

(1) Number of pages 6
(2) Date of repair 8.4.1970
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Revenue DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	2910	Press
B.P. No.		Mis. ☒
Dated	4. 12.	1976.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Miscellaneous No. 10058 Revenue Department

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Dated 18th November 1876

Read the following:—

No. 281

From

The Ag Collector of
Trichinopoly

To

The Secretary to the Board
of Revenue
Madras.

his

On the 8th of November 1869, the
Superintendent of Prisons issued Circular
No. 19 to the effect that, in accordance
with the decision of Government
European and Native Prisoners are
not entitled to compensation in
lieu of rice, when in public or private
employ.

2 In reference to this Circular,
the undermentioned three prisoners
were excluded from the list of
claimants to the compensation in
lieu of rice — The first two as being
in public and the 3rd in private
employ.

employ.

- (1) Sarainsawmi } 2nd class pensioners employ.
(2) Mohammed Khan } ed as Travellers Bungalows
(3) Rangiah } peons.
A cultivator.

3 The Circular was evidently misconstructed in the case of 3rd pensioners, he held some land for a certain time and its cultivation was considered a private employment.

4 The mistake was discovered in May 1875, the then Treasury Deputy Collector Suasankara Mondellias explained the circumstances to the Superintendent of Pensions and sought his sanction for payment of compensation to the pensioners with arrears, and that officer stated in reply that "Rangiah is entitled to rice compensation which should be drawn and disbursed to him on the date on which it was erroneously withheld" and he further observed

observed that under G. O. of No. 195 dated 25th May 1862 published in the Fort Saint George Gazette dated 25th June page 1022, that the officer who failed to draw the compensation due is answerable for the amount.

5 The Deputy Collector drew the amount from the Treasury and paid it to the pensioners. The Military Pay Examiner objects to the payment in question observing that under the aforesaid G. O., the arrears of rice money, if admissible should be recovered from the Deputy Collector whom the pensioner Rangiah's Pension was drawn from November 1869 to August 1875 and whose duty it was originally to have drawn rice money on the due date.

6 In reference to the above objection the Accountant General has called on this office to recover the money from

from the drawing Office.

7 The amount objected to is Rs 219-8 and the omission to draw portions of this sum on the dates they became due, arose, as above mentioned, from a misconception of the rule; it would therefore be hard to recover that sum from the several Deputy Collectors, who were attached to the Treasury from November 1869 to August 1875.

8 I have accordingly the honor to request that the Board will be good enough to address the Government in view to the removal of the objection.

9 The correspondence on the subject is herewith submitted for the Board's information and the eventual return.

Trichinopoly
Collrs Office
18th October 1876

I have & co
Signed H. Sewall
As Collector
Submitted

Submitted for the orders of Government
- sent.

True Copy & Contract

By Sub Secretary

To
Secy Secretary to Government R.D.
with 5 enclosures to be returned

Spent Rs
Rs 1000

No 2910
 The Accountant General will
 report his opinion as to the extent
 of the responsibility devolving on the
 Treasury officer in such matters,
 quoting the authority on which his
 opinion is based. —

1. Letter Accountant General Dec 6 Dec.
 2. The Board of Dec 20 70.

4 Dec 76

Proceedings of the Board
 of Revenue.

No 5215
 Dec 1876: 22/11

Dated 18th November

1876

1876

Submitting for the order

of Govt. Atty Genl the

Letter of Mr. [unclear]

of 18th October 1876. [unclear]

in [unclear] to the [unclear]

of [unclear] of [unclear]

of [unclear] of [unclear]

With order