To the Board of Rec. Dec. 10 Dec.
- 1. The Treasurer had for use

7 Dec 1876

2.6. 1876 R 1:20

Resolves 1876 Sept 14
Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue

No 5403

Resolved 4 Dec 1876
Duly 4 " " "
Rec 5 " " "

On 29th 7 November 1876
Submitting for exam-
ination to the Com. of the
Treasury a statement
of the accounts of the
Board of Revenue for
the year ending 31st
Dec 1875. The statement
is in conformity with
the provisions of the
Act of 1874. The
Com. of the Treasury
has no objection to
the statement being
submitted to the
House of Commons.
The Com. of the
Treasury has no
objection to the
statement being
submitted to the
House of Commons.
With orders